This document is presented in the language it was provided. Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.



P.O. BOX 13593, STN. KANATA, OTTAWA, ON K2K 1X6

TELEPHONE: (613) 838-5717 WEBSITE: WWW.IFSASSOCIATES.CA

URBAN FORESTRY & FOREST MANAGEMENT CONSULTING

September 29, 2023

Todd Sinclair, BID TimberCross Homes Inc. 1 Doraty Lane Ottawa, ON K2S 1P6

Committee of Adjustment Received | Reçu le

2023-10-03

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Comité de dérogation

RE: TREE INFORMATION REPORT (FULL) FOR 36 CHERRY DRIVE, STITTSVILLE

This Tree Information Report (TIR) was prepared by IFS Associates Inc. (IFS) in support of an application to sever 36 Cherry Drive. The need for this report is related to trees protected under the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection By-law (By-law No. 2020-340). The work proposed for the subject property involves the construction of a new single-family dwelling on the newly severed lot.

Within the suburban area of Ottawa a TIR is required for infill developments and/or demolitions when a 'distinctive' tree is present (i.e. 50 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH) or greater). This includes distinctive trees on adjacent properties which have critical root zones (CRZ) extending onto a property slated for development or demolition. A "tree" is defined in the Bylaw as any species of woody perennial plant, including its root system, which has reached or can reach a minimum height of at least 450 cm at physiological maturity. The CRZ is calculated as DBH x 10 cm.

The approval of this TIR by the city and the issuing of a permit authorizes the removal of approved trees. Importantly, although this report may be used to support the application for a tree removal permit, it does not by itself constitute permission to remove trees or begin site clearing activities. No such work should occur before a tree removal permit is issued authorizing the injury or destruction of a tree in accordance with the By-law.

The inventory in this report details the assessment of six individual distinctive trees found on the subject property. All the trees are fully on the subject property and no trees were encountered on nearby City of Ottawa lands. Field work for this report was completed in September 2023.

TREE SPECIES, CONDITION, SIZE AND STATUS

Table 1 on pages 2 and 3 details the six individual distinctive trees on the subject property. All trees are referenced by the numbers plotted on the tree information plan included on page 4 of this report.



Table 1. Tree information for 36 Cherry Drive

	. Tree information							
Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH ²	CRZ^3	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	for	Opinion re.
	Construction ¹				$(m)^4$	removed or preserved and protected)	removal	Removal
1	Silver maple	Private	53.7	5.4	>6	Fair; mature; central stem divergent	Not	NA
	(Acer					towards northeast; epicormic growth on	applicable	
	saccharinum)					bole now dominant; native species; to be	– to be	
	/ Poor -					preserved and protected	preserved	
	Moderate							
2	Norway	Private	52.7	5.3	+/-3	Poor; mature; co-dominant stems at 1.75m	Poor	Tree and
	maple					with weak union; mature eutypella canker	condition	stump be
	(Acer					at at 2.5-4m from grade on north stem;	&	removed
	platanoides) /					previous branch loss due to cankers;	proximity	
	Moderate -					introduced invasive species; to be	to	
	Good					removed	excavation	
3	Norway	Private	48.1	4.8	>10	Very poor; mature; co-dominant stems at	Not	See note
	maple					2.5m; both stems previously topped –	applicable	
	(Acer					northeast stem at 3.5m, south at 5.5m;	not near	
	platanoides) /					lateral stems below topping wounds now	excavation	
	Moderate -					dominant; shallow cavity at 0.15-0.65m		
	Good					from grade on southwest; introduced		
						invasive species; to be preserved and		
						protected (though recommended for		
						removal due to very poor condition)		
4	Silver maple	Private	93.0	9.3	>10	Poor; overmature; central pedestal to 7m	Not	See note
	(Acer					with tri-dominant stems above; competing	applicable	
	saccharinum)					laterals at 2m on southeast and 6m on	not near	
	/ Poor -					southwest; extensive heavy damage from	excavation	
	Moderate					recent storms; native species; to be		
						preserved and protected (though		
						recommended for removal due to poor		
						condition)		



