

**DECISION  
CONSENT/SEVERANCE**

**Date of Decision:** June 27, 2025  
**Panel:** 1 - Urban  
**File Nos.:** D08-01-25/B-00081 & D08-01-25/B-00082  
**Application:** Consent under section 53 of the *Planning Act*  
**Applicant:** 14899237 Canada Inc.  
**Property Address:** 505 Dawson Avenue  
**Ward:** 15 - Kitchissippi  
**Legal Description** Part of Lot 9, Registered Plan 448  
**Zoning:** R2G  
**Zoning By-law:** 2008-250  
**Heard:** June 18, 2025, in person and by videoconference

**APPLICANT’S PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS**

[1] The Applicant wants to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land to create separate ownership for each half of the semi-detached dwelling currently under construction.

**CONSENT REQUIRED**

[2] The Applicant seeks the Committee’s consent to sever land and for a joint use and maintenance agreement. The property is shown as Parts 1 and 2 on a draft 4R-plan filed with the applications and the separate parcels will be as follows:

*Table 1 Proposed Parcels*

<b>File No.</b>	<b>Frontage</b>	<b>Depth</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Part No.</b>	<b>Municipal Address</b>
B-00081	8.19 m	28.85 m	236.6 sq. m	1	505A and B Dawson Avenue
B-00082	13.77 m	28.85 m	334.3 sq. m	2	507A and B Dawson Avenue

- [3] The property is not the subject of any other current application under the *Planning Act*.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

### **Oral Submissions Summary**

- [4] Mike Segreto, agent for the Applicant, responded to questions from the Committee, and clarified that five trees would be planted on the subject property.
- [5] Responding to the Committee's questions, City Forester Nancy Young stated that tree #1 in the Tree Information Report had originally been proposed for removal but had been retained through construction. She also outlined the requirements to satisfy the City's requested condition of provisional consent requiring a tree planting plan.
- [6] Mr. Segreto requested that the Committee not impose the City's requested conditions requiring a noise control study and unencumbered road widening along the frontage of Kirkwood Avenue.
- [7] City Planner Penelope Horn advised that the condition for a road widening is standard and would reduce the width of the right-of-way on Kirkwood Avenue. When questioned by the Committee, Ms. Horn supported removing the noise control study condition.
- [8] With all parties in agreement, the condition for a noise control study was removed as a requirement of provisional consent.
- [9] Mr. Segreto expressed no further concerns with the remaining conditions.

## **DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATIONS GRANTED**

### **Applications Must Satisfy Statutory Tests**

- [10] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

#### **Criteria**

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

- a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;
- b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;
- c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;
- d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;
- d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;
- e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;
- f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- j) the adequacy of school sites;
- k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- l) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

### **Evidence**

[11] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file

with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Applications and supporting documents, including cover letter, plans, tree information report, parcel register abstract, photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
- City Planning Report received June 12, 2025, with no concerns.
- Rideau Valley Conservation Authority email dated June 13, 2025, with no objections.
- Hydro Ottawa email dated June 6, 2025, with comments.
- Ontario Ministry of Transportation email received June 6, 2025, with no comments.

### **Effect of Submissions on Decision**

- [12] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the applications in making its decision and granted the applications.
- [13] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the applications, subject to the requested conditions agreed to by the Applicant's agent.
- [14] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions.
- [15] The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety.
- [16] Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality.
- [17] Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.
- [18] **THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT ORDERS** that the applications are granted and the provisional consent is to be given, subject to the conditions set out in Appendix A to this decision.

"Ann M. Tremblay"  
ANN M. TREMBLAY  
CHAIR

"John Blatherwick"  
JOHN BLATHERWICK  
MEMBER

"Sharon Lécuyer"  
SHARON LÉCUYER  
MEMBER

"Arto Keklikian"  
ARTO KEKLIKIAN  
MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **June 27, 2025**

"Michel Bellemare"  
MICHEL BELLEMARE  
SECRETARY-TREASURER

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form and the filing fee must be submitted via one of the below options and must be received no later than **3:00 p.m. on July 17, 2025**.

- **OLT E-FILE SERVICE** – An appeal can be filed online through the [E-File Portal](#). First-time users will need to register for a My Ontario Account. Select [Ottawa (City): Committee of Adjustment] as the Approval Authority. To complete the appeal, fill in all the required fields and provide the filing fee by credit card.
- **BY EMAIL** - Appeal packages can be submitted by email to [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca). The appeal form is available on the OLT website at [Forms | Ontario Land Tribunal](#). Please indicate on the appeal form that payment will be made by credit card.
- **IN PERSON** – Appeal packages can be delivered to the Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 CentrepoinTE Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7. The appeal form is available on the OLT website at [Forms | Ontario Land Tribunal](#). In person payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the appeal form if you wish to pay by credit card.

