



Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee

Special Meeting Minutes

Meeting #: 30
Date: December 1, 2025
Time: 10 am
Location: Ben Franklin Place, The Chamber, Main Floor, 101
CentrepoinTE Drive, and by electronic participation

Present: Chair: Councillor David Brown, Vice-Chair: Councillor
Clarke Kelly, Councillor Catherine Kitts, Councillor Matt
Luloff, Councillor, Isabelle Skalski

1. Notices and meeting information for meeting participants and the public

Notices and meeting information are attached to the agenda and minutes, including: availability of simultaneous interpretation and accessibility accommodations; *in camera* meeting procedures; information items not subject to discussion; personal information disclaimer for correspondents and public speakers; notices regarding minutes; and remote participation details.

Accessible formats and communication supports are available, upon request.

Except where otherwise indicated, reports requiring Council consideration will be presented to Council on Wednesday, December 10, 2025 in Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee Report 30.

The deadline to register by phone to speak, or submit written comments or visual presentations was 4:00 pm on Friday, November 28th, 2025, and the deadline to register by email to speak was 9:00 am on Monday, December 1st, 2025.

The Chair read the following statement at the outset of the meeting pursuant to the *Planning Act*:

This is a public meeting to consider the proposed Comprehensive Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments listed as Item(s) 3.1, 3.2 and 3.5 on today's Agenda.

For the item just mentioned, only those who make oral submissions today or written submissions before the amendments are adopted may appeal the matter to the Ontario Land Tribunal. In addition, the applicant may appeal the matter to the Ontario Land Tribunal if Council does not adopt an amendment within 90 days of receipt of the application for a Zoning By-law Amendment and 120 days for an Official Plan Amendment.

To submit written comments on these amendments, prior to their consideration by City Council on 10 December 2025, please email or call the Committee or Council Coordinator.

2. Declarations of Interest

No Declarations of Interest were filed.

3. Special Meeting Items

3.1 Zoning By-law Amendment – 5651 First Line Road

File No. ACS2025-PDB-PSX-0071 – Rideau-Jock (21)

Ms Jill MacDonald (WSP) and Mr. Carl Furney (Minto) had been registered to speak, but indicated that they would not need to do so if Committee were prepared to 'Carry' the item. Chair Brown spoke briefly to clarify that the City would not be rezoning environmentally sensitive lands and woodlots for development through the Plan of Subdivision, but that protections were instead being added. The report recommendations were then put before Committee and were 'Carried' as presented.

Report Recommendation(s)

- 1. That Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee recommend Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 2008-250 for 5651 First Line Road, as shown in Document 1, to permit the development of Phase 4 of the draft approved Plan of Subdivision and to re-zone the adjacent watercourses and woodlots, and to amend Schedule 404 to the By-law, as detailed in Document 3.**

2. **That Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee approve the Consultation Details Section of this report be included as part of the ‘brief explanation’ in the Summary of Written and Oral Public Submissions, to be prepared by the Office of the City Clerk and submitted to Council in the report titled, “Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to the *Planning Act* ‘Explanation Requirements’ at the City Council Meeting of December 10, 2025” subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and the time of Council’s decision.**

Carried

3.2 Zoning By-law Amendment – 6371 and 6409 Perth Street

File No. ACS2025-PDB-PS-0067 – Rideau-Jock (21)

At the outset, Vice-Chair Kelly introduced the following Technical Motion to include a location map that had originally been omitted.

Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-01

Moved by Vice-Chair C. Kelly:

WHEREAS the property at 6387 Franktown Road has a subordinate address of 6387 Perth Street; and

WHEREAS the property at 6387 Perth Street is subject to the Zoning By-law Amendment outlined in this report; and

WHEREAS Document 1 – Location Map / Zoning Key Map in the report inadvertently omitted the property at 6387 Franktown Road / 6387 Perth Street; BE IT RESOLVED that Document 1 be replaced with the map attached.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to subsection 34(17) of the *Planning Act*, no further notice be given.

Messrs. Scott Alain and Miguel Tremblay (FoTenn) and Ms Susan Murphy had been registered to speak, but indicated that they would not need to do so if Committee were prepared to ‘Carry’ the item.