Table 1. Con't

10010 1								
Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH ²	CRZ^3	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	for	Opinion re.
	Construction ¹				$(m)^4$	removed or preserved and protected)	removal	Removal
5	Norway	Private	55.3	5.5	>10	Poor; mature; co-dominant stems 3m with	Not	See note
	maple					weak union; northwest stem holds 2/3 of	applicable	
	(Acer					living crown; both stems with longitudinal	not near	
	platanoides) /					wounds from past branch loss – 5-6m	excavation	
	Moderate -					from grade on southeast stem and 5-7m		
	Good					from grade on northwest; introduced		
						invasive species; to be preserved and		
						<pre>protected (though recommended for</pre>		
						removal due to poor condition)		
6	Norway	Private	66.8	6.7	>10	Poor; mature; central stem with competing	Not	See note
	maple					laterals at 2.5m on southeast and 3.5m on	applicable	
	(Acer					north; weak unions between central and	– to be	
	platanoides)/					lateral stems; cavities and early decay	preserved	
	Moderate -					associated with wounds from previous		
	Good					pruning and loss of secondary branches;		
						crown form is fully asymmetric towards		
						north and west due to continual pruning		
						from nearby Hydro lines; introduced		
						invasive species; to be preserved and		
						<pre>protected (though recommended for</pre>		
						removal due to poor condition)		
1		1 .		and T 1	T' 10 '1	25: 10.11.11.12.6		3

¹As taken from Managing Trees during Construction; 2nd Ed., Fite and Smiley; ² Diameter at breast height, or 1.3m from grade (unless otherwise indicated); ³ Critical root zone (CRZ) is considered as being 10 centimetres from the trunk of a tree for every centimetre of DBH. The CRZ is calculated as DBH x 10 cm; ⁴Approximate distances only.



GENERAL NOTES PLANS COMPLETED BY FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND LEGEND SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND SIB - STANDARD IRON BAR SSIB - SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR IRON BAR REGISTERED PLAN 146 SKETCH PREPARED FOR THE COMMITTEE OF PLAN 4R-4144 ADJUSTMENTS PURPOSES ONLY - REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1340 MEASURED (S) - SET DIA. — DIAMETER REGISTEREDI (857) - FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND (REF 4-4M-146) (REF. 258-10 GOULBOURN) (1473) - D. A. SIMMONDS, O.L.S. BLOCK 12 (SG) - STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD. O.L.S. PLAN PIN - PROPERTY IDENTIFIER NUMBER PIN 04451 - 0403 Fence 0.16 North— _______0.06 East 4 M - 1 3 4 0 BLOCK 11 TREE TO REMAIN IB IB CRITICAL ROOT ZONE PIN 04451 -- 0402 TREE TO BE REMOVED BLOCK 10 CRITICAL ROOT ZONE PIN 04451 - 0401 PROTECTIVE FENCING 24.89 (N 59.44.00 E P) BLOCK g Concrete Curb 31.73 (P1&S) -----18.16 (P1&M) (1473) In Ground Swimming Pool ωz r∽ $\mathbb{Z} \propto$ ° ≥ ≥ ⊃ 7000 0 4 4 5 1 - 0 0 0 9 4 M - 1 4 6 ⊢ ≷ πο $\propto \sigma_{\rm ur}$ ____ PART < 0 < PART 2 o. of the 4 R - 14 1 4 4 PLAN ∠2nd Storey Extension 00 PART PART 2 REGISTERED Fence 0.44 — __x __x __x ___ BOARD _ FENCE __x __x __x __x __x __x __x __x __x #1703 1½ Storey Dwelling (Stucco) 04451-0007 #36
2 Storey Dwelling
(Brick & Siding)
(Foundation Noted) Attached Garage 1 Storey #38 1 Storey Dwelling (Brick) Covered Porch 11/1/// \circ 2.5 √ (MT)(857) 24.09 21.50 (P1&S) 32.17 (P1&M) (44.81 P) (REFERENCE BEARING) 44.86 (857&S) N 53" 58" 10" E BLOCK "A" 0.30 RESERVE REG. PLAN 4M-146 Tree Information Plan 36 CHERRY DRIVE CITY OF OTTAWA CHERYDRIV/E 0 4 4 5 1 = 0 0 1 1 PIN (N 51"49'50" E GRID) N 5276'40"_E_ 6 1 3 - 8 3 8 - 5 7 1 7 Andrew K. Boyd, R.P.F 1:140 2023-09-29 3 6 C H AWN BY: SS

Pictures 1 to 4 on pages 7 through 10 of this report show selected distinctive trees on the subject property.

PROVINCIAL REGULATIONS

Certain provincial regulations are applicable to trees on private property. In particular, the Endangered Species Act – ESA (2007) mandates that tree species on the Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO) list be identified. Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) is present in Eastern Ontario and is listed as threatened on the SARO. Because of this it is protected from harm. No trees of this species were found on or near the subject property.

TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

Protection measures intended to mitigate damage during construction will be applied for the trees to be preserved. The following measures are the minimum required by the City of Ottawa to ensure tree survival during and following construction:

- 1. Erect a fence as close as possible to the critical root zone (CRZ) of trees (City of Ottawa tree protection barrier detail included on page 6).
- 2. Do not place any material or equipment within the CRZ of the tree.
- 3. Do not attach any signs, notices or posters to any tree.
- 4. Do not raise or lower the existing grade within the CRZ without approval.
- 5. Tunnel or bore when digging within the CRZ of a tree.
- 6. Do not damage the root system, trunk or branches of any tree.
- 7. Ensure that exhaust fumes from all equipment are NOT directed towards any tree's crown.

REPLACEMENT TREE PLANTING OR COMPENSATION

As no distinctive trees are to be removed because of the proposed construction, no replacement tree planting or compensation is required in this instance.

This report is subject to the attached limitations of tree assessments and liability to which the reader's attention is directed. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions concerning this report.


Yours,

Andrew K. Boyd, B.Sc.F, R.P.F. (#1828)

Certified Arborist #ON-0496A and TRAQualified

Consulting Urban Forester





TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS:

- PRIOR TO ANY WORK ACTIVITY WITHIN THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ = 10 X DIAMETER) OF A TREE, TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE INSTALLED SURROUNDING THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE, AND REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE WORK IS COMPLETE.
- 2. UNLESS PLANS ARE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF, FOR WORK WITHIN THE CRZ:
 - DO NOT PLACE ANY MATERIAL OR EQUIPMENT INCLUDING OUTHOUSES;
 - DO NOT ATTACH ANY SIGNS, NOTICES OR POSTERS TO ANY TREE;
 - DO NOT RAISE OR LOWER THE EXISTING GRADE;
 - TUNNEL OR BORE WHEN DIGGING;
 - DO NOT DAMAGE THE ROOT SYSTEM, TRUNK, OR BRANCHES OR ANY TREE:
 - ENSURE THAT EXHAUST FUMES FROM ALL EQUIPMENT ARE NOT DIRECTED TOWARD ANY TREE CANOPY.
 - DO NOT EXTEND HARD SURFACE OR SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGE LANDSCAPING
- 3. TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE AT LEAST 1.2M IN HEIGHT, AND CONSTRUCTED OF RIGID OR FRAMED MATERIALS (E.G. MODULOC STEEL, PLYWOOD HOARDING, OR SNOW FENCE ON A 2"X4" WOOD FRAME) WITH POSTS 2.4M APART, SUCH THAT THE FENCE LOCATION CANNOT BE ALTERED. ALL SUPPORTS AND BRACING MUST BE PLACED OUTSIDE OF THE CRZ, AND INSTALLATION MUST MINIMISE DAMAGE TO EXISTING ROOTS. (SEE DETAIL)
- 4. THE LOCATION OF THE TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE DETERMINED BY AN ARBORIST AND DETAILED ON ANY ASSOCIATED PLANS FOR THE SITE (E.G. TREE CONSERVATION REPORT, TREE INFORMATION REPORT, ETC). THE PLAN AND CONSTRUCTED FENCING MUST BE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
- 5. IF THE FENCED TREE PROTECTION AREA MUST BE REDUCED TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION MEASURES MUST BE PRESCRIBED BY AN ARBORIST AND APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF. THESE MAY INCLUDE THE PLACEMENT OF PLYWOOD, WOOD CHIPS, OR STEEL PLATING OVER THE ROOTS FOR PROTECTION OR THE PROPER PRUNING AND CARE OF ROOTS WHERE ENCOUNTERED.

THE CITY'S TREE PROTECTION BY-LAW, 2020-340 PROTECTS BOTH CITY-OWNED TREES, CITY-WIDE, AND PRIVATELY-OWNED TREES WITHIN THE URBAN AREA. PLEASE REFER TO WWW.OTTAWA.CA/TREEBYLAW FOR MORE INFORMATION ON HOW THE TREE BY-LAW APPLIES.

ACCESSIBLE FORMATS AND COMMUNICATION SUPPORTS ARE AVAILABLE, UPON REQUEST




TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

TO BE IMPLEMENTED FOR RETAINED TREES, BOTH ON SITE AND ON ADJACENT SITES, PRIOR TO ANY TREE REMOVAL OR SITE WORKS AND MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF WORK ACTIVITIES ON SITE.

SCALE: NTS

DATE: MARCH 2021

DRAWING NO.: 1 of 1



Picture 1. Tree #1, private silver maple at 36 Cherry Drive.





