


KENWOOD AVENUE

Approximate Crown of Traveled Road

GOLDEN AVENUE

Approximate Crown of Traveled Road



ELEVATION NOTES

- ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERRED TO GEODETIC DATUM (CGVD28).
- ELEVATIONS FOR MANHOLE COVERS AND CATCH BASINS HAVE TO BE INDEPENDENTLY CONFIRMED BEFORE THEY CAN BE ACCEPTED FOR FINAL DESIGN OR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.
- IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER OF THIS INFORMATION TO VERIFY THAT THE JOB BENCHMARKS HAVE NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT THEIR RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREE WITH THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING.

UTILITY NOTES

- THIS DRAWING CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS ACKNOWLEDGING ALL OF THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND IT WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER TO CONTACT THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR CONFIRMATION OR LOCATION.
- UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, AS REPORTED ON THIS DRAWING, ARE NOT BASED ON AN ACTUAL 'FIELD LOCATE' BY THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY AGENCIES BUT HAVE BEEN COMPILED FROM DATA OBTAINED FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCE:
a) CITY OF OTTAWA PUBLIC UTILITIES REGISTRY
- BEFORE ANY WORK INVOLVING PROBING, EXCAVATING, ETC., A FIELD LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND PLANT BY THE PERTINENT UTILITY AUTHORITY IS MANDATORY.

NOTES

BEARINGS ARE ASTRONOMIC AND ARE REFERRED TO THE WESTERLY LIMIT OF GOLDEN AVENUE AS SHOWN ON A PLAN BY FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND DATED APRIL 17, 1990 (REF. 32(a)-283NP), HAVING A BEARING OF N 22°15' D 50' 10" W.

LEGEND

- - SURVEY MONUMENT SET
- - SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND
- SIB - STANDARD IRON BAR
- RIB - ROUND IRON BAR
- IB - IRON BAR
- (P) - REGISTERED PLAN 283
- (P1) - PLAN BY (GCM) DATED JUNE 12, 1956 (REF. 13-NP 283)
- (P2) - PLAN BY (1287) DATED DEC. 18, 1987 (JOB. 641/87)
- (P3) - PLAN BY PAVETTE, HIMMA, DELORME LTD., O.L.S. (REF. 126-98)
- (P4) - PLAN BY (857) DATED APRIL 17, 1990 (REF. 32(a)-283NP)
- (P5) - PLAN BY (857) DATED SEPT. 24, 1982 (REF. 43-283NP)
- (S) - SET
- (M) - MEASURED
- (857) - FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND LIMITED, O.L.S.
- (1287) - FARLEY, SMITH & MURRAY SURVEYING LIMITED, O.L.S.
- (A00) - ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBECK LIMITED, O.L.S.
- (GCM) - G. C. MAROSTRI, O.L.S.
- (SU) - SOURCE UNKNOWN
- (WIT) - WITNESS
- DIA - DIAMETER
- INV. - INVERT
- T/FDN - TOP OF FOUNDATION
- T/W - TOP OF RETAINING WALL ELEVATION
- BF - BOARD FENCE
- PWF - POST & WIRE FENCE
- N - NORTH
- S - SOUTH
- E - EAST
- W - WEST
- MH - MANHOLE
- CB - CATCH BASIN
- x UP - UTILITY POLE
- FH - FIRE HYDRANT
- LS - LAMP STANDARD
- x UP - UTILITY POLE
- - DECIDUOUS TREE
- - CONIFEROUS TREE
- - WATERMAN
- - OVERHEAD UTILITY WIRES
- - OVERHEAD UTILITY WIRES
- - GAS MAIN
- - ST - STORM SEWER
- - SAN - SANITARY SEWER
- - SIGN
- - SHRUB

DRAWING NOTES

THIS PLAN HAS BEEN DERIVED FROM TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY FAIRHALL MOFFATT WOODLAND LIMITED DATED APRIL 25 2025

EXISTING AVERAGE GRADE = 72.06

MIN. AGGREGATE FRONT YARD CORNER SIDE YARD SOFT LANDSCAPING AREAS
AREA OF CORNER SIDE YARD = 153 SQM
AREA OF LANDSCAPING IN CORNER SIDE YARD (IDENTIFIED IN GREEN ON PLAN) = 83.4 SQM
PERCENTAGE OF SOFT LANDSCAPING IN CORNER SIDE YARD = 55%

