Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-Law Amendment – 1020 and 1070 March Road, 1345 March Valley Road, and part of an unaddressed parcel abutting 1345 March Valley Road with PIN 045271025 (ACS2025-PDB-PS-0009)

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 0

Number of written submissions received by Planning and Housing Committee between February 7 (the date the report was published to the City's website with the agenda for this meeting) and February 18, 2025 (the deadline for written submissions, being 4 pm the business day before the committee meeting date): 2

Summary of written submissions

Written submissions are held on file with the City Clerk and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Email dated February 11 and 15, 2025 from J. Horwitz and J. Makin
- Email dated February 18, 2025 from Trudy Davis

Summary of oral submissions

The Applicant/Owner as represented by Genessa Bates, Fotenn was present in support, and available to answer questions. The Applicant advised that they did not need to address the Committee if the item carried.

Effect of Submissions on Planning and Housing Committee

Decision: Debate: The Committee carried the item on consent, with Councillor Kelly dissenting.

Vote: The committee considered all submissions in making its decision and the Committee carried the report recommendations as presented.

Ottawa City Council

Pursuant to the *Procedure By-law*, members of the public may not make oral submissions to Council.

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between February 18th after 4 pm (deadline for written submissions to Planning and Housing Committee) and February 26, 2025 (Council consideration date): 0

Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:

Council considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as presented, with Councillor Kelly dissenting.