The report recommendations were then put before Committee and were ‘Carried’ as amended by Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-01.

Report Recommendation(s)

That Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee:

1. **Recommend Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 2008-250 for part of 6371, 6387 and part of 6409 Perth Street, as shown in Document 1, as amended by Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-01, to permit the first phase of development of a residential subdivision with townhouses over the subject lands, as detailed in Document 2.**
2. **Approve the Consultation Details Section of this report be included as part of the ‘brief explanation’ in the Summary of Written and Oral Public Submissions, to be prepared by the Office of the City Clerk and submitted to Council in the report titled, “Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to the *Planning Act* ‘Explanation Requirements’ at the City Council Meeting of December 10, 2025” subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and the time of Council’s decision.**

Carried as amended

3.3 Zoning By-law Amendment – 7628 Flewellyn Road

File No. ACS2024-PDB-PSX-0030 – Rideau-Jock (21)

WITHDRAWN

3.4 1500 Thomas Argue Road – Request for Municipal Support Confirmation for a proposed Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)

File No. ACS2025-SI-CCR-0013 – West Carleton-March (5)

At the outset, Vice-Chair Kelly introduced the following Motion:

Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-02

Moved by Vice-Chair C. Kelly:

WHEREAS the City of Ottawa has previously entered into host municipality responsibility agreements with third-party project proponents who provide funds related to community initiatives in recognition of the project’s effects on the local community; and

WHEREAS host municipality responsibility agreements generally provide for the City to determine how to allocate and disburse funds provided by the third party in order to respond to community needs and ensure accountability and transparency, with eligible community initiatives often identified by City staff in consultation with the relevant Ward Councillor(s);

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that staff, in the event this project proceed, be directed to request that the proposed West Capital Power Capacity Project proponent be encouraged to enter into a host municipality responsibility agreement with the City of Ottawa, consistent with other host municipality responsibility agreements previously entered into by the City, through which the proponent would provide an amount of at least \$1000 per megawatt per year with the goal to achieve a higher amount if possible, for a period of not less than 20 years. The finalization and execution of such agreement shall be approved by Council, with recommendations to be provided as to the allocation and disbursements of such funds;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that staff be directed to provide City Council with an update on the status of the request to the proponents by way of memorandum within three months following the issuance of a contract by the Independent Electricity Systems Operator (IESO) for the West Capital Power Capacity Project, and to bring forward for committee and Council approval any draft host municipality responsibility agreements negotiated with the proponents.

The Committee then received a slide presentation overview of the report from Ms Nichole Hoover-Bienasz, Director, Climate Change and Resiliency Services, Strategic Initiatives Department (SI). A copy of this presentation is held on file with the Office of the City Clerk. She was accompanied by Ms Melissa Jort-Conway, Planner III (SI). Chief Paul Hutt, Ottawa Fire Service (OFS), and Senior OFS staff and staff from the Planning, Development and Building Services (PDBS) Department were also present to respond to questions.

The Committee then heard from the following delegations:

1. Angela Keller-Herzog*, Executive Director, Community Associations for Environmental Sustainability (CAFES), expressing support of the proposal.

2. Josh Blokhuis, Andrew Wildeboer, David Livingston, Robert Koblinsky and Alan Kriss, who were part of a single delegation representing West Capital Power, present to respond to Committee members' questions.
3. Don Sproule, expressing support for the proposal.

*[* Slide presentations are held on file with the Office of the City Clerk.]*

At the conclusion of discussions, the report recommendations were put before committee and were 'Carried', as amended by Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-02.

Report Recommendation(s)

That the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee recommend Council:

1. **Grant a Municipal Support Confirmation (MSC), for the purposes of satisfying the mandatory requirements under Section 4.2(c) of the LT2(c-1) RFP, which does not supersede any applicable permits or approvals under applicable Laws and Regulations that may be required for a Long-Term Energy Project, to a proposed battery energy storage project, known as the West Capital Power Capacity Project, and located at 1500 Thomas Argue Road in Ward 5 – West Carleton-March as shown in Document 1 and as summarized in Document 2;**
2. **Approve that staff, in the event this project proceed, be directed to request that the proposed West Capital Power Capacity Project proponent be encouraged to enter into a host municipality responsibility agreement with the City of Ottawa, consistent with other host municipality responsibility agreements previously entered into by the City, through which the proponent would provide an amount of at least \$1000 per megawatt per year with the goal to achieve a higher amount if possible, for a period of not less than 20 years. The finalization and execution of such agreement shall be approved by Council, with recommendations to be provided as to the allocation and disbursements of such funds, and;**
 - a. **Approve that staff be directed to provide City Council with an update on the status of the request to the proponents by way of memorandum within three months following the issuance of a contract by the Independent Electricity Systems Operator**

(IESO) for the West Capital Power Capacity Project, and to bring forward for committee and Council approval any draft host municipality responsibility agreements negotiated with the proponents.

Carried, as amended

3.5 Zoning By-law Amendment for Part of
2555 Marchurst Road and Part of 2625 Marchurst Road

File No. ACS2025-PDB-PSX-0069 – West Carleton-March (5)

Zoning By-law Amendment for Part of
2555 Marchurst Road and Part of 2625 Marchurst Road

File No. ACS2025-PDB-PSX-0069 – West Carleton-March (5)

At the outset, the Committee received a slide presentation overview of the report from Ms Jasdeep Brar, Planner I, Planning, Development and Building Services (PDBS) Department. A copy of this presentation is held on file with the Office of the City Clerk. Mr. Adam Brown, Manager, Development Review – Rural, PDBS and Ms Cheryl McWilliams, Planner III, PDBS, were also present to respond to questions.

The Committee then heard from the following delegations, as noted:

1. Angela Keller-Herzog*, Executive Director, Community Associations for Environmental Sustainability (CAFES) - spoke in support of the report recommendations.
2. Raymond Colautti, a barrister, said he had been retained by a group of objectors living near the proposed BESS facility – spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, including speaking to the BESS' incompatibility with the rural character and land use policy for the RU and EP3 Zone and expressing concern with the process and incomplete supporting studies.
3. Courtney Argue-Giordano^V - spoke (via video) in opposition to the report recommendations, including suggesting that this project was poorly sited and politically-driven, and that Council and staff had failed the affected community.
4. Nick Giordano^V - spoke (via video) in opposition to the report

recommendations, speaking to its incompatibility with the rural area policies of the Official Plan, with agricultural operations, and with the health and safety of people and animals.

5. Emily Hunter, Senior Program Manager, Environmental Defence, and Mike Marolongo - spoke in support of clean energy and of the proposed Zoning By-law Amendment.
6. Leigh Fenton* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing that the project posed a threat in terms of area groundwater contamination and that the studies undertaken by the proponent had not been properly completed.
7. Catherine Swift - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, noting that the proposed BESS site was improperly sited, posed a threat to environmentally and archaeologically significant areas and posed a threat to local wetlands and fauna.
8. David Thomas - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing shortcomings in the available documentation and issues with land use compatibility.
9. Michael D'Asti - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing repeated shortcomings and health and safety misrepresentations in project documentation.
10. Aditi Kara*, Legal Counsel on behalf of nearby neighbourhood residents (Dunrobin) - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, expressing that the evidence was insufficient to support the proposed development, citing incompatibility with Official Plan policies and that answers to important questions were to be postponed to a later stage of development.
11. Leo Taylor* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing incomplete and erroneous information in all available documentation, inappropriateness of the project siting, and potential negative health effects.
12. Brenda Halabisky* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, outlining potential negative ecological and health consequences to the rural and natural landscape, which could pose threats to local species habitats, water resources and rural residents'

health should anything go amiss.

