This document is presented in the language it was provided. Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.



VALIDATION OF TITLE (CONSENT) APPLICATION COMMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT PANEL 2

PLANNING, REAL ESTATE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Site Address: 138 Acacia Avenue

Legal Description: Part of Lots 5, 6, 7 & 8, Registered Plan 4M-46

File No.: D08-01-23/T-0005 Report Date: November 9, 2023 Hearing Date: November 14, 2023

Cass Sclauzero Planner:

Official Plan Designation: Inner Urban Transect, Neighbourhood

R1C[1260] Zoning:

Committee of Adjustment Received | Recu le

2023-11-10

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Comité de dérogation

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

The Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department requests an adjournment of the application.

DISCUSSION AND RATIONALE

Section 57 (1) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13, as amended, permits a committee of adjustment to issue a certificate of validation with respect to land that was previously conveyed and subsequently found to be in contravention of the current Act or a predecessor of it.

The subject property, along with 136 Acacia Avenue, were subject to a previous application seeking consent from the Committee for a lot line adjustment. Staff recommended refusal of the application on the grounds that there was not sufficient evidence to demonstrate that 136 and 138 Acacia had not merged on title and were indeed separate parcels of land. At its hearing on May 17, 2023, the Committee adjourned the application sine die.

Staff request an adjournment of the Validation of Title application to allow more time to review the new information provided by the applicant in support of the application.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Staff note that, Per Section 57 (6) of the Act. a Validation of Title shall not be issued unless the land in questions conforms to the criteria that apply to the granting of consents under Section 51 (24).

In the previous staff report, staff commented that the proposed consent did not satisfy s. 51 (24)(c) of the *Planning Act*, which directs consideration of a consent application to have regard for conformity with an official plan. Policy 4.5.2 of the Official Plan requires that development applications comply with applicable Heritage Conservation District Plans.

The property is listed as a Grade 1 property within the Rockcliffe Park Heritage Conservation District (HCD). While the map included for illustration purposes in the Rockcliffe Park HCD Plan shows 136 and 138 Acacia Avenue as two properties, the Heritage Survey and Evaluation Form clearly reviewed and evaluated the property as one lot, particularly in the "Garden/Landscape/Environment" section where it is referred to multiple times as a "large corner lot." Per the Rockcliffe Park HCD Plan, "Existing larger lots with Grade I buildings shall be preserved". Heritage Planning Branch provided comment stating that they could not support the request for a severance at 136-138 Acacia Avenue given that an application to sever a Grade I property does not comply with the Rockcliffe Park HCD and is therefore not in conformity with the Official Plan.

Cass Sclauzero

Planner I, Development Review, East Planning, Real Estate and Economic **Development Department**

Erin O'Connell

For Carell

Planner III, Development Review, Central Planning, Real Estate and Economic **Development Department**