Committee of Adjustment Received | Recu le

2023-04-28

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Comité de dérogation This document is presented in the language it was provided. Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.



Consent and Minor Variance COMMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT Panel 3

Site Address: 6335 Dobson Lane Legal Description: Part of Lot 22, Concession 2, former Township of Goulbourn File No.: D08-01-22/B-00304, D08-01-22/B-00305, D08-02-22/A-00290 & D08-02-22/A-00291 Date: April 27, 2023 Hearing Date: May 3, 2023 Planner: Sean Harrigan Official Plan Designation: Rural Countryside, Natural Systems Core Area Overlay Zoning: RU – Rural Countryside Zone

SYNOPSIS OF APPLICATION

The Owner wants to subdivide their property into three separate parcels of land to create two new lots for future residential development.

The Owners require the Consent of the Committee for Conveyances.

The property is shown on a sketch filed with the applications and the proposed parcels will be as follows:

File No.	Part No.	Frontage	Depth	Area	Municipal
					Address
B-00304	1	0m	226.7m	2.44 ha	6319
					Dobson
					Lane
B-00305	3	0m	287.7m	3.17 ha	6315
					Dobson
					Lane

The retained land, shown as Part 2 on the sketch, will have a frontage of **0 metres** on Richland Drive, an irregular depth of approximately 815.6 metres and a lot area of 39.08hectares. This parcel contains an accessory structure will be known municipally as 6335 Dobson Lane.

Approval of these applications will have the effect of creating three separate parcels of land two of which will not be in conformity with the requirements of the Zoning By-



law and therefore, Minor Variance Applications (D08-02-22/A-00290 & D08-02-22/A-00291) have been filed and will be heard concurrently with these applications.

The Owner requires the Authority of the Committee for Minor Variances from the Zoning By-law as follows:

D08-02-22/A-00290: 6319 Dobson Lane, Part 1:

a) To permit a reduced lot width of 24 metres, whereas the By-law requires a minimum lot width of 50 metres.

D08-02-22/A-00291: 6315 Dobson Lane, Part 3:

b) To permit a reduced lot width of 37.7 metres, whereas the By-law requires a minimum lot width of 50 metres.

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

The Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department **Objects** to the applications.

DISCUSSION AND RATIONALE

Application History:

The subject application was originally heard on January 11, February 15, and March 15, 2023 and subsequently adjourned each time at the request of the applicant in order to discuss planning staff's comments. The applications have not changed since the original hearing date and as such, the comments below remain largely unchanged since the last hearing.

The subject applications were deemed a complete submission on December 12, 2022 by the Committee of Adjustment. As this is after the Ministers approval of the new Official Plan on November 4, 2022, the applications must be reviewed under the new Official Plan. City Legal Services have confirmed this position in response to a letter from the applicant's lawyer questioning which Official Plan is applicable.

Discussion:

The subject site is designated Rural Countryside by Schedule B9 of the Official Plan. The intent of the Rural Countryside designation is to accommodate a variety of land uses that are appropriate for a rural location, limiting the amount of residential



development and support industries that serve local residents and the travelling public, while ensuring that the character of the rural area is preserved.

The subject site currently contains an accessory structure on 45.15 hectares of land with a Trans Canada Pipeline crossing the southernmost area. The northern portion of the site and the lands proposed for development lie within 300 metres of an active rail line. Nearly the entire property is covered is significant natural features designated Natural Heritage Systems Core Area by Schedule C11-B. Development within this designation shall maintain or enhance the integrity, biodiversity, and ecosystem services of the area, and not compromise the potential for long term enhancement of restoration of the ecological integrity, biodiversity, and ecosystem services of the area. The subject site currently does not have access to a maintained public road as the Right-of-Way (ROW) allowance located on the property's southern lot line is not maintained.

The proposed development consists of two severances located near the northern lot line along a new road allowance extending from Richland Drive. The proposed development envelope for the retained lot will also be located along the south side of the new road beside the severed lots. Richland Drive is currently a dead-end street contained entirely within the Village of Richmond.

Extending a street outside the Village boundary to facilitate new development is considered expansion of the Village boundary. The Village of Richmond is a settlement area as defined by the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) and as such, this application must adhere to PPS section 1.1.3.8 and 1.1.3.9. The subject applications cannot satisfy these PPS policies and as such, they are not appropriate development.

