Committee of Adjustment



Hawa Comité de dérogation

DECISIONCONSENT/SEVERANCE

Date of Decision: November 24, 2023

Panel: 1 - Urban

File No.: D08-01-23/B-00268 & D08-01-23/B-00269
Application: Consent under section 53 of the *Planning Act*

Owner/Applicant: 2724615 Ontario Inc.

Property Address: 425 Blake Boulevard

Ward: 12 – Rideau-Vanier

Legal Description: Part of Lot 9 Registered Plan 504 and Part of Lot 3,

Block 5, Registered Plan 29

Zoning: R4UA **Zoning By-law:** 2008-250

Hearing Date: November 15, 2023, in person and by videoconference.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS

[1] The Owner wants to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land to create two new lots for the construction of two, three-storey low rise apartment buildings. The existing dwelling will be demolished.

CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

[2] The Owner requires the Committee's consent to sever the land, easements/rights-of-way and a joint use and maintenance agreement. The property is shown as Parts 1-6 on the Draft 4R-Plan filed with the applications and the separate parcels will be as follows:

Table 1 Proposed Parcels

File No.	Frontage	Depth	Area	Part	Municipal Address
				No.	
B-00268	11.48 m	43.82 m	611.23 sq. m	1, 2 & 3	425 Blake Blvd.
B-00269	11.42 m	43.83 m	499.82 sq. m	4, 5 & 6	427 Blake Blvd.

It is proposed to establish and easement/right-of way as follows:

- Easement over Parts 2 & 3 in favour of 4, 5 & 6 for vehicular and pedestrian access and maintenance.
- Easement over Parts 4 & 5 in favour of 1, 2 & 3 for vehicular and pedestrian access and maintenance.
- [3] Approval of these applications will have the effect of creating separate parcels of land that will not be in conformity with the requirements of the Zoning By-law and therefore, minor variance application (File No. D08-02-23A-00260) has been filed and will be heard concurrently with these applications.

PUBLIC HEARING

Oral Submissions Summary

- [4] The Committee called the application forward to discuss a possible adjournment to allow the Applicant time to provide sufficient information regarding the turning radius shown on the plans. Jessica D'Aoust, Agent for the Applicant, confirmed that the proposed laneway to access the parking spaces was compliant and there would be no issue with cars accessing the proposed parking spaces.
- [5] The Committee agreed to hear the applications without delay.
- [6] Ms. D'Aoust provided an overview of the application and responded to questions from the Committee. She confirmed that the laneway leading to the parking spaces was greater than required and that a turning radius, as indicated on the site plan filed, would allow for access of motor vehicles to the parking spaces. She further advised that the functionality of the parking spaces would be further reviewed at the building permit stage.
- [7] Ms. D'Aoust highlighted that snow storage and removal was possible without impacting soft landscaping or site design elements. She also confirmed that the site had gone through a previous site plan control process and a stormwater management brief was done at that time for a low-rise apartment building.
- [8] City Forester Hayley Murray was present.
- [9] City Planner Margot Linker noted that while there was sufficient space onsite to move garbage. the latter's location could be shifted north to provide more of a buffer with the parking area.
- [10] Following the public hearing, the Committee reserved its decision.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED

Application(s) Must Satisfy Statutory Tests

[11] Under the Planning Act, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and

orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

Criteria

- (24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,
- (a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;
- (b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;
- (c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
- (d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;
- (d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
- (e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;
- (f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- (g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- (h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- (i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- (j) the adequacy of school sites;
- (k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;

- (I) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- (m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

Evidence

- [12] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:
 - Application and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, revised site plan, tree information, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
 - City Planning Report received November 10, 2023, with no concerns and received November 9, 2023, with no concerns.
 - Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email dated November 10, 2023, with no objections.
 - Hydro Ottawa email received November 8, 2023, with no concerns.
 - Hydro One email dated November 8, 2023, with no concerns.
 - Bell email received November 10, 2023, with easement request.
 - K. Walsh email dated October 31, 2023, in support.

