This document is presented in the language it was provided. Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.

INTEGRATED FORESTREE SERVICES INC.

P.O. Box 13593, Kanata, ON K2K 1X6 Telephone: (613) 850-2475 URBAN FORESTRY & FOREST MANAGEMENT

Fadi Azzi 1301 Heron Road Ottawa, ON K1V 6A7 March 4 2025

Committee of Adjustment Received | Reçu le

2025-04-28

# RE: TREE INFORMATION REPORT FULL FOR 1301 & 1307 HERON ROAD, OTHAWA Ville d'Ottawa Comité de dérogation

This Tree Information Report (TIR) was prepared by Integrated Forestree Services Inc (IFS Inc.) in support of severance and minor variance applications for 1301 and 1307 Heron Road in Ottawa. The need for this report is related to trees protected under the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection By-law (By-law No. 2020-340). The work proposed for this property includes the demolition of two existing single-family dwellings and construction of four new detached houses.

Throughout Ottawa a TIR is required for infill developments, additions and/or demolitions when a 'distinctive' tree is present (*i.e.* 30 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH) or greater). This includes distinctive trees on adjacent properties which have critical root zones (CRZ) extending onto a property slated for development or demolition. A "tree" is defined in the By-law as any species of woody perennial plant, including its root system, which has reached or can reach a minimum height of at least 450 cm at physiological maturity. The CRZ is calculated as DBH x 10 cm.

The approval of this TIR by the city and the issuing of a permit authorizes the removal of approved trees. Importantly, although this report may be used to support the application for a tree removal permit, it does not by itself constitute permission to remove trees or begin site clearing activities. No such work should occur before a tree removal permit is issued authorizing the injury or destruction of a tree in accordance with the By-law. Further, the removal of any trees shared with or fully on neighbouring properties will require written permission of the adjacent landowner.

The inventory in this report details the assessment of all individual distinctive trees on the subject and adjacent private property. All trees of any size on nearby City of Ottawa lands were inventoried in a similar manner. Field work for this report was completed in December 2024.

## TREE SPECIES, CONDITION, SIZE AND STATUS

Table 1 on pages 2 through 5 details the relevant private and city trees found during the field work. Each of these trees is referenced by the numbers plotted on the tree information plan included on page 6 of this report. Pictures 1 to 6 on pages 10 through 14 of this report show selected trees on and adjacent to the subject properties.

Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH <sup>3</sup>	CRZ <sup>4</sup>	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason for	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship <sup>2</sup>	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	removal	Opinion re.
	Construction <sup>1</sup>	1			$(m)^{5}$	removed or preserved and protected)		Removal
1	Norway	City	22.9	2.3	>3	Fair; maturing; central stem with sweep	Not	Not
	maple (Acer	•				at 2.25m; crown apex asymmetric due to	applicable –	applicable
	platanoides) /					pruning from Hydro line; introduced	to be	
	Moderate -					invasive species; to be preserved and	preserved	
	Good					protected		
2	Norway	City	24.3	-	-	Fair; maturing; central stem with sweep	Conflicts	Tree and
	maple (Acer					at 2.5m; crown apex asymmetric due to	with	stump be
	platanoides) /					pruning from Hydro line; introduced	proposed	removed
	Moderate -					invasive species; to be removed	driveway	
	Good							
3	White cedar	Private	38.5	-	-	Very poor; mature; previously topped at	Very poor	Tree and
	(Thuja		(at			5.5m; recently heavily pruned on west	condition	stump be
	occidentalis) /		1m)			side; native species; to be removed		removed
	Good							
4	White elm	Private	21.7	4.6	<2	Poor; mature; larger dominant stem	Poor	Tree and
	(Ulmus		&			upright, second stem divergent towards	condition,	stump be
	americana) /		25.3			south due to Hydro pruning; no outward	will not	removed
	Moderate -					signs of Dutch elm disease (Ophistoma	survive root	
	Good					novo-ulmi); native species; to be	loss from	
						removed	excavation	
5	White cedar	City	12	-	-	Very poor; mature; four stemmed from	Very poor	Tree and
	(Thuja		avg.			grade; all stems previously topped by	condition	stump be
	occidentalis) /					Hydro; overtopped by divergent stem		removed
	Good					from tree #4; native species; <b>to be</b>		
						removed		

