Committee of Adjustment



tawa Comité de dérogation

DECISION CONSENT

Section 53 of the Planning Act

Date of Decision January 20, 2023

File No(s).: D08-01-22/B-00337 & D08-01-22/B-00338

Owner(s): Adrian & Alicia Ring
Location: 109 Inniskillin Drive

Ward: 5 – West Carleton-March

Legal Description: Lot 4, Plan 4M-299

Zoning: V1M

Zoning By-law: 2008-250

Hearing Date: January 11, 2023

PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS

[1] The Owners want to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land to create one new lot for future residential development.

CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

- [2] The Owners require the Consent of the Committee for Conveyances and Grant of Easements/Rights-of-Ways.
- [3] The severed land, shown as Part 2 on a Draft R-plan filed with the application, will have a frontage of 52.73 metres on Juanita Avenue, a depth of 32.5 metres, and will contain a lot area of 1474.2 square metres. This parcel will be vacant and known municipally as 160 Juanita Avenue.
- [4] The retained land, shown as Parts 3 and 4 on the said plan, will have a frontage of 47.24 metres, a depth of 47.34 metres and a lot area of 2180.7 square metres. This parcel contains the existing dwelling and will be known municipally as 109 Inniskillin Drive.
- [5] It is proposed to create a drainage easement over Part 4 in favour of Part 2.
- [6] The applications indicate that the Property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

PUBLIC HEARING

- [7] The Panel Chair administered an oath to James Ireland, Agent for the Applicant, who confirmed that the statutory notice posting requirements were satisfied. Mr. Ireland questioned the need for a road widening on Inniskillin as a condition of approval because he believed it was not a collector road.
- [8] City Planner Jack Graham confirmed that Inniskillin is identified in the Secondary Plan as a collector road and subject to the requested road widening condition.
- [9] Brittany Moy, of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority was also in attendance.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED

- [10] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the applications in making its Decision.
- [11] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

[12] Criteria

- (24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,
- (a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2:
- (b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;
- (c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
- (d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided:
- (d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
- (e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the

highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;

- (f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- (g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- (h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- (i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- (j) the adequacy of school sites;
- (k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- (I) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- (m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).
- [13] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "concerns" regarding the slope stability, servicing, grading and access. The report highlights that "as such, staff have requested several conditions of approval be imposed on the application", which will address these concerns.
- [14] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that, with the implementation of the conditions requested by the city's planning department, the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.

- [15] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:
 - 1. That the Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered road widening across the complete 47.30 metre frontage of the lands on Inniskillin Drive, measuring 13 meters from the existing centerline of pavement/the abutting right-of-way. The exact widening must be determined by legal survey. The Owner shall provide a reference plan for registration, indicating the widening, to the City Surveyor for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required widening. The Committee requires written confirmation from City Legal Services that the transfer of the widening to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owner.
 - 2. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of both the Chief Building Official and Development Review Manager, Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or designates, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection as appropriate, and that these services do not cross the proposed severance line and are connected directly to City infrastructure. Further, the Owner(s) shall comply to 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.

In the case of a vacant parcel being created, the Owner(s) shall provide a servicing plan, to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the parcel has access to sufficient services with adequate capacity.

3. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted to the satisfaction of Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.

- 4. That the Owner provide a Slope Stability Report prepared by a Professional Geotechnical Engineer currently licensed in the Province of Ontario, that is satisfactory to Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate and to the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority to be confirmed in writing from PREDD and the Authority to the Committee, demonstrating that all parcels to be created by this application are, or can be made, suitable for residential purposes.
- 5. That the Owner(s) provide evidence (payment receipt) to the Committee that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa of cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of the land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2009-95, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
- 6. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required. The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
- 7. That upon completion of the above conditions, and within the two-year period outlined above, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for a Conveyance for which the Consent is required.

"Fabian Poulin" FABIAN POULIN VICE-CHAIR

"Terence Otto"
TERENCE OTTO
MEMBER

"Martin Vervoort"
MARTIN VERVOORT
MEMBER

"Steven Lewis" STEVEN LEWIS MEMBER

"Jocelyn Chandler"
JOCELYN CHANDLER
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **January 20, 2023**.

Michel Bellemare Secretary-Treasurer

Tundul Illemarc

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by <u>February 9, 2023</u>, delivered by email at <u>cofa@ottawa.ca</u> and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 Centrepointe Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at https://olt.gov.on.ca/. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at cofa@ottawa.ca.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be

initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436