AREA OF FRONT YARD = 132 SQM
AREA OF LANDSCAPING IN FRONT YARD (IDENTIFIED AS GREEN ON PLAN) = 111 SQM
PERCENTAGE OF SOFT LANDSCAPING IN FRONT YARD = 84%

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
06	ISSUED FOR MINOR VARIANCE	16 DEC 2025
05	ISSUED FOR GRADING PLAN	2 DEC 2025
04	ISSUED FOR PRICING	24 OCT 2025
03	DESIGN 3	8 OCT 2025
02	DESIGN 2	19 SEPT 2025
01	DESIGN 1	24 JULY 2025

EXISTING ZONING BY-LAW R1K

Requirement	Provided	Section
Minimum Lot Width	18 m	21.61 m Section 156, Table 156A
Minimum Lot Area	500 m ²	560.73 m ² Section 156, Table 156A
Max Building Height	8.0 m	9.76 m Section 156, Table 156A
Minimum Front Yard Setback	6 m or average of abutting front yard setbacks	6.05 m Section 156, Table 156A, Section 144(1)(a)
Corner Side Yard Setback	4.5 m	4.82 m Section 156, Table 156A
Minimum Rear Yard Setback	25% of lot depth (7.45 m)	8.7 m Section 156, Table 156A
Minimum Rear Yard Area	25% of lot area	N/A Section 144(1)(a)
Minimum Interior Yard Setback	Total to 3.6 m, with one yard no less than 1.2 m, 50% of total = 1.8 m	1.8 m Section 156, Table 156A, Section 144(2)
Minimum Aggregate Front Yard/Corner Side Yard Soft Landscaped Area	For lot width >= 12 m where P/S is >= 3m: 40% Front Yard Area: 132 sqm Aggregate Landscaping Required: 40% Corner Side Yard: Area of landscaping in corner side yard = 83.4 sqm or 55%	Front Yard: Area of landscaping in the front yard = 111 sqm or 84% Section 144, Table 144A
Maximum Driveway Width	Lot width is 18 m or greater = 6 m	6 m Section 139, Table 139(3)(a)
Minimum Garage Setback	0.6 m	>0.6 m Section 139(3)(a)

EXISTING ZONING BY-LAW R1K

Requirement	Provided	Section
Maximum Walkway	1.2 m, separated by 0.6 m of soft landscaping	1.2 m Section 139(4)(ii)
Maximum Front Porch	3 m, not closer than 1 m to any lot line (depending on height of front porch above adjacent grade)	1.2 m, > 1 m Section 658(6)
Maximum Rear Patio	Where walking surface is at or below 0.6 m above adjacent grade: no limit	1.0 m and 6.44 m from rear lot line Section 658(6)
Maximum Balcony Projection (Exterior Side Yard)	2 m, not closer than 1 m to any lot line	0.3 m and 4.2 m from lot line S65, Table 658(6)



AR DING TON + ASSOCIATES DESIGN INC.
43 RECULES STREET UNIT C1 OTTAWA, ONTARIO
E: steve@ardington.ca | T: 613.882.3425 | BCIN 43329

DESIGNER

The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for design activities as described in Ontario Building Code 1.4.1.2 and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code

Stephen Ardington, BCIN # 35954

GENERAL NOTES

THIS DRAWING IS EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF ARDINGTON AND ASSOCIATES DESIGN INC. COPYRIGHT RESERVED.

THIS DRAWING IS NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS GRANTED. REFER TO PAGE A0 FOR GENERAL NOTES.