Under Official Plan policy 9.2.2.(3), development within 1 kilometre of a Village boundary will be reviewed to ensure it shall not impede the expansion of the settlement area and all of the follow criteria shall be considered:

- a) The use is a compatible use with a village or urban area;
- b) The development has frontage on an existing public road;
- c) The site shall consider future multi-modal connections and be designed to accommodate these along with street trees in the future; and



d) The use can be adequately serviced by on-site systems and will not place demand on the extension of public services for any reason, including fire suppression or contaminated groundwater.

The proposed development does not have frontage on an existing public road and therefore fails to satisfy this policy. Also, while the residential use is compatible within the Village, the size of the proposed residential use is not. Furthermore, given the significant environmental and engineering constraints, it is unknown whether the proposed severance and road location are appropriate and do not impede potential Village expansion.

As per the Secondary Plan, any new development in Richmond must connect to the central wastewater collection system. While the proposed severances are outside the Village boundary, the necessary road extension and lifting of the 30cm reserve would occur within the Village boundary and corresponding policies. As a result, it is unclear whether extension of these services would be required with the extension of Richland Drive.

As mentioned above, the subject site is entirely within the Natural Heritage Systems Core Area overlay as shown on Schedule C11-B of the Official Plan. As per Official Plan policy 5.6.4.1(1)(a), development or site alteration shall maintain or enhance the integrity, biodiversity, and ecosystem services of the area. Construction a new road through natural features along with the proposed development envelopes means a considerable amount of natural features are removed. Staff have reviewed the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) submitted in support of the applications and have significant concern that the proposed development does not maintain or enhance the natural features. As such, staff must oppose these applications at this time.

In addition to the environmental policies above, the rural severance policy in Official Plan section 9.2.3(3) clearly states under criteria (h) that all development on the lot shall be restricted to areas away from mature vegetation or natural features, and a development agreement may be required as a condition of severance to ensure the protection of these natural features. While nearly the entire property is covered is natural features and subject to the Natural Core Systems Area Overlay, there are areas of land near the southern lot line that are previously disturbed and removed of



mature vegetation and natural features. This means that if a severance is to be contemplated on the subject sites, despite the other policy concerns, they shall be located in such a way that the development envelopes are contained to these previous cleared areas. As of right now, the applications fail to satisfy this policy.

The proposed severances are within proximity of an active railway line and as such, a noise and vibration study are required to ensure the appropriateness of the proposed development. In accordance with the Official Plan, staff have requested a noise and vibration study as a condition of approval.

Official Plan Severance Policy:

The Planning, Real Estate, and Economic Development Department must also object to the application due to an error where multiple severance policies were combined into a single overly restrictive policy. In the Official Plan, Section 9.2.2 3) clauses a) through h) must all be met in order to sever. Sections a) through c) are the clauses which would have been intended to support the lot creation subject to this application. Clauses d), e) and h) are applicable to all consents in the Rural Countryside designation and clauses f) and g) relate to infill severances. The list, as written, indicates all clauses must be met, which this and most other ones cannot. It is Development Review staff's understanding that the Official Plan team will be preparing an amendment to clarify this, as the Province had been requested to, but did not. While it seems to have been Council's intent that the relevant severance policies for the subject application dictate that up to two residential lots may be created provided the retained lands will have a minimum area of 10 hectares and a minimum area of 0.8 hectares for the severed lands, the actual policy reads differently.

Staff note that the applications will not adhere to criteria (h) after the anticipated Official Plan amendment.

Minor Variance:

Planning staff note that the minor variance for reduced lot width is a direct result of the road design and proposed turn-around circle at the new end point of Richland Drive. Staff cannot confirm that the proposal adheres to the Four Tests as the entire development is contrary to the general intent of the Official Plan and the proposed road design, which dictates the lot width, may not be appropriate given the outstanding environmental and anticipated engineering constraints.

Conclusion:



The Planning, Real Estate, and Economic Development Department must object to the applications. Extending Richland Drive from within to outside the Village boundary is contrary to the PPS and Official Plan regarding expansion of settlement areas, development within proximity of a settlement area, and protection of natural heritage features. The proposed severances are not appropriate development and the requested variances fail to satisfy the Four Tests.