Table 1. Tree information for 1301 and 1307 Heron Road

Table 1. C	Cont.
------------	-------

Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH <sup>3</sup>	CRZ <sup>4</sup>	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason for	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship <sup>2</sup>	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	removal	Opinion re.
	Construction <sup>1</sup>				$(m)^5$	removed or preserved and protected)		Removal
6	White spruce	Shared	29.1	2.9	3	Poor; mature; topped by Hydro at 7m;	Not	Not
	(Picea	with				fair crown density, annual increment	applicable –	applicable
	glauca) /	city				and needle colour; native species; to be	to be	
	Moderate -					preserved and protected	preserved	
	Good				-			
7	Norway	Neigh-	+/-50	+/-5	>5	Fair; mature; central stem divergent	Not	Not
	maple (Acer	bour				towards west; multiple competing and	applicable –	applicable
	platanoides) /					suppressed laterals on east starting at	to be	
	Moderate -					5.5m; introduced invasive species; to be	preserved	
0	Good	D' (	41.2	4 1	4	preserved and protected		
8	Sugar maple <i>(Acer</i>	Private	41.3	4.1	4	Fair; mature; central stem with multiple	Not	Not
	(Acer saccharum) /					competing stems arising at 1.5m; generally upright growth form; native	applicable – to be	applicable
	Poor -					species; to be preserved and protected	preserved	
	Moderate					species, to be preserved and protected	preserved	
9	White spruce	Neigh-	+/-40	+/-4	4	Good; mature; good growth form, crown	Not	Not
,	(Picea	bour	17 10	• / •		density, annual increment and needle	applicable –	applicable
	glauca) /	cour				colour; native species; to be preserved	to be	appneasie
	Moderate -					and protected	preserved	
	Good					1	1	
10	Hemlock	Private	33.2	-	-	Fair; mature; central stem with	Conflicts	Tree and
	(Tsuga					competing stems at base and 1.75m on	with	stump be
	canadensis) /					northwest; fair crown density, annual	proposed	removed
	Poor -					increment and needle colour; native	footprint	
	Moderate					species; to be removed		

Table 1. Cont.

Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH <sup>3</sup>	CRZ <sup>4</sup>	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason for	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship <sup>2</sup>	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	removal	Opinion re.
	Construction <sup>1</sup>				$(m)^5$	removed or preserved and protected)		Removal
11	White cedar	Private	+/-50	+/-5	5	Fair; mature; three stemmed at grade;	Not	Not
	(Thuja		avg.			two stems topped by Hydro; third stem	applicable –	applicable
	occidentalis) /					not topped but divergent towards south;	to be	
	Good					native species; to be preserved and	preserved	
						protected		
12	Sugar maple	Neigh-	+/-50	+/-5	>8	Fair; mature; previously topped –	Not	Not
	(Acer	bour				multiple upright stems arising at 2.5m;	applicable –	applicable
	saccharum) /					native species; to be preserved and	to be	
	Poor -					protected	preserved	
	Moderate		1.50					
13	Norway	Neigh-	+/-60	+/-6	6	Fair; mature; dominant central stem;	Not	Not
	spruce (Picea	bour				crown asymmetric towards north and	applicable –	applicable
	abies) /					west due to influence of trees 14 and 15;	to be	
	Moderate -					introduced species; to be preserved	preserved	
	Good				-	and protected		
14	Red pine	Neigh-	+/-30	+/-3	3	Fair; mature; dominant upright stem;	Not	Not
	(Pinus	bour				crown narrow due to intercompetition	applicable –	applicable
	resinosa) /					between neighboring trees for sunlight;	to be	
	Moderate -					native species; to be preserved and	preserved	
1.5	Good	NT 1 1			.1	protected		
15	Red pine	Neigh-	+/-35	+/-3.5	<1	Fair; mature; mildly divergent form;	Not	Not
	(Pinus	bour				suppressed lateral at 5.5m on west;	applicable –	applicable
	resinosa) /					native species; to be preserved and	to be	
	Moderate -					protected	preserved	
	Good							

Tab	le 1.	Cont.

