## **Committee of Adjustment**



# tomité de dérogation

# **DECISION**MINOR VARIANCE

**Date of Decision:** September 13, 2024

Panel: 3 - Rural

**File No.:** D08-02-24/A-00214

**Application:** Minor Variance under section 45 of the *Planning Act* 

Applicants: Matthew and Jenna Kewley
Property Address: 1780 Burnt Lands Road
Ward: 5 – West Carleton-March

**Legal Description:** Part of Lot 17, Concession 11, Geographic Township of

Huntley

**Zoning:** EP3

**Zoning By-law:** 2008-250

**Heard:** September 3, 2024, in person and by videoconference

#### APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION

[1] The Applicants want to construct a new one-storey accessory workshop building on their property, as shown on plans filed with the Committee.

#### **REQUESTED VARIANCE:**

- [2] The Applicants require the Committee's authorization for a minor variance from the Zoning By-law to permit an aggregate area for all accessory buildings of 67 square metres, whereas the By-law permits a maximum aggregate area for all accessory buildings of 55 square metres.
- [3] The property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING**

## **Oral Submissions Summary**

- [4] Matthew and Jenna Kewley, the Applicants, and City Planner Luke Teeft were present.
- [5] There were no objections to granting this unopposed application as part of the Panel's fast-track consent agenda.

#### DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATION GRANTED

### **Application Must Satisfy Statutory Four-Part Test**

[6] The Committee has the power to authorize a minor variance from the provisions of the Zoning By-law if, in its opinion, the application meets all four requirements under subsection 45(1) of the *Planning Act*. It requires consideration of whether the variance is minor, is desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure, and whether the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law are maintained.

#### **Evidence**

- [7] Evidence considered by the Committee included any oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:
  - Application and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, environmental impact study, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
  - City Planning Report received August 29, 2024, with no concerns.
  - Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority email received August 27, 2024, with no comments.

#### **Effect of Submissions on Decision**

- [8] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the application.
- [9] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the requested variance meets all four requirements under subsection 45(1) of the *Planning Act*.
- [10] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the application, highlighting that "[t]he applicants prepared an EIS and staff conducted a site visit which demonstrated that the new structure would likely not have any negative impacts on sensitive habitat or species at risk."
- [11] The Committee also notes that no evidence was presented that the variance would result in any unacceptable adverse impact on neighbouring properties.
- [12] Considering the circumstances, the Committee finds that because the proposal fits well in the area, the requested variance is, from a planning and public interest point of view, desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure on the property, and relative to the neighbouring lands.

- [13] The Committee also finds that the requested variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan because the proposal respects the character of the area.
- [14] In addition, the Committee finds that the requested variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law because the proposal represents orderly development that is compatible with the surrounding area.
- [15] Moreover, the Committee finds that the requested variance is minor because it will not create any unacceptable adverse impact on abutting properties or the neighbourhood in general.
- [16] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore authorizes the requested variance, **subject to** the location and size of the proposed construction being in accordance with the plans filed, Committee of Adjustment date stamped August 8, 2024, as they relate to the requested variance.

# Absent TERRENCE OTTO VICE-CHAIR

Gary Duncan GARY DUNCAN MEMBER

BETH HENDERSON MEMBER

Beth Henderson

Martin Vervoort
MARTIN VERVOORT
ACTING VICE-PANEL CHAIR

Jocelyn Chandler JOCELYN CHANDLER MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **September 13, 2024.** 

Michel Bellemare Secretary-Treasurer

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

intul Illemare

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **October 3, 2024**, delivered by email at <a href="mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca">cofa@ottawa.ca</a> and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 Centrepointe Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <a href="https://olt.gov.on.ca/">https://olt.gov.on.ca/</a>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at <a href="mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca">cofa@ottawa.ca</a>.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436