13. Kerri-Lynn Bulger* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing safety and health concerns touching upon substandard testing, deliverability, poor geomembrane design, insufficient ditching, testing and analyses, cyber-security issues and the potential for a large-scale disaster.
14. Sharon Podlesny* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing deficiencies in design, emergency response planning, potential hazardous waste management, and shortcomings with air monitoring, notification, evacuation planning and consequence analysis.
15. Ed Hoskins* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, cautioning that the proponent's proposal to reroute an existing watercourse would prove detrimental to the project and to area residents, given the possibility of flooding due to increased beaver dam activity over the past two decades.
16. Nicky Trudell* - spoke in support of the report recommendations to help achieve a green, resilient and prosperous economy.
17. Don Sproule* - spoke in support of the report recommendations, to help speed up a transition to cost-effective renewable energy sources with improved grid resiliency and reduced greenhouse gas emissions.
18. Councillor Merv Sarazin*, Algonquins of Pikwakanagan – spoke in support of the report recommendations.. He spoke about partnering with Evolgen for the prosperity of future generations, and the land ceremony held on the site.
19. Wendy Argue^V – spoke (via video) in opposition to the report recommendations, touching upon potential detrimental effects of the project, inadequate public consultation, insufficient notification to area residents, incomplete and misleading documentation, and a lack of meaningful engagement.
20. Kathy Black - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing her concerns regarding the incompatibility of a large-scale industrial project within the rural area and potential contamination dangers to aquifers and water supply to area residents.

21. Vincent Brunelle – Civil Engineer in charge of grading and stormwater management for the BESS site - spoke to provide an explanation of the stormwater management and environmental safety features of the BESS site, designed to help mitigate potential detrimental effects in the event of flooding.
22. Jasona Rondeau - spoke to the issues of appropriate land uses, inappropriate siting of renewable energy projects, inadequacies in the available information and potential ecological, health and safety impacts to the public.
23. Trisha Sandor - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing concerns with BESS location, safety, contamination, municipal oversight, protection of residents and compensation in the event of catastrophic failures.
24. Robert Sandor - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, touching upon lifecycle, financial and cyber-security risks, community safety, and a suggestion that the proponent put up bonds as contingencies against possible future expenses related to BESS failure.
25. Sue Joshi - spoke in opposition to the recommendations, citing that the available information was insufficient, incomplete and inaccurate, and that there was a need to adhere to established zoning to assure residents that their environment, public health and safety would be protected.
26. Cori Ann Terai - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations not on the basis of technology, but on location, expressing that the environment was being traded away for profit, and that increased traffic, dust, and noise during construction would disrupt local ecosystems and would be detrimental to the rural character of the area.
27. Theresa De Marco^V - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations by showing, via video, where the BESS project would be situated, taking issue with assertions that it would be located 'in the middle of nowhere', and expressing that there were concerns over the environment and with residents' safety in the event of an emergency.

28. Goutam Shaw – spoke in support of the report recommendations and in support of renewable and clean energy initiatives, expressing faith that potential risks from the technology would be mitigated by manufacturers, by industry and by safety authorities.
29. Judy Makin - spoke in support of the report recommendations, referencing measures that would be in place to mitigate potential risks, and speaking to how the project would benefit the community.
30. Jennifer Hubbard* - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, on the basis of appropriate change for the area, contrasting the proposed BESS site in an agricultural ecosystem with that of the Trail Road landfill and Carp Airport BESS sites, the latter two of which were deemed to be better suited for such a project.
31. Geoff Wright*, Senior Vice-President, Development, Brookfield Renewable – was joined by Ken Little, Vice-President, Development, and Carl Haeussler, Project Director, Ottawa Battery Projects – The Brookfield team spoke in favour of the report recommendations and made reference to partnering with the Algonquins of Pikwakanagan. The delegation was largely present to respond to questions. Steve Willis (Stantec Consulting), joined this delegation to help respond to questions.
32. Scott McLeod - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, citing inappropriate land use, and reiterating that it made little sense to put an industrial facility in a rural area adjacent to residential and agricultural properties. He suggested that there were other, more suitable locations available, also with access to existing hydro corridors.
33. Paul Brown, Energy Safety Response Group (Fire Safety Consultants) - spoke in support of the report recommendations, explaining how protection mechanisms and layers of safety were built into the project, and speaking to working with the Ottawa Fire Service on hazard mitigation and emergency response planning.
34. Tonya Rivera - recounted her experience as a resident in proximity to the Moss Landing Power Plant in California, which had caught by fire in early 2025. She expressed concerns over ongoing health and environmental issues related to the fire and released environmental

toxins, concerns which have remained unaddressed since that time, and she cautioned that the municipality be aware of such matters.