Effect of Submissions on Decision

- [13] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the applications.
- [14] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the applications.
- [15] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development

of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.

- [16] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:
 - 1. That the Owner(s) provide evidence that the accompanying Minor Variance Application (D08-02-23A-00260) have been approved, with all levels of appeal exhausted.
 - 2. That the Owner(s) provide evidence that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa for cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
 - 3. The Owner/Applicant(s) shall prepare and submit a tree planting plan, prepared to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the relevant Branch within the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, showing the location of 4 new 50mm trees to be planted, two on each proposed property, construction, to enhance the urban tree canopy and streetscape.
 - 4. The Owners agree to provide a revised tree information report to the satisfaction of the **General Manager of the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**. This report shall be prepared by an Arborist, identifying all trees protected under the City's Tree Protection by-law, showing overlay of the as-of-right building footprint, and existing trees and meeting the standards of the City's Tree Information Report Guidelines, including specific mitigation measures where work is proposed within the Critical Root Zone of a protected tree.
 - 5. That the Owner(s) provide proof to the satisfaction of the **Development**Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and

 Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the existing dwelling/building has been removed.

- 6. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of both the Chief Building Official and Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or designates, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection as appropriate, and that these services do not cross the proposed severance line and are connected directly to City infrastructure. Further, the Owner(s) shall comply with 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.
- 7. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the accessory structure has been demolished in accordance with the demolition permit or relocated in conformity with the Zoning By-law.
- 8. That the Owner(s) enter into a Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements at the expense of the Owner(s), setting forth the obligations between the Owner(s) and the proposed future owners.

The Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements Agreement shall set forth the joint use and maintenance of all common elements including, but not limited to, the, common areas, common driveways and common landscaping.

The Owner shall ensure that the Agreement is binding upon all the unit owners and successors in title and shall be to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, and City Legal Services.** The Committee requires written confirmation that the Agreement is satisfactory to the **Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, and is satisfactory to **City Legal Services**, as well as a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

9. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch

within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.

10. That the Owner(s) submit a Stormwater Management Brief prepared by a Professional Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, for approval by the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, demonstrating a SWM design for the control of post-development runoff from the subject site, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to a 2-year predevelopment level. The Owner(s) also agrees to enter into a Development Agreement with the City to implement any proposed stormwater system including posting required securities. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

If applicable, the Owner(s) must obtain an Environmental Compliance Approval from the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Should the stormwater management system cross property lines or access to the system be over multiple properties, that the owner will be required to obtain approval of the Committee to grant easement(s) for access and maintenance of the stormwater system or register a Joint Use and Maintenance Agreement on title of the properties, all at the owner(s) costs.

- 11. That the Owner(s) enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of the **Development Review** Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, to require that an asphalt overlay will be installed, at the Owner(s) expense, on Blake Boulevard, fronting the subject lands, over the entire public driving surface area within the limits of the overlay, if the approved Site Servicing Plan shows three or more cuts within the pavement surface. The overlay must be carried out to the satisfaction the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title. If the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate determines that a Development Agreement requiring an asphalt overlay is no longer necessary, this condition shall be deemed as fulfilled.
- 12. That the Owner grant to Bell Canada, without cost, such easements as may be required, the consent to the registration of which is hereby granted.

- 13. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required. The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
- 14. That upon completion of the above conditions, and within the two-year period outlined above, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for a Conveyance for which the Consent is required.

"Ann M. Tremblay" ANN M. TREMBLAY CHAIR

"John Blatherwick"
JOHN BLATHERWICK
MEMBER

"Arto Keklikian" ARTO KEKLIKIAN MEMBER

Timbel Sellemarc

"Simon Coakeley" SIMON COAKELEY MEMBER

"Sharon Lecuyer"
SHARON LECUYER
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **NOVEMBER 24, 2023.**

Michel Bellemare Secretary-Treasurer

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **DECEMBER 14, 2023**, delivered by email at cofa@ottawa.ca and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 Centrepointe Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at https://olt.gov.on.ca/. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at cofa@ottawa.ca.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca

613-580-2436

Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436