Tree	Tree species	Owner	DBH <sup>3</sup>	CRZ <sup>4</sup>	Distance to	Tree Condition, Age Class, Condition	Reason for	Forester's
No.	/Tolerance to	ship <sup>2</sup>	(cm)	(m)	excavation	Notes, Species Origin and Status (to be	removal	Opinion re.
	Construction <sup>1</sup>				$(m)^5$	removed or preserved and protected)		Removal
16	Red pine	Neigh-	+/-35	+/-3.5	<1	Fair; mature; minor sweep at 5.5m;	Not	Not
	(Pinus	bour				crown asymmetric towards south due to	applicable –	applicable
	resinosa) /					influence of trees 14 and 15; native	to be	
	Moderate -					species; to be preserved and protected	preserved	
	Good							
17	Norway	Neigh-	+/-60	+/-6	<1	Good; mature; dominant central stem;	Not	Not
	spruce (Picea	bour				crown asymmetric towards north and	applicable –	applicable
	abies) /					west due to influence of trees 18 and 19;	to be	
	Moderate -					introduced species; to be preserved	preserved	
	Good					and protected		
18	Norway	Neigh-	+/-55	+/-5.5	<1	Fair; mature; dominant central stem;	Not	Not
	spruce (Picea	bour				crown narrow due to influence of trees	applicable –	applicable
	abies) /					17 and 19; introduced species; to be	to be	
	Moderate -					preserved and protected	preserved	
	Good							
19	Norway	Neigh-	+/-50	+/-5	<1	Fair; mature; dominant central stem;	Not	Not
	spruce (Picea	bour				crown asymmetric towards south due to	applicable –	applicable
	abies) /					influence of trees 17 and 18; introduced	to be	
	Moderate -					species; to be preserved and protected	preserved	
	Good							

<sup>1</sup>As taken from Managing Trees during Construction; 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Fite and Smiley; <sup>2</sup> Tree locations/ownership taken from survey prepared by J.D. Barns Ltd.(with the exception of trees #1, 2, 5 and 7 which were approximated); <sup>3</sup>Diameter at breast height, or 1.3m from grade (unless otherwise indicated); <sup>4</sup> Critical root zone (CRZ) is considered as being 10 centimetres from the trunk of a tree for every centimetre of DBH. The CRZ is calculated as DBH x 10 cm; <sup>5</sup>Approximate distances only.



## SELF-DECLARATION STATEMENT (TO BE SIGNED BY PROPERTY OWNER OR REPRESENTATIVE):

## Fadi Azzi

By signing the application, you are acknowledging and understanding that a city forestry inspector or municipal law enforcement officer may enter the property at a reasonable time for the purpose of carrying out an inspection. You also acknowledge and understand that through failure to abide by the recommendations of the approved Tree Information Report, damaging or destructing trees identified for protection, you alone will be responsible to bear fully the cost of compensation, removal, and replacement.

## **PROVINCIAL REGULATIONS**

Certain provincial regulations are applicable to trees on private property. In particular, the Endangered Species Act – ESA (2007) mandates that tree species on the Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO) list be identified. Butternut *(Juglans cinerea)* and black ash *(Fraxinus nigra)* are present in Eastern Ontario and are listed as threatened on the SARO. Because of this they are protected from harm. No trees of these species were found on or near the subject properties.

## **TREE PROTECTION MEASURES**

Protection measures intended to mitigate damage during construction will be applied to all the trees to be preserved. The following measures are the minimum required by the City of Ottawa to ensure tree survival during and following construction:

- 1. Erect a fence as close as possible to the critical root zone (CRZ) of trees (City of Ottawa tree protection barrier detail included on page 9).
- 2. Do not place any material or equipment within the CRZ of the tree.
- 3. Do not attach any signs, notices or posters to any tree.
- 4. Do not raise or lower the existing grade within the CRZ without approval.
- 5. Tunnel or bore when digging within the CRZ of a tree.
- 6. Do not damage the root system, trunk or branches of any tree.
- 7. Ensure that exhaust fumes from all equipment are NOT directed towards any tree's crown.

## TREE PRESERVATION MEASURES

As excavation may occur within the CRZ of several trees, the following measures will be taken:

1. Hydro excavation along the edge of excavation in proximity to the tree to carefully expose roots. Exposed roots will then be cleanly cut and sealed before being reburied. Excavation can then resume using traditional mechanical means. Sealing the cleanly cut root ends with a beeswax product will help prevent the loss of moisture and facilitate healing.

2. If the excavation is to be left open for any length of time a covering of at least three layers of moistened burlap is to be draped over the exposed face of excavation closet to the tree. A final covering of clear plastic will help retain moisture within the burlap. The use of burlap and plastic coverings will help reduce the loss of moisture from the soil surrounding the remaining roots.

