

DECISION
MINOR VARIANCE / PERMISSION

Date of Decision:	October 11, 2024
Panel:	1 - Urban
File No.:	D08-02-24/A-00226
Application:	Minor Variance under section 45 of the <i>Planning Act</i>
Applicants:	Alain Doom and Charles Hamann
Property Address:	296 Manor Avenue
Ward:	13 – Rideau-Rockcliffe
Legal Description:	Lots E and 51, Registered Plan 4M-57
Zoning:	R1B[1259]
Zoning By-law:	2008-250
Heard:	October 2, 2024, in person and by videoconference

APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION

- [1] On June 14, 2024, the Committee of Adjustment approved a minor variance application (D08-02-24/A-00110) to permit a reduced rear yard setback. It has been discovered that an additional minor variance for a reduced corner side yard setback is required.
- [2] The Applicants want to construct a carport on the east side of the existing two storey detached dwelling, as shown on the plans filed with the application.

REQUESTED VARIANCE

- [3] The Applicants require the Committee's authorization for a minor variance from the Zoning By-law to permit a reduced corner side yard setback of 3.55 metres, whereas the By-law requires a minimum side yard setback of 7.5 metres.
- [4] The property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

PUBLIC HEARING

Oral Submissions Summary

- [5] Niels Luetge, Agent for the Applicants, and City Planner Nivethini Jekku Einkaran were present.

- [6] There were no objections to granting this unopposed application as part of the Panel's fast-track consent agenda.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED

Application(s) Must Satisfy Statutory Four-Part Test

- [7] The Committee has the power to authorize a minor variance from the provisions of the Zoning By-law if, in its opinion, the application meets all four requirements under subsection 45(1) of the *Planning Act*. It requires consideration of whether the variance is minor, is desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure, and whether the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law are maintained.

Evidence

- [8] Evidence considered by the Committee included any oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:
- Application and supporting documents, including a cover letter, tree information report, plans, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
 - City Planning Report received September 25, 2024, with no concerns.
 - Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email received September 25, 2024, with no comments.
 - Hydro Ottawa email received September 27, with comments.
 - M. and S. Kelen email received September 25, 2024, in support.

Effect of Submissions on Decision

- [9] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the application.
- [10] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the requested variance meets all four requirements under subsection 45(1) of the *Planning Act*.
- [11] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the application.
- [12] The Committee also notes that no evidence was presented that the variance would result in any unacceptable adverse impact on neighbouring properties.

- [13] Considering the circumstances, the Committee finds that, because the proposal fits well in the area, the requested variance is, from a planning and public interest point of view, desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land, building or structure on the property, and relative to the neighbouring lands.
- [14] The Committee also finds that the requested variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan because the proposal respects the character of the neighbourhood.
- [15] In addition, the Committee finds that the requested variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law because the proposal represents orderly development that is compatible with the surrounding area.
- [16] Moreover, the Committee finds that the requested variance, is minor because it will not create any unacceptable adverse impact on abutting properties or the neighbourhood in general.
- [17] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore authorizes the requested variance, **subject to** the location and size of the proposed construction being in accordance with the plans filed, Committee of Adjustment date stamped August 21, 2024, as they relate to the requested variances.

"Ann M. Tremblay"
ANN M. TREMBLAY
CHAIR


"John Blatherwick"
JOHN BLATHERWICK
MEMBER

"Simon Coakeley"
SIMON COAKELEY
MEMBER

"Arto Keklikian"
ARTO KEKLIKIAN
MEMBER

"Sharon Lécuyer"
SHARON LÉCUYER
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **October 11, 2024**.



Michel Bellemare
Secretary-Treasurer

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of

Adjustment by **October 31, 2024**, delivered by email at cofa@ottawa.ca and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment,
101 Centrepointhe Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <https://olt.gov.on.ca/>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at cofa@ottawa.ca.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A “specified person” does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment
City of Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment
cofa@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation
Ville d'Ottawa
Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation
cded@ottawa.ca
613-580-2436