Committee of Adjustment



Hawa Comité de dérogation

DECISION CONSENT/SEVERANCE

Date of Decision June 28, 2024
Panel: 2 - Suburban

File Nos.: D08-01-24/B-00092 to D08-01-24/B-00094

Application: Consent under Section 53 of the *Planning Act*

Applicants: Leila Berjawi and Mohamed Beydoun

Property Address: 1729 Queensdale Avenue **Ward:** 10 – Gloucester–Southgate

Legal Description: Lots 698, 699, 780 & 781 and Part of Lane (Closed by

Judge's Order Inst. No. GL52533) and Part of Fifth Street (Closed by Judge's Order Inst. No. GL52533,

Registered Plan 326

Zoning: R1WW **Zoning By-law:** 2008-250

Heard: June 18, 2024, in person and by videoconference

APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS

[1] The Applicants want to subdivide their property into four separate parcels of land for the construction of four detached dwellings, as shown on plans filed with the Committee. The existing detached dwelling and garage are to be demolished.

CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

[2] The Applicants require the Committee's consent to subdivide the property. The property is shown as Parts 1 to 8 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the applications and the separate parcels will be as follows:

Table 1 Proposed Parcels

| File No. | Frontage | Depth | | Part No. | Municipal Address |
|----------|----------|---------|----------------|-------------|--|
| B-00092 | 11.43 m | 30.43 m | 347.8 sq. m | | 1725 Queensdale Avenue Proposed detached dwelling |
| B-00093 | 11.43 m | 30.43 m | 347.8 sq. m | 3 & 4 | 1727 Queensdale Avenue |

| | | | | Proposed detached dwelling |
|---------|---------|---------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| B-00094 | 11.43 m | 30.43 m | 347.8 sq. m | 1729 Queensdale Avenue |
| | | | | Proposed detached dwelling |

- [3] The retained land is shown as Parts 7 & 8 on said plan and will have a frontage of 11.43 metres, a depth of 30.42 metres and an area of 347.6 square metres. This lot will contain the fourth proposed detached dwelling and will be known municipally as 1731 Queensdale Avenue.
- [4] Approval of these applications will have the effect of creating separate parcels of land that, along with the proposed development, will not be in conformity with the requirements of the Zoning By-law and therefore, minor variance applications (File Nos D08-02-24/A-00121, D08-02-24/A-00123 to D08-02-24/A-00125) have been filed and will be heard concurrently with these applications.

PUBLIC HEARING

Oral Submissions Summary

- [5] Chris Jalkotzy, Agent for the Applicants, provided a slide presentation, a copy of which is on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request. Mr. Jalkotzy explained that the site plan and elevation drawings submitted with the application were not final but rather represented how the site could possibly be developed in the future.
- [6] Mr. Jalkotzy, responding to questions from the Committee, highlighted that the requests for increased building height would allow for more variety of building typology and designs options when the property is eventually developed.
- [7] The Committee also heard oral submissions from the following individual:
 - K. Lynch, resident, highlighted concerns about the proposed building height and the lack of detailed plans.
- [8] City Planner Penelope Horn confirmed she had no concerns with the applications. In reference to the Planning Report, Ms. Horn stated that the condition requiring a private approach permit could be deleted.
- [9] Following the public hearing, the Committee reserved its decision.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED

Application(s) Must Satisfy Statutory Tests

[10] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

Criteria

- (24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,
 - a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;
 - whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;
 - c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
 - the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;
 - d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
 - e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;
 - f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
 - g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
 - h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
 - i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
 - j) the adequacy of school sites;

- k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

Evidence

- [11] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:
 - Applications and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, tree information report, parcel abstract, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
 - City Planning Report received June 13, 2024, with no concerns.
 - Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email received June 12, 2024, with no objections.
 - Hydro Ottawa email received June 17, 2024, with no comments.
 - Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport email received June 3, 2024, with comments.

Effect of Submissions on Decision

- [12] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the applications.
- [13] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the applications, subject to the requested conditions agreed to by the Applicant's Agent.
- [14] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and

development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.

- [15] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:
 - 1. The Owner (s) provide evidence that the accompanying Minor Variance applications (D08-02-24/A-00121, D08-02-24/A-00123 to D08-02-24/A-00125) have been approved, with all levels of appeal exhausted.
 - 2. That the Owner(s) provide evidence that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa for cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
 - 3. That the Owner(s) provide proof to the satisfaction of the Development Review Manager of the Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that the existing dwelling/building has been removed.
 - 4. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of both the Chief Building Official and Development Review Manager, Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or designates, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection as appropriate, and that these services do not cross the proposed severance line and are connected directly to City infrastructure. Further, the Owner(s) shall comply to 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.
 - 5. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of the **Development**Review Manager of the Development Review All Wards Branch within
 Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their
 designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee,
 that the accessory structure has been demolished in accordance with the
 demolition permit or relocated in conformity with the Zoning By-law.
 - 6. The Owner(s) shall prepare a noise attenuation study in compliance with the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines to the satisfaction of

Development Review All Wards Manager Branch within Planning,
Development and Building Services Department, or their designate. The
Owner(s) shall enter into an agreement with the City that requires the Owner to
implement any noise control attenuation measures recommended in the
approved study. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written
confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

7. That the Owner enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner, which is to be registered on Title to deal with the covenants/notices that shall run with the land and bind future owners on subsequent transfers;

"The property is located next to lands that have an existing source of environmental noise (arterial road, highway, airport, etc) and may therefore be subject to noise and other activities associated with that use"

The Agreement shall be to the satisfaction of Relevant Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

- 8. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted to the satisfaction of Relevant Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of Relevant Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate.
- 9. That the Owner(s) enter into a Development Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s) and to the satisfaction of Development Review All Wards Manager of the Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, to require that an asphalt overlay will be installed, at the Owner(s) expense, on Queensdale Street, fronting the subject lands, over the entire public driving surface area within the limits of the overlay, if the approved Site Servicing Plan shows three or more cuts within the pavement surface. The overlay must be carried out to the satisfaction of Development Review All Wards Manager of the Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

If the Development Review All Wards Manager within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate determines that a Development Agreement requiring an asphalt overlay is no longer necessary, this condition shall be deemed as fulfilled. designate determines that a Development Agreement requiring an asphalt overlay is no longer necessary, this condition shall be deemed as fulfilled.

- 10. That the Owner(s) provide a Grading and Servicing Plan with the proposed buildings and driveways designed and located based on the least impact to protected trees and tree cover, as well as a revised Tree Information Report reflecting these changes to the satisfaction of the Manager of the relevant Branch within the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or their designate(s).
- 11. The Owner/Applicant(s) shall prepare and submit a tree planting plan, to the satisfaction of the Manager of the relevant Branch within the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or their designate(s), showing the location(s), species/ultimate size of one new 50 mm tree to be planted on the property frontage or right-of-way of each lot following construction, to enhance the urban tree canopy and streetscape
- 12. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required. The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
- 13. That upon completion of the above conditions, and within the two-year period outlined above, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for the conveyances for which the Consent is required.

Fabian Poulin FABIAN POULIN VICE-CHAIR

Jay Baltz JAY BALTZ MEMBER George Barrett
GEORGE BARRETT
MEMBER

Heather MacLean
HEATHER MACLEAN
MEMBER

Absent JULIANNE WRIGHT MEMBER I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **June 28, 2024.**

Michel Bellemare Secretary-Treasurer

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **July 18**, **2024**, delivered by email at cofa@ottawa.ca and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 Centrepointe Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at https://olt.gov.on.ca/. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at cofa@ottawa.ca.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to the Planning, Development and Building Services Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436