DRAWING NOTES

THIS PLAN HAS BEEN DERIVED FROM TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY FAIRHALL MOFFATT WOODLAND LIMITED DATED APRIL 25 2025

EXISTING AVERAGE GRADE = 72.06

MIN. AGGREGATE FRONT YARD CORNER SIDE YARD SOFT LANDSCAPING AREAS
AREA OF CORNER SIDE YARD = 153 SQM
AREA OF LANDSCAPING IN CORNER SIDE YARD (IDENTIFIED IN GREEN ON PLAN) = 83.4 SQM
PERCENTAGE OF SOFT LANDSCAPING IN CORNER SIDE YARD = 55%

AREA OF FRONT YARD = 132 SQM
AREA OF LANDSCAPING IN FRONT YARD (IDENTIFIED AS GREEN ON PLAN) = 111 SQM
PERCENTAGE OF SOFT LANDSCAPING IN FRONT YARD = 84%

COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT
Received | Reçu le
Revised | Modifié le : 2026-01-23
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Comité de dérogation

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
06	ISSUED FOR MINOR VARIANCE	16 DEC 2025
05	ISSUED FOR GRADING PLAN	2 DEC 2025
04	ISSUED FOR PRICING	24 OCT 2025
03	DESIGN 3	8 OCT 2025
02	DESIGN 2	19 SEPT 2025
01	DESIGN 1	24 JULY 2025

CIVIL ENGINEER

BUILDER

PROJECT
GOEL / GUPTA RESIDENCE

488 GOLDEN AVENUE
OTTAWA ONTARIO CANADA
PROJECT NO: 2025-18

ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN
SCALE: 1:75

A1

DRAWN BY: SA | CHECKED BY: SA

This document is presented in the language it was provided.
Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.

KENWOOD AVENUE
Approximate Crown of Traveled Road

GOLDEN AVENUE
Approximate Crown of Traveled Road
Edge of Asphalt
Storm Sewer 300mm

ELEVATION NOTES
1. ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERRED TO GEODETIC DATUM (CGVD28).
2. ELEVATIONS FOR MANHOLE COVERS AND CATCH BASINS HAVE TO BE INDEPENDENTLY CONFIRMED BEFORE THEY CAN BE ACCEPTED FOR FINAL DESIGN OR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.
3. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER OF THIS INFORMATION TO VERIFY THAT THE JOB BENCHMARKS HAVE NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT THEIR RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREE WITH THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING.

UTILITY NOTES
1. THIS DRAWING CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS ACKNOWLEDGING ALL OF THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND IT WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE USER TO CONTACT THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR CONFIRMATION OR LOCATION.
2. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, AS REPORTED ON THIS DRAWING, ARE NOT BASED ON AN ACTUAL 'FIELD LOCATE' BY THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY AGENCIES BUT HAVE BEEN COMPILED FROM DATA OBTAINED FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCE:
a) CITY OF OTTAWA PUBLIC UTILITIES REGISTRY
3. BEFORE ANY WORK INVOLVING PROBING, EXCAVATING, ETC., A FIELD LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND PLANT BY THE PERTINENT UTILITY AUTHORITY IS MANDATORY.

NOTES
BEARINGS ARE ASTRONOMIC AND ARE REFERRED TO THE WESTERLY LIMIT OF GOLDEN AVENUE AS SHOWN ON A PLAN BY FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND LIMITED DATED APRIL 17, 1990 (REF. 32(a)-283NP), HAVING A BEARING OF N 22°43'00" W.

LEGEND
 ■ - SURVEY MONUMENT SET
 ■ - SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND
 SIB - STANDARD IRON BAR
 RIB - ROUND IRON BAR
 IB - IRON BAR
 (P) - REGISTERED PLAN 283
 (P1) - PLAN BY (GCM) DATED JUNE 12, 1998 (REF. 13-NP 283)
 (P2) - PLAN BY (1287) DATED DEC. 18, 1987 (JOB. 841/87)
 (P3) - PLAN BY PAVETTE, HIMA, DELORME LTD., O.L.S. (REF. 128-98)
 (P4) - PLAN BY (857) DATED APRIL 17, 1990 (REF. 32(a)-283NP)
 (P5) - PLAN BY (857) DATED SEPT. 24, 1982 (REF. 43-283NP)
 (S) - SET
 (M) - MEASURED
 (87) - FAIRHALL, MOFFATT & WOODLAND LIMITED, O.L.S.
 (1287) - FARLEY, SMITH & MURRAY SURVEYING LIMITED, O.L.S.
 (AOG) - ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBECK LIMITED, O.L.S.
 (GCM) - G. C. MARSHALL, O.L.S.
 (SU) - SOURCE UNKNOWN
 (WT) - WITNESS
 DIA - DIAMETER
 INV - INVERT
 T/FDN - TOP OF FOUNDATION
 T/W - TOP OF RETAINING WALL ELEVATION
 BF - BOARD FENCE
 PWF - POST & WIRE FENCE
 N - NORTH
 S - SOUTH
 E - EAST
 W - WEST
 MH - MANHOLE
 CB - CATCH BASIN
 X UP - UTILITY POLE
 FH - FIRE HYDRANT
 LS - LAMP STANDARD
 X UP - UTILITY POLE
 ○ - DECIDUOUS TREE
 ○ - CONIFEROUS TREE
 # - WATERMAIN
 OHW - OVERHEAD UTILITY WIRES
 ○ - OVERHEAD UTILITY WIRES
 ⊕ - GAS MAIN
 -ST- - STORM SEWER
 -SAN- - SANITARY SEWER
 -SIGN - SIGN
 -SHRUB - SHRUB