35. Stephanie Wilde - spoke in opposition to the report recommendations, questioning why the proposed location, encompassing ecologically significant wetlands, agricultural land and private wells had been deemed suitable for an industrial BESS project.

*[* Slide presentations and V.mp4 videos are held on file with the Office of the City Clerk.]*

Written submissions were also received as noted below:

1. Courtney Argue⁺
 1. Email to D. Brown, J. Brar, PDBS Department, dated 17 November 2025, emailed 28 November 2025
2. Michael D'Asti⁺
 1. BESS Commissioning Plan Comments (undated), emailed 28 November 2025
 2. Hazard Mitigation Analysis Report (undated), emailed 28 November 2025
 3. Plume Analysis Report Comments dated 6 November 2025, emailed 28 November 2025
 4. Site Plan Comments dated 10 November 2025, emailed 28 November 2025
 5. Comments on Site Servicing Study, Grading and Drainage Plans, Erosion and Sediment Control and Site Servicing and Fire Protection Plan dated 11 November 2025, emailed 28 November 2025
 6. PowerPoint slide presentation (Battery Energy Storage: Getting to Yes) from retired Fire Captain and Assistant Fire Marshall (Kern County Fire Department) and current BESS Fire Safety and Permitting Consultant Michael Nicholas, emailed 28 November 2025

3. Leigh Fenton⁺
 1. Email to ARAC Chair D. Brown, C. McWilliams (Planner), J. Brar (Planner), Councillor C. Kelly and Mayor M. Sutcliffe dated 27 November 2025, rec'd from J. Brar 27 November 2025
 2. "Get Charged Evolugen/Brookfield Townhall" Notice dated 25 November 2025
 3. Written Delegation to ARAC Agenda Item 3.5 – Zoning By-law Amendment for Part of 2555 Marchurst Road and Part of 2625 Marchurst Road
 4. Submission Re: Fluvial Geomorphology for South March BESS – Confirmation of Erosion Hazard Limit dated 3 November 2025
 5. Email to City Clerk and Committee Coordinator dated 29 November 2025
 6. Written submission to City Clerk and Committee Coordinator (undated), Ref: 01 December 2025 ARAC meeting requesting procedural correction
 7. Submission to A. Brown, C. McWilliams, J. Brar (PDBS), Councillor C. Kelly and Mayor M. Sutcliffe, dated 27 November 2025
 8. Email to City Clerk dated 01 December 2025
 9. Email to City Clerk dated 01 December 2025 (follow-up)
4. Ying He⁺
 1. Emailed comments to J. Brar, Planner, dated 24 November 2025, forwarded on 26 November 2025
5. Hongying (Matt) Hu⁺
 1. Emailed comments to J. Brar, Planner, dated 23 November 2025, forwarded on 26 November 2025
6. Emily Hunter⁺, Senior Program Manager, Environmental Defence
 1. Briefing document dated 24 November (emailed 28 November 2025)
7. Aiditi Kara⁺
 1. Email to J. Brar, Planner, dated 26 November 2025
8. Mike and Tally McRae-Croskery⁺
 1. Emailed comments dated 28 November 2025
9. Sharon Podlesny⁺
 1. Email dated 24 November 2025
 2. Written submission to Councillor C. Kelly dated 20 November 2025
 3. Written submission to Mayor and Council dated 24 November 2025

4. Various submissions, South March BESS, incl. 109-item Table of Contents
10. Simon and Susanna Rix⁺
 1. Emailed comments dated 26 November 2025
11. Jasona Rondeau⁺
 1. Email to J. Brar, Planner, dated 26 November 2025
 2. Technical Memo from SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. Dated 23 September 2025
 3. Letter from Alberta Utilities Commission dated 4 November 2025
 4. Technical Commentary on Aerocoustics South March Initial Noise Control Options letter to Evolgen (10 July 2025) dated 24 October 2025
 5. Copy of Powertitan Battery Emergency Response Guide, 2023
 6. Copy of Stettler Solar BESS Project Analysis, Integrated Modelling (intmod) Inc., dated 23 April 2025
 7. Technical Commentary on Hatch Limited “ESDM-Style” Report for Proposed Brookfield South March BESS, dated 16 September 2025
 8. Copy of community survey issued by the Health Departments of Monterey, San Benito, and Santa Cruz Counties, in collaboration with the California Department of Public Health to learn more about the Energy Storage Facility Fire in Monterey County (January 16, 2025)
 9. Copy of Moss Landing Energy Storage Facility (Battery) Fire Community Survey Results (30 May 2025)
 10. Technical Commentary on Hatch Ltd. Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Study for Brookfield’s South March BESS Facility Land-Use application with ARAC (undated) as submitted to J. Brar, Planner
12. David Thomas⁺
 1. Comments (undated) emailed 28 November 2025
13. Geoff and Margaret Turner⁺
 1. Emailed comments dated 28 November 2025
14. Cliff Wardle⁺
 1. Emailed comments submitted 28 November 2025

