

**DECISION  
CONSENT/SEVERANCE**

<b>Date of Decision</b>	September 13, 2024
<b>Panel:</b>	2 - Suburban
<b>File No.:</b>	D08-01-24/B-00143
<b>Application:</b>	Consent under Section 53 of the <i>Planning Act</i>
<b>Applicant:</b>	14751205 Canada Inc
<b>Property Address:</b>	1551 Verchères Street
<b>Ward:</b>	2 – Orléans West-Innes
<b>Legal Description:</b>	Part of Lot 45, Registered Compiled Plan 906, Geographic Township of Gloucester
<b>Zoning:</b>	R1W
<b>Zoning By-law:</b>	2008-250
<b>Heard:</b>	September 3, 2024, in person and by videoconference

**APPLICANT’S PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION**

- [1] The Applicant wants to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land to create two new lots for future residential development.

**CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING**

- [2] The Applicant requires the Committee’s consent to sever land and for a grant of easement/right-of way. The property is shown as Parts 1-4 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the application(s) and the separate parcels will be as follows:
- [3] The severed land shown as Parts 1 & 2 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the application. This lot will have a frontage of 9.14 metres, a depth of 31.97 metres, and an area of 334 square metres. This lot will be known municipally as 1459 Verchères Street.
- [4] The retained land shown as Parts 3 & 4 on said plan, will have a frontage of 9.14 metres, a depth of 36.60 metres, and an area of 334 square metres. This lot is known municipally as 1551 Verchères Street.
- [5] It is proposed to establish easements, rights of way as follows:
- Over Part 2 in favour of Parts 3 and 4 for vehicular and pedestrian traffic
  - Over Part 3 in favour of Parts 1 and 2 for vehicular and pedestrian traffic

- [6] The property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

### **Oral Submissions Summary**

- [7] Jillian Simpson and Scott Alain, Agents for the Applicant, and City Planner Penelope Horn were present.
- [8] There were no objections to granting this unopposed application as part of the Panel's fast-track consent agenda.

## **DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATION GRANTED**

### **Application(s) Must Satisfy Statutory Tests**

- [9] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

#### **Criteria**

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

- a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;
- b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;
- c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
- d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;
  - d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
- e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the

highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;

- f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- j) the adequacy of school sites;
- k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- l) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

### **Evidence**

[10] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Application and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, tree planting plan, tree information report, photo of the posted sign, parcel abstract, and a sign posting declaration.
- City Planning Report received August 29, 2024, with an adjournment request; received August 30, 2024, with no concerns.
- Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email received August 29, 2024, with no comments.
- Hydro One email received August 20, 2024, with no comments.

### Effect of Submissions on Decision

- [11] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the application.
- [12] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the application, subject to the requested conditions agreed to by the Applicant's Agents.
- [13] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.
- [14] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, **which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision**:
1. That the Owner(s) provide evidence that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa for cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
  2. That the Owner(s) enter into a Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements, at the expense of the Owner(s), setting forth the obligations between the Owner(s) and the proposed future owners.

*The Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements Agreement shall set forth the joint use and maintenance of all common elements including, but not limited to, the common party walls, common structural elements such as roof, footings, soffits, foundations, common areas, common driveways and common landscaping.)*

The Owner shall ensure that the Agreement is binding upon all the unit owners and successors in title and shall be **to the satisfaction of Development Review All Wards Manager within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, and City Legal Services**. The Committee requires written confirmation that the Agreement is satisfactory to

Development Review All Wards Manager within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, and is satisfactory to City Legal Services, as well as a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

3. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted **to the satisfaction of Development Review All Wards Manager within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate** to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of Development Review All Wards Manager within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate.
4. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of both the Chief Building Official and Development Review All Wards Manager, Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or designates, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection as appropriate, and that these services do not cross the proposed severance line and are connected directly to City infrastructure. Further, the Owner(s) shall comply to 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.
5. That the Owner/Applicant(s) provide a signed letter of permission from the owner(s) of identified adjacent or boundary tree(s), for the proposed removal or operations impacting the tree(s). The owner/applicant(s) acknowledge(s) that a tree removal permit cannot be issued without the permission of all owners of a tree, and that the development plan must be revised to allow for the retention and protection of the adjacent or boundary trees if this letter cannot be produced.
6. That the Owner/Applicant(s) provide a revised site with plan the locations of proposed elements (buildings, driveways, services, grading, etc.) designed to reduce any excavation within the Critical Root Zones of protected trees and/or to provide sufficient soil volume to plant new trees, **to the satisfaction of the Manager of the relevant Branch within the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or their designate(s)**. The Tree Information Report must be revised to reflect changes to the site plan and to show the accurate tree protection areas and mitigation measures.
7. That the Owner(s) enter into an Infrastructure Agreement with the City of Ottawa to extend the municipal services on Vercheres Street at their own costs and post the necessary securities for the work on the City Right-of-Way to the satisfaction of the City's Planning, Development and Building Services

Department 's Infrastructural Approvals Branch or to the satisfaction of City Legal Services, if required. The Owner(s) must also receive the approval of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks for the extension of the municipal services. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title. Where the approved Site Servicing Plan demonstrates that an extension of services is not required, the Development Review Manager of the All-Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, shall deem this condition satisfied.

8. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required.** The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
9. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for a conveyance and grant of easement/right-of way for which the Consent is required.

*Fabian Poulin*  
FABIAN POULIN  
VICE-CHAIR

*Absent*  
JAY BALTZ  
MEMBER

*George Barrett*  
GEORGE BARRETT  
MEMBER

*Heather MacLean*  
HEATHER MACLEAN  
MEMBER

*Julianne Wright*  
JULIANNE WRIGHT  
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **September 13, 2024**.



Michel Bellemare  
Secretary-Treasurer

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **October 3, 2024**, delivered by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca) and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment,  
101 Centrepointe Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <https://olt.gov.on.ca/>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca).

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A “specified person” does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

## NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to the Planning, Development and Building Services Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

*Ce document est également offert en français.*

**Committee of Adjustment**  
City of Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment](http://Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment)  
[cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436



**Comité de dérogation**  
Ville d'Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation](http://Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation)  
[cded@ottawa.ca](mailto:cded@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436