Please note only one of the above options needs to be completed. If your preferred method of appeal is not available at the time of filing, the appeal must be filed with one of the other two options.

The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A “specified person” does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If you have any questions about the appeal process, please visit [File an Appeal | Ontario Land Tribunal](#)

## NOTICE TO APPLICANT

Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated 30 working days prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including that related to transfers, easements, and postponements, and all approved technical studies. If you do not fulfill the conditions of provisional consent within the two-year period, the *Planning Act* provides that your application “shall be deemed to be refused”.

*Ce document est également offert en français.*

**Committee of Adjustment**  
City of Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment](http://Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment)  
[cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436



**Comité de dérogation**  
Ville d'Ottawa  
[Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation](http://Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation)  
[cded@ottawa.ca](mailto:cded@ottawa.ca)  
613-580-2436

## APPENDIX A

1. That the Owner(s) provide proof that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa for cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal cost. The value of the land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-Law No. 2022-280, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
2. That the Owner(s) provide evidence, to the satisfaction of both the **Chief Building Official and the Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designates**, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection as appropriate, that these services do not cross the proposed severance line and are connected directly to City infrastructure. Further, the Owner(s) shall comply to 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.
3. That the Owner(s) provide proof that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer, licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, delineating the existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained lands has been provided to the satisfaction of the **Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate**.
4. That the Owner(s) enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owner(s), which is to be registered on title to deal with the following covenant/notice that shall run with the land and bind future owners on subsequent transfers:

"The property is located next to lands that have an existing source of environmental noise (arterial road.) and may therefore be subject to noise and other activities associated with that use."

The Committee shall be provided a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that it has been registered on title.
5. That the Owner(s) enter into a Joint Use and Maintenance Agreement, at the expense of the Owner(s), setting forth the obligations between the Owner(s) and the proposed future owners with respect to the joint use and maintenance of all common elements including, but not limited to, the common party walls, common structural elements such as roof, footings, soffits, foundations.

The Owner shall ensure that the Agreement is binding upon all unit owners and successors in title and shall be to the satisfaction of **Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services**

**Department, or their designate, or City Legal Services.** The Committee shall be provided written confirmation that the Agreement is satisfactory to the Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate, or is satisfactory to City Legal Services, as well as a copy of the Agreement and confirmation that it has been registered on title.

6. That the Owner(s) enter into a Resurfacing Agreement with the City, to the satisfaction of the **Program Manager, Right of Way Branch within the Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate**, and provide financial security in accordance with the Road Activity By-law, as amended, to install an asphalt overlay over the roadway surface of Dawson, fronting the subject lands, to the limits shown on the approved Site Servicing Plan. Where the approved Site Servicing Plan demonstrates the resurfacing is not required, based on the City's Road Cut Resurfacing Policy, the **Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate**, shall deem this condition satisfied.
7. Pursuant to clause 51 (25)(c) of the Planning Act and Schedule C16 of the City's Official Plan, the Owner conveys to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered road widening across the complete Kirkwood frontage of the lands, measuring 12 meters from the existing centerline of pavement/the abutting right-of-way. The exact widening must be determined by legal survey. The Owner shall provide a reference plan for registration, indicating the widening, to the **City Surveyor** for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required widening. The Committee shall be provided written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that the transfer of the widening to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owner.
8. The Owner conveys to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered comer sight triangle, measuring 3 X 3 meters, at the intersection of Iona and Dawson. The comer sight triangle must be determined by legal survey. The Owner shall provide a reference plan for registration, indicating the comer sight triangle, to the **City Surveyor** for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required comer sight triangle. The Committee shall be provided written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that the transfer of the comer sight triangle to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owner.
9. The Owner conveys to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered comer sight triangle, measuring 3 X 9 meters, at the intersection of Iona and Kirkwood. The comer sight triangle must be determined by legal survey. The Owner shall provide a

reference plan for registration, indicating the corner sight triangle, to the **City Surveyor** for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required corner sight triangle. The Committee shall be provided written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that the transfer of the corner sight triangle to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owner.

10. That the Owner/Applicant(s) provide a Tree Information Report addendum, to the satisfaction of the **Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate**. This report shall be prepared by an Arborist, identifying all trees protected under the City's Tree Protection by-law, and meeting the standards of the City's Tree Information Report Guidelines, including an assessment of impacts related to the current site plan, and specific mitigation measures where work is proposed within the Critical Root Zone of a protected tree.
11. That the Owner/Applicant(s) provide and implement a tree planting plan, to the satisfaction of the **Manager of Development Review All Wards Branch within Planning, Development and Building Services Department, or their designate**, showing the location(s), species/ultimate size of one new 50 mm tree to be planted on the property frontage or right-of-way of each lot in addition to any compensation trees required under the Tree Protection By-law, to enhance the urban tree canopy and streetscape.
12. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required**. The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
13. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for a severance and joint use and maintenance agreement for which the Consent is required.