## **REPLACEMENT TREE PLANTING OR COMPENSATION**

The following ratios are used in terms of replacement tree planting: 2:1 for each removed distinctive tree measuring 30-49 cm in diameter and 3:1 for each distinctive tree measuring 50 cm or greater in diameter. Replacement trees must be at least 50mm in caliper if deciduous and 2m in height if coniferous. As noted on the plan on page 6, suggested locations of six (6) new trees are proposed in compensation for the loss of trees #3, 4 and 10. Trees #2 and 5, both city owned, will be appraised by city staff and compensated for separately (though on the plan 2 large growing replacement trees are shown within the city's right of way).

This report is subject to the attached Limitations of Tree Assessments and Liability to which the reader's attention is directed.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions concerning this report.

Yours,



Andrew K. Boyd, B.Sc.F, R.P.F. (#1828) Certified Arborist #ON-0496A Consulting Urban Forester



#### TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. PRIOR TO ANY WORK ACTIVITY WITHIN THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ = 10 X DIAMETER) OF A TREE, TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE INSTALLED SURROUNDING THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE, AND REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE WORK IS COMPLETE.
- 2. UNLESS PLANS ARE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF, FOR WORK WITHIN THE CRZ:
  - DO NOT PLACE ANY MATERIAL OR EQUIPMENT INCLUDING OUTHOUSES;
  - DO NOT ATTACH ANY SIGNS, NOTICES OR POSTERS TO ANY TREE;
- DO NOT RAISE OR LOWER THE EXISTING GRADE;
- TUNNEL OR BORE WHEN DIGGING;
- DO NOT DAMAGE THE ROOT SYSTEM, TRUNK, OR BRANCHES OR ANY TREE;
- ENSURE THAT EXHAUST FUMES FROM ALL EQUIPMENT ARE NOT DIRECTED TOWARD ANY TREE CANOPY.
- DO NOT EXTEND HARD SURFACE OR SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGE LANDSCAPING
- 3. TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE AT LEAST 1.2M IN HEIGHT, AND CONSTRUCTED OF RIGID OR FRAMED MATERIALS (E.G. MODULOC - STEEL, PLYWOOD HOARDING, OR SNOW FENCE ON A 2"X4" WOOD FRAME) WITH POSTS 2.4M APART, SUCH THAT THE FENCE LOCATION CANNOT BE ALTERED. ALL SUPPORTS AND BRACING MUST BE PLACED OUTSIDE OF THE CRZ, AND INSTALLATION MUST MINIMISE DAMAGE TO EXISTING ROOTS. (SEE DETAIL)
- 4. THE LOCATION OF THE TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE DETERMINED BY AN ARBORIST AND DETAILED ON ANY ASSOCIATED PLANS FOR THE SITE (E.G. TREE CONSERVATION REPORT, TREE INFORMATION REPORT, ETC). THE PLAN AND CONSTRUCTED FENCING MUST BE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
- 5. IF THE FENCED TREE PROTECTION AREA MUST BE REDUCED TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION MEASURES MUST BE PRESCRIBED BY AN ARBORIST AND APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF. THESE MAY INCLUDE THE PLACEMENT OF PLYWOOD, WOOD CHIPS, OR STEEL PLATING OVER THE ROOTS FOR PROTECTION OR THE PROPER PRUNING AND CARE OF ROOTS WHERE ENCOUNTERED.

THE CITY'S TREE PROTECTION BY-LAW, 2020-340 PROTECTS BOTH CITY-OWNED TREES, CITY-WIDE, AND PRIVATELY-OWNED TREES WITHIN THE URBAN AREA. PLEASE REFER TO WWW.OTTAWA.CA/TREEBYLAW FOR MORE INFORMATION ON HOW THE TREE BY-LAW APPLIES.

ACCESSIBLE FORMATS AND COMMUNICATION SUPPORTS ARE AVAILABLE, UPON REQUEST



TO BE IMPLEMENTED FOR RETAINED TREES, BOTH ON SITE AND ON ADJACENT SITES, PRIOR TO ANY TREE REMOVAL OR SITE WORKS AND MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF WORK ACTIVITIES ON SITE.

SCALE:	NTS
DATE:	MARCH 2021
DRAWING NO .:	1 of 1



Picture 1. Trees #1 and 2 (right to left) at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road – Norway maples on city land



Picture 2. Trees #3 to 5 (left to right) at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road.



