

DECISION CONSENT/SEVERANCE

Date of Decision	March 15, 2024
Panel:	1 - Urban
File No.:	D08-01-24/B-00002
Application:	Consent under Section 53 of the <i>Planning Act</i>
Owners/Applicants:	Li Kuang and Xiang Zhu Chen
Property Address:	295 & 297 Dovercourt Avenue
Ward:	15 - Kitchissippi
Legal Description:	Lot 14 and Part of Lot 13, Registered Plan 310
Zoning:	R3R [2687] H (8.5)
Zoning By-law:	2008-250
Hearing Date:	March 6, 2024, in person and by videoconference

APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION

- [1] The Owners want to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land for the construction of a semi-detached dwelling. The existing dwelling will be demolished.

CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

- [2] The Owners require the Committee's consent to sever.
- [3] The severed property is shown as Part 2 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the applications, will have a frontage of 8.69 metres, a depth of 31.09 metres, and a lot area of 270.06 square metres. This parcel will contain one half of the proposed semi-detached dwelling and will be municipally known as 295 Dovercourt Avenue.
- [4] The retained property is shown as Part 1 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the applications, will have a frontage of 8.69 metres, a depth of 31.09 metres and a lot area of 270.07 square metres. This parcel will contain one half of the proposed semi-detached dwelling and will be municipally known as 297 Dovercourt Avenue.
- [5] Approval of this application will have the effect of creating two separate parcels of land that will be in conformity with the requirements of the Zoning By-law. However, the proposed development would not meet the zoning requirements, therefore minor variance applications (File Nos. D08-02-24/A-00002 and A-00005) have been filed and will be heard concurrently with this application.

PUBLIC HEARING

Oral Submissions Summary

- [6] Saba Al Mathno, Agent for the Applicant, provided a slide presentation, a copy of which is on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request.
- [7] In response to questions from the Committee, City Planner Margot Linker confirmed that the Streetscape Character Analysis was based on 21 lots any any properties beyond those lots were not considered to be in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. She further confirmed that front facing attached garages pushed the living space to the rear of the dwelling and were not permitted to be the dominant characteristic along the street.
- [8] Following the public hearing, the Committee reserved its decision.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATION GRANTED

Application(s) Must Satisfy Statutory Tests

- [9] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

Criteria

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

(a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;

(b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;

(c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;

(d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;

(d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;

(e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;

(f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;

(g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;

(h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;

(i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;

(j) the adequacy of school sites;

(k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;

(l) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and

(m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

Evidence

[10] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Application and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, parcel register, tree information report, letter of support, streetscape character analysis, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
- City Planning Report received February 29, 2024, with concerns, revised report received February 29, 2024, with concerns.
- Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email received March 1, 2024, with no concerns.
- Hydro Ottawa email received February 27, 2024, with no concerns.

- Hydro One email received February 26, 2024, with no concerns.
- Ministry of Transportation email received March 1, 2024, with no comments.
- Bell Canada email received March 1, 2024, with comments.

Effect of Submissions on Decision

- [11] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the application.
- [12] The Committee notes that the City’s Planning Report raises “no concerns” regarding the consent application highlighting that “[t]he proposed severance will create lots that meet the minimum lot width and lot area for the proposed dwelling type, and are consistent with the existing and planned lot fabric on this street”.
- [13] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.
- [14] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, **which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision**:
1. That the Owner(s) provide evidence that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa for cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
 2. That the Owner(s) provide proof to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the existing dwelling/building has been removed.

3. That the Owner(s) provide proof to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that each existing parcel has its own independent storm, sanitary and water services connected to City infrastructure and that these services do not cross the proposed severance line. If they do cross or are not independent, the Owner(s) will be required to relocate the existing services or construct new services from the City sewers/watermain, at his/her own cost.
4. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the accessory structure has been demolished in accordance with the demolition permit or relocated in conformity with the Zoning By-law.
5. That the Owner(s) enter into a Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements, at the expense of the Owner(s), setting forth the obligations between the Owner(s) and the proposed future owners.

The Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements Agreement shall set forth the joint use and maintenance of all common elements including, but not limited to, the common party walls, common structural elements such as roof, footings, soffits, foundations, common areas, common driveways and common landscaping.

The Owner shall ensure that the Agreement is binding upon all the unit owners and successors in title and shall be to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, and City Legal Services**. The Committee requires written confirmation that the Agreement is satisfactory to **the Development Review Manager of the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, and is satisfactory to **City Legal Services**, as well as a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that it has been registered on title.

6. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted to the satisfaction of **the Development Review Manager the Central Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate** to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the Central Branch**

within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.

7. That the Owner grant to Bell Canada, without cost, such easements as may be required, the consent to the registration of which is hereby granted.
8. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required.** The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
9. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the “electronic registration in preparation documents” for a Conveyance for which the Consent is required.

“Jay Baltz”
JAY BALTZ
ACTING PANEL CHAIR

“John Blatherwick”
JOHN BLATHERWICK
MEMBER

“Julianne Wright”
JULIANNE WRIGHT
MEMBER

“Arto Keklikian”
ARTO KEKLIKIAN
MEMBER

“Sharon Lécuyer”
SHARON LÉCUYER
MEMBER

Absent
ANN M. TREMBLAY
CHAIR

Absent
SIMON COAKELEY
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **March 15, 2024**



Michel Bellemare
Secretary-Treasurer

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **April 4, 2024**, delivered by email at cofa@ottawa.ca and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment,
101 CentrepoinTE Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <https://olt.gov.on.ca/>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at cofa@ottawa.ca.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436