### **Summary of Written and Oral Submissions**

### Orléans Corridor Secondary Plan (ACS2022-PIE-EDP-0024)

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

### Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 5

Number of written submissions received by Planning Committee between August 29 (the date the report was published to the City's website with the agenda for this meeting) and September 7, 2022 (the deadline for written submissions, being 4 pm the business day before the committee meeting date): 12

## Summary of written submissions

Written submissions are held on file with the City Clerk and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Roberto Aburto (Gowling WLG), on behalf of 3930149 Canada Inc., letter dated August 5, 2022, opposed
- Roberto Aburto (Gowling WLG), on behalf of Capital City Church Propertoes, letter dated September 2, 2022, with comments
- Fotenn, on behalf of 154775 Canada Inc., letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of Antilia Homes, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of Brigil, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of Choice Properties and Loblaw, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of Effort Trust, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of Primaris REIT, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Fotenn, on behalf of the Owners of 1754 St. Joseph Boulevard, letter dated September 7, 2022, opposed
- Nicole Ouellette letter received September 7, 2022, opposed
- Robert Guibord email received September 7, 2022, opposed

 Wood Bull LLP, on behalf of Forum Asset Management, letter dated September 7, 2022, with comments

## Summary of oral submissions

The Committee heard the following public delegations on the report, and a summary of their respective comments are as follows:

- Tony Provenzano spoke to the importance of 10th Line being included in the Secondary Plan as a station of the future and the Economic Development needs to be reinforced and supported.
- Denise Ménard expressed concern with the proposed third expropriation of their family farm land and of rezoning the land from Development Reserve (DR) to Environmentally Protected (EP).
- Marc Poirier spoke to a slide presentation (held on file with the Office of the City Clerk) that touched on an agreement to purchase land from the previous speaker however it is dependent on the decision made regarding the Secondary Plan.
- Murray Chown, Novatech, on behalf of the Myers Group noted general concerns regarding the requirement for non-residential uses on the ground floor abutting all publily accessible spaces, privately-owned public spaces and cost sharing for Parkland and new public street.
- Brian Casagrande, Fotenn, on behalf of 154775 Canada Ltd, stated that approval of the new Official Plan should happen before considering approval of the Secondary Plan. Outlined clients concerns related to projected 10th Line Station location and maximum building heights.

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision: Debate: The Committee spent 1 hour and 11 minutes in consideration of the item.

Vote: The committee considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as amended by the following:

#### Motion No. PLC 2022-69/5

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that, with respect to report ACS2022-PIE-EDP-0024, Planning Committee amend Document 1, Section 4.15, as contained on page 20, by approving the following:

- 1. deleting the word 'Policies' from the title of the section;
- 2. in paragraph 2, by replacing the word 'and' immediately following the words "address sensitive marine clay" with a comma;
- 3. in paragraph 2 by adding the words "and retrogressive slope failures"

immediately following the words "slope stability"; and

4. delete policy 1, as contained at the end of Section 4.15, in its entirety.

### Motion No. PLC 2022-69/6

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that, with respect to report ACS2022-PIE-EDP-0024, Planning Committee amend Document 1, Section 5.1(5) by approving the following:

1. <u>delete the second sentence in Section 5.1 policy (5).</u>

### Motion No. PLC 2022-69/7

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that, with respect to report ACS2022-PIE-EDP-0024, Planning Committee replace Document 3 – Schedule B - Orleans Corridor Maximum Building Heights, with the attached schedule dated September 8, 2022.

#### Motion No. PLC 2022-69/8

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Department be directed to seek transition requirements consistent with New Official Plan policies and applicable design guidelines when reviewing any application of a mid or high-rise building on St. Joseph prior to passage of the new Zoning By-law, and that the New Zoning By-law include a review of transition requirements between the neighbourhood designation and mid/high-rise development on St. Joseph.

## **Ottawa City Council**

Pursuant to the *Procedure By-law*, members of the public may not make oral submissions to Council.

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between September 8 after 4 pm (deadline for written submissions to Planning Committee) and September 21, 2022 (Council consideration date): 2

# Summary of written submissions to Council

Written submissions are held on file with the City Clerk and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request.

- Fotenn, on behalf of Sobeys, letter dated September 7, 2022, with comments
- Fotenn, on behalf of 154775 Canada Inc., letter dated September 20, 2022, opposed

#### Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:

Council considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations amended by the following:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that, with respect to report ACS2022-PIE-EDP-0024, City Council approve the amendments to Documents 1 and 4 of the Orleans Corridor Secondary Plan Study as set out in Annex 1 attached to this motion (held on file with the City Clerk).