Picture 3. Tree #7 at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road – neighbouring private Norway maple



Picture 4. Tree #11 at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road – private white cedar



Picture 5. Trees #13-16 at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road - neighbouring Norway spruce and red pines



Picture 6. Tree #17-19 at 1301 & 1307 Heron Road – neighbouring Norway spruces

## LIMITATIONS OF TREE ASSESSMENTS & LIABILITY

## GENERAL

It is the policy of Integrated Forestree Services Inc. (IFS Inc.) to attach the following clause regarding limitations. We do this to ensure that our clients are clearly aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in assessing trees for retention.

This report was prepared by IFS Inc. at the request of the client. The information, interpretation and analysis expressed in this report are for the sole benefit and exclusive use of the client. Possession of this report or a copy thereof does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by any other than the client to whom it is addressed. Unless otherwise required by law, neither all or any part of the contents of this report, nor copy thereof, shall be conveyed by anyone, including the client, to the public through public relations, news or other media, without the prior expressly written consent of the author, and especially as to value conclusions, identity of the author, or any reference to any professional society or institute or to any initialed designation conferred upon the author as stated in his qualifications.

This report and any values expressed herein represent the opinion of the author; his fee is in no way contingent upon the reporting of a specified value, a stipulated result, nor upon any finding to be reported.

Details obtained from photographs, sketches, *etc.*, are intended as visual aids and are not to scale. They should not be construed as engineering reports or surveys. Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the tree(s) should be reassessed at least annually. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of the inspection only. The loss or alteration of any part of this report invalidates the entire report.

## **LIMITATIONS**

The information contained in this report covers only the tree(s) in question and no others. It reflects the condition of the assessed tree(s) at the time of inspection and was limited to a visual examination of the accessible portions only. Integrated Forestree Services Inc. has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the forestry and arboricultural professions, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report. The assessment of the tree(s) presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the aboveground portions of each tree for structural defects, scars, cracks, cavities, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect infestations, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the proximity of people and property. Except where specifically noted in the report, the tree(s) examined were not dissected, cored, probed or climbed to gain further evidence of their structural condition. Also, unless otherwise noted, no detailed root collar examinations involving excavation were undertaken.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the tree(s) proposed for retention are healthy, no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, are offered that these trees, or any parts of them, will remain standing. This includes other trees on or off the property not examined as part of this assignment. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with

absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or groups of trees or their component parts in all circumstances, especially when within construction zones. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure in the event of root loss due to excavation and other construction-related impacts. This risk can only be eliminated through full tree removal.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigour constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, or seasonal variations in the weather. It is a condition of this report that IFS Inc. be notified of any changes in tree condition and be provided an opportunity to review or revise the recommendations within this report. Recognition of changes to a tree's condition requires expertise and extensive experience. It is recommended that IFS Inc. be employed to re-inspect the tree(s) with sufficient frequency to detect if conditions have changed significantly.

## ASSUMPTIONS

Statements made to IFS Inc. regarding the condition, history and location of the tree(s) are assumed to be correct. Unless indicated otherwise, all trees under investigation in this report are assumed to be on the client's property. A recent survey prepared by a Licensed Ontario Land Surveyor showing all relevant trees, both on and adjacent to the subject property, will be provided prior to the start of field work. The final version of the grading plan for the project will be provided prior to completion of the report. Any further changes to this plan invalidate the report on which it is based. Integrated Forestree Services Inc. must be provided the opportunity to revise the report in relation to any significant changes to the grading plan. The procurement of said survey and grading plan, and the costs associated with them both, are the responsibility of the client, not IFS Inc.

## LIABILITY

Without limiting the foregoing, no liability is assumed by IFS Inc. for:

- 1) Any legal description provided with respect to the property.
- 2) Issues of title and/or ownership with respect to the property.
- 3) The accuracy of the property line locations or boundaries with respect to the property.
- 4) The accuracy of any other information provided by the client of third parties.
- 5) Any consequential loss, injury or damages suffered by the client or any third parties, including but not limited to replacement costs, loss of use, earnings and business interruption; and,
- 6) The unauthorized distribution of the report.

Further, under no circumstances may any claims be initiated or commenced by the client against IFS Inc. or any of its directors, officers, employees, contractors, agents or assessors, in contract or in tort, more than 12 months after the date of this report.

## **ONGOING SERVICES**

Integrated Forestree Services Inc. accepts no responsibility for the implementation of any or all parts of the report, unless specifically requested to supervise the implementation or examine the results of activities recommended herein. If examination or supervision is requested, that request shall be made in writing and the details, including fees, agreed to in advance.