

## DECISION CONSENT/SEVERANCE

<b>Date of Decision</b>	May 26, 2023
<b>File No(s):</b>	D08-01-22/B-00325 & D08-01-22/B-00326
<b>Application:</b>	Consent under Section 53 of the <i>Planning Act</i>
<b>Owner(s)/Applicant(s):</b>	Matthew Bartley
<b>Property Address:</b>	3575 Paden Road
<b>Ward:</b>	21 – Rideau-Jock
<b>Legal Description:</b>	Part of Lot 14, Concession 2, former Township of Rideau
<b>Zoning:</b>	RU
<b>Zoning By-law:</b>	2008-250
<b>Hearing Date:</b>	May 17, 2023

### APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS

- [1] The Owner wants to subdivide his property into three parcels of land to create two new lots for future residential development.

### CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

- [2] The Owner requires the Consent of the Committee for Conveyances. The property is shown on a sketch filed with the applications and the separate parcels will be as follows:

File No.	Frontage	Depth	Area	Lot No.	Municipal Address
B-00325	80.4 m	100.5 m	0.8 ha.	Lot 1	3535 Paden Road (vacant)
B-00326	80.0 m	100.5 m	0.8 ha.	Lot 2	3545 Paden Road (vacant)

- [3] The retained lands will have frontage of 92 metres on Paden Road, to a depth of 874 metres, and will contain a lot area of approximately 21.91 hectares. This lot contains an existing detached dwelling and is known municipally as 3575 Paden Road.
- [4] The applications indicate that the Property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

- [5] Prior to the hearing, the Committee received an adjournment request from City Planner Luke Teeft. At the hearing, he explained that the applications did not meet all the requirements in the Official Plan due to a policy error.
- [6] The Committee also heard from Rod Price, Agent for the Applicant, who requested that the Committee hear the applications, noting that the City had not provided a timeline for the resolution of the error in the Official Plan. The Committee agreed to hear the applications.
- [7] The Panel Chair administered an oath to Mr. Price, who confirmed that the statutory notice posting requirements were satisfied.

### **Oral Submissions Summary**

- [8] Mr. Price agreed to the conditions requested in the City's Planning Report.
- [9] City Planner Luke Teeft stated he had no concerns with the applications.
- [10] Eric Lalande of the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority indicated he had no concerns with the applications, noting the proposal would have no impact on nearby natural features.

## **DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED**

### **Applications Must Satisfy Statutory Tests**

- [11] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

#### **Criteria**

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

(a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;

(b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;

- (c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
- (d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;
  - (d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
- (e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;
- (f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- (g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- (h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- (i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- (j) the adequacy of school sites;
- (k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- (l) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- (m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

### **Evidence**

[12] Evidence considered by the Committee included any oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Application and supporting documents, including a planning rationale, plans and an Environmental Impact Statement..

- City Planning Report, received May 12, 2023, with objections or requesting adjournment
- Revised City Planning Report, received May 16, 2023, with objections or requesting adjournment
- Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email, dated May 15, 2023, with no objections
- Hydro Ottawa email, dated May 10, 2023, with no comments
- Ministry of Transportation email, dated May 8, 2023, with no comments
- Steven Pappas, email dated May 10, 2023, with comments

### **Effect of Submissions on Decision**

[13] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the applications.

[14] The Committee notes that, while the City's Planning Report highlights objections to the applications based on a policy error in the Official Plan, it concludes that the: "Environmental Impact Statement provided with the application identifies 0.2-hectare development envelopes which are deemed satisfactory. As such, Planning has no concerns with the proposed lots apart from the discrepancy within the Official Plan Rural Countryside Severances Policy".

[15] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.

[16] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, **which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:**

1. That the Owner enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, which provides the

following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owner(s) on subsequent transfers:

“The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the quality or quantity of the groundwater. If, at some future date, the quality or the quantity of the groundwater becomes deficient, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the homeowner.”

The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

2. That the Owner enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, which provides the following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owner(s) on subsequent transfers:

“The City of Ottawa has identified that there are potential thin soils and organic soils within the area that may require site specific detailed geotechnical engineering solutions to allow for development, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the homeowner.”

The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

3. That the Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered road widening across the complete frontage of the lands, measuring 13 meters from the existing centerline of pavement/the abutting right-of-way along Paden Road, pursuant to Section 50.1(25)(c) of the Planning Act and Schedule C16 of the City’s new Official Plan. The exact widening must be determined by legal survey. The Owner shall provide a reference plan for registration, indicating the widening, to the City Surveyor for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required widening. The Committee requires written confirmation from City Legal Services that the transfer of the widening to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owner.
4. That the Owners provide a report, to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa, demonstrating the adequacy of the aquifer with respect to quality and

quantity to support the proposed development, failing which the Owners construct a new well on the severed lot and provide a report, to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa, to demonstrate the adequacy of the aquifer with respect to quality and quantity to support the proposed development. The report must include a septic impact assessment to evaluate the water quality impact of the on-site septic system on the receiving aquifer.

The Owners' report must demonstrate the following to the City of Ottawa:

- That the construction of any new well on the severed parcel is in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks
- That the quality of the water meets the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Regulations, Standards, Guidelines and Objectives;
- That the quantity of water meets all the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks requirements.
- That the septic impact assessment meets the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks requirements.

A qualified Professional Engineer or Professional Geoscientist must prepare the report. It is the Owner's responsibility to coordinate the person drilling a new well, if required, and the professional noted herein in order to properly satisfy this condition.

If the accepted report recommends specific mitigation measures or design requirements, the Owners shall enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owners, which is to be registered on the title of the property, which includes those recommendations. In instances where the subject site has sensitive soils, the drilling of a well or the conveyance of a 30-centimetre reserve may be required. Both the report and any required Development Agreement shall be prepared to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.**

5. That the Owner(s) provide plans, drawings or reports as may be required to demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the **Manager, Right-of-Way, Heritage, and Urban Design Department or his/her designate** that a private approach that conforms with the Private Approach By-law (2003-447) can reasonably be established on the severed land, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee.

6. That the Owner(s) enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of the **General Manager of the Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, which is to be registered on the title of the property, which includes the recommendations included within the Environmental Impact Statement prepared by Gemtec on August 20, 2022.
7. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required.** The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
8. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the “electronic registration in preparation documents” for the Conveyances for which the Consent is required.

*“Fabian Poulin”*  
FABIAN POULIN  
VICE-CHAIR

*“Terence Otto”*  
TERENCE OTTO  
MEMBER

*“Steven Lewis”*  
STEVEN LEWIS  
MEMBER

*“Martin Vervoort”*  
MARTIN VERVOORT  
MEMBER

*“Jocelyn Chandler”*  
JOCELYN CHANDLER  
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **May 26, 2023**.



Michel Bellemare  
Secretary-Treasurer

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **June 15, 2023**, delivered by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca) and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment,  
101 CentrepoinTE Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <https://olt.gov.on.ca/>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca).

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A “specified person” does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

### **NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)**

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

*Ce document est également offert en français.*

**Committee of Adjustment**  
City of Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment](http://Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment)  
[cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436



**Comité de dérogation**  
Ville d'Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation](http://Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation)  
[cded@ottawa.ca](mailto:cded@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436