15. Brad and Jane Wark⁺
 1. Emailed comments dated 28 November 2025
16. Brian Webster and Elizabeth Henderson⁺
 1. Comments dated 28 November 2025, emailed 28 November 2025
17. Mark Wight⁺
 1. Email dated 28 November 2025
 2. Written submission emailed 28 November 2025
18. Jane Wilson⁺, Ottawa Wind Concerns
 1. Written comments emailed 29 November 2025

[⁺ All written submissions are held on file with the Office of the City Clerk.]

At the conclusion of the public delegations' portion of the meeting, Chief Paul Hutt, Ottawa Fire Service (OFS) was present to respond to questions and introduced members of the OFS Team; Deputy Chief Colleen Woolsey (Fire Prevention), Gerry Gill (Chief of Safety) and Al Evans (Fire Service Engineer).

Vice-Chair Kelly then introduced the following replacement Motion:

Motion N^o ARAC-2025-30-03

Moved by Vice-Chair C. Kelly:

WHEREAS the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee heard from a number of delegations at its meeting of December 1, 2025, and several concerns pertaining to the proposed use of the land to permit a Battery Energy Storage System were brought to Committee's attention; and

WHEREAS the necessary studies and reports are preliminary, contain multiple errors and/or are incomplete;

WHEREAS the proposed use is incompatible with the surrounding area;

WHEREAS the Applicant has not demonstrated that the proposed rezoning complies with the PPS; and

WHEREAS concerns with impacts to groundwater have not been adequately addressed;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Agricultural and Rural Affairs

Committee:

- 1. Recommend Council refuse an amendment to Zoning By-law 2008-250 for Part of 2555 Marchurst Road and Part of 2625 Marchurst Road, as shown in Document 1, to permit a Battery Energy Storage System, as detailed in Document 2.**
- 2. Approve the Consultation Details Section of this report be included as part of the 'brief explanation' in the Summary of Written and Oral Public Submissions, to be prepared by the Office of the City Clerk and submitted to Council in the report titled, "Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to the Planning Act 'Explanation Requirements' at the City Council Meeting of December 10, 2025 subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and the time of Council's decision.**

That pursuant to the *Planning Act*, subsection 34(17), no further notice be given.

At the conclusion of questions to staff and with Committee discussions having also concluded, the report recommendations were put before Committee and were 'Carried', as amended by Motion N^o ARAC 2025-30-03 on a vote of three 'Yeas' to one 'Nay'.

That Council refuse an amendment to Zoning By-law 2008-250 for Part of 2555 Marchurst Road and Part of 2625 Marchurst Road, as shown in Document 1, to permit a Battery Energy Storage System, as detailed in Document 2, and; that pursuant to the Planning Act, subsection 34(17), no further notice be given.

For (3): Councillors I. Skalski, Vice-Chair C. Kelly and Chair D. Brown

Against (1): Councillor C. Kitts

Carried as amended (3 to 1)

4. Notices of Motions (For Consideration at Subsequent Meeting)

There were no Notices of Motion.

5. Inquiries

There were no Inquiries.

6. Adjournment

Next Meeting

- Thursday, December 4, 2025 (Budget)
- Wednesday, December 17 (Joint Meeting with Planning and Housing Committee)

The meeting adjourned at 3:18 pm.

C. Zwierzchowski, Committee
Coordinator

Councillor D. Brown, Chair