Picture 2. Tree #2, private Norway maple at 36 Cherry Drive





Picture 3. Tree #4, private silver maple at 36 Cherry Drive





Picture 4. Tree #6, private Norway maple at 36 Cherry Drive.

LIMITATIONS OF TREE ASSESSMENTS & LIABILITY

GENERAL

It is the policy of *IFS Associates Inc.* to attach the following clause regarding limitations. We do this to ensure that our clients are clearly aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in assessing trees for retention.

This report was prepared by *IFS Associates Inc.* at the request of the client. The information, interpretation and analysis expressed in this report are for the sole benefit and exclusive use of the client. Possession of this report or a copy thereof does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by any other than the client to whom it is addressed. Unless otherwise required by law, neither all or any part of the contents of this report, nor copy thereof, shall be conveyed by anyone, including the client, to the public through public relations, news or other media, without the prior expressly written consent of the author, and especially as to value conclusions, identity of the author, or any reference to any professional society or institute or to any initialed designation conferred upon the author as stated in his qualifications.

This report and any values expressed herein represent the opinion of the author; his fee is in no way contingent upon the reporting of a specified value, a stipulated result, nor upon any finding to be reported.

Details obtained from photographs, sketches, *etc.*, are intended as visual aids and are not to scale. They should not be construed as engineering reports or surveys. Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the tree(s) should be reassessed at least annually. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of the inspection only. The loss or alteration of any part of this report invalidates the entire report.

LIMITATIONS

The information contained in this report covers only the tree(s) in question and no others. It reflects the condition of the assessed tree(s) at the time of inspection and was limited to a visual examination of the accessible portions only. *IFS Associates Inc.* has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the forestry and arboricultural professions, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report. The assessment of the tree(s) presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the aboveground portions of each tree for structural defects, scars, cracks, cavities, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect infestations, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the proximity of people and property. Except where specifically noted in the report, the tree(s) examined were not dissected, cored, probed or climbed to gain further evidence of their structural condition. Also, unless otherwise noted, no detailed root collar examinations involving excavation were undertaken.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the tree(s) proposed for retention are healthy, no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, are offered that these trees, or any parts of them, will remain standing. This includes other trees on or off the property not examined as part of this assignment. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with

absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or groups of trees or their component parts in all circumstances, especially when within construction zones. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure in the event of root loss due to excavation and other construction-related impacts. This risk can only be eliminated through full tree removal.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigour constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, or seasonal variations in the weather. It is a condition of this report that *IFS Associates Inc.* be notified of any changes in tree condition and be provided an opportunity to review or revise the recommendations within this report. Recognition of changes to a tree's condition requires expertise and extensive experience. It is recommended that *IFS Associates Inc.* be employed to re-inspect the tree(s) with sufficient frequency to detect if conditions have changed significantly.

ASSUMPTIONS

Statements made to *IFS Associates Inc*. in regard to the condition, history and location of the tree(s) are assumed to be correct. Unless indicated otherwise, all trees under investigation in this report are assumed to be on the client's property. A recent survey prepared by a Licensed Ontario Land Surveyor showing all relevant trees, both on and adjacent to the subject property, will be provided prior to the start of field work. The final version of the grading plan for the project will be provided prior to completion of the report. Any further changes to this plan invalidate the report on which it is based. *IFS Associates Inc*. must be provided the opportunity to revise the report in relation to any significant changes to the grading plan. The procurement of said survey and grading plan, and the costs associated with them both, are the responsibility of the client, not *IFS Associates Inc*.

LIABILITY

Without limiting the foregoing, no liability is assumed by IFS Associates Inc. for:

- 1) Any legal description provided with respect to the property.
- 2) Issues of title and/or ownership with respect to the property.
- 3) The accuracy of the property line locations or boundaries with respect to the property.
- 4) The accuracy of any other information provided by the client of third parties.
- 5) Any consequential loss, injury or damages suffered by the client or any third parties, including but not limited to replacement costs, loss of use, earnings and business interruption; and,
- 6) The unauthorized distribution of the report.

Further, under no circumstances may any claims be initiated or commenced by the client against *IFS Associates Inc.* or any of its directors, officers, employees, contractors, agents or assessors, in contract or in tort, more than 12 months after the date of this report.

ONGOING SERVICES

IFS Associates Inc. accepts no responsibility for the implementation of any or all parts of the report, unless specifically requested to supervise the implementation or examine the results of activities recommended herein. In the event that examination or supervision is requested, that request shall be made in writing and the details, including fees, agreed to in advance.