The Department has the following additional information to convey to the Applicant:

The Planning Department has identified potential sensitive marine clays, organic soils, and thin soils within the area. As a result, the Department has requested a condition of approval that requires the Owner enter into agreement with the City that is to be placed on title stating that additional studies and/or reports may be required to address the potential sensitive marine clays, organic soils, and thin soils and the City bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, for providing solutions.

Based on geological survey information sensitive marine clays are likely to be found in the location of the application. The application shall follow the City of Ottawa's Trees in Clay Soils Policy as shown here https://ottawa.ca/en/cityhall/planning-and-development/community-plans-and-design-guidelines/designand-planning/completed-guidelines/tree-planting-sensitive-marine-clay-soils-2017guidelines.

Should the Committee decide to hear the applications as scheduled, the Planning Department requests the following conditions be imposed on the applications.

 That the Owner enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, which provides the following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owner(s) on subsequent transfers:

"The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the quality or quantity of the groundwater. If, at some future date, the quality or the quantity of the groundwater becomes deficient, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility,



financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the home owner."

The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

2. That the Owners provide a report, to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa, demonstrating the adequacy of the aquifer with respect to quality and quantity to support the proposed development, failing which the Owners construct a new well on the severed lot and provide a report, to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa, to demonstrate the adequacy of the aquifer with respect to quality and quantity to support the proposed development. The report must include a septic impact assessment to evaluate the water quality impact of the on-site septic system on the receiving aquifer.

The Owners' report must demonstrate the following to the City of Ottawa:

- a. That the construction of any new well on the severed parcel is in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks
- b. That the quality of the water meets the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Regulations, Standards, Guidelines and Objectives;
- c. That the quantity of water meets all the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks requirements.
- d. That the septic impact assessment meets the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks requirements.

A qualified Professional Engineer or Professional Geoscientist must prepare the report. It is the Owner's responsibility to coordinate the person drilling a new well, if required, and the professional noted herein in order to properly satisfy this condition.

If the accepted report recommends specific mitigation measures or design requirements, the Owners shall enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owners, which is to be registered on the title of the property, which includes those recommendations. In instances where the subject site has sensitive soils, the drilling of a well or the conveyance of a 30-centimetre reserve may be required. Both the report and any required Development Agreement shall be prepared to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**.



The Report shall be prepared as per Procedure D-5-4 "Technical Guideline for Individual On-Site Sewage Systems: Water Quality Impact Risk Assessment" and Procedure D-5-5 "Technical Guideline for Private Wells: Water Supply Assessment".

- 3. That the Owner(s) provide evidence (payment receipt) to the Committee that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa of cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of the land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
- 4. The Owner shall provide written confirmation to the **General manager, Right-of-Way, Heritage, Urban Design Department** that the one-foot reserve on Richland Drive has been lifted to allow vehicular access to the severed and retained lands.
- 5. That the Owner enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, which provides the following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owner(s) on subsequent transfers:

"The City of Ottawa has identified that there are potential sensitive marine clay soils, organic soils, and thin soils within the area that may require site specific detailed geotechnical engineering solutions to allow for development, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the home owner."

The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title

6. That the Owner(s) prepares and submits a revised Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**. If the accepted report

 110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa ON K1P 1J1
 Mail code: 01-14
 Visit us: Ottawa.ca/planning

 110, av. Laurier Ouest, Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
 Courrier interne : 01-14
 Visitez-nous : Ottawa.ca/urbanisme



recommends specific mitigation measures or other requirements, the Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager** of the relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic **Development Department, or his/her designate**, which is to be registered on the title of the property, which includes those recommendations.

- 7. That the Owner(s) complete a Road Opening Application, at the owner(s) expense and to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to extend Richland Drive in accordance with the approved applications and such that each severed and retained lot is zoning compliant. The road must be constructed to a point that an in-service memo has been issued by the City and that each severed and retained lot can obtain a private approach permit before this condition can be cleared.
- 8. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, that the accessory structure on the retained parcel is accessory to a permitted use and is zoning compliant, or that the accessory structure has been removed such that the parcel is zoning compliant.
- 9. The Owner(s) shall prepare a noise and vibration attenuation study in compliance with the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate. The Owner(s) shall enter into an agreement with the City that requires the Owner to implement any noise control and vibration attenuation measures recommended in the approved study. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.



Sean Harrigun

Sean Harrigan Planner I, Development Review, PRED Dept.

Day Mililliams

Cheryl McWilliams, MCIP, RPP Planner III, Development Review, PRED Dept.