DESIGN FIRM

ARDINGTON

TON

+ ASSOCIATES DESIGN

ARDINGTON AND ASSOCIATES DESIGN INC.
43 ECOLLE STREET UNIT C, OTTAWA, ONTARIO
E: steve@ardington.ca | T: 613.852.3426 | BCIN 43329

DESIGNER
The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for design activities as described in Ontario Building Code 1.4.1.2 and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code

Stephen Ardington, BCIN # 35954

GENERAL NOTES
THIS DRAWING IS EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF ARDINGTON AND ASSOCIATES DESIGN INC. COPYRIGHT RESERVED.
THIS DRAWING IS NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS GRANTED. REFER TO PAGE A4 FOR GENERAL NOTES

DRAWING NOTES
THIS PLAN HAS BEEN DERIVED FROM TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY FAIRHALL MOFFATT WOODLAND LIMITED DATED APRIL 25 2025

EXISTING AVERAGE GRADE = 72.06

REVISIONS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
D4	ISSUED FOR PRICING	24 OCT 2025
D3	DESIGN 3	8 OCT 2025
D2	DESIGN 2	18 SEPT 2025
D1	DESIGN 1	24 JULY 2025

CIVIL ENGINEER

BUILDER

PROJECT
GOEL / GUPTA RESIDENCE

488 GOLDEN AVENUE
OTTAWA ONTARIO CANADA
PROJECT NO.: 2025-101


DRAWING
ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN
SCALE: 1:75

Committee of Adjustment
Received | Reçu le
Revised | Modifié le : 2026-01-23
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Comité de dérogation

This document is presented in the language it was provided.
Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.

A1

DRAWN BY: SA | CHECKED BY: SA





Manotick Tree

Movers Inc.

Serving Ottawa Since 1991

1966 Carsonby Road West
North Gower, ON K0A 2T0

Phone: (613) 489-1116

Fax: (613) 489-1117

Email: info@manoticktree.com

www.manoticktree.com

• Pruning & Removal • Stump Grinding • Tree Sales • Tree Relocation
(FULLY INSURED)

Ardington and Associates Design Inc.

43 Eccles St, Ottawa, ON

613-882-3425

steve@ardington.ca

January 19, 2026

ATT'N: Steve Ardington

RE: Tree Information Report for 498 Golden Ave

This report details pre-construction tree information for the above noted property in Ottawa. The proposed work for this site consists of the demolition of the existing single-family home and rebuilding of a larger single-family home.

This report includes assessments of all the trees on the property, including boundary and adjacent trees that are 30cm or greater in diameter at breast height (DBH).

Under the Tree Protection By-law, the following protected trees cannot be injured or removed without a tree permit from the City:

- All City-owned trees throughout the urban and rural area
- All distinctive trees, which are trees 30cm or more in diameter at breast height on private properties within the urban area that are 1 hectare or less in size

The properties of these trees are noted in the table on page 2.

The information for this report was gathered on November 19, 2025.

Committee of Adjustment
Received | Reçu le

Revised | Modifié le : 2026-01-23

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
Comité de dérogation

This document is presented in the language it was provided.
Ce document est présenté dans la langue dans laquelle il a été fourni.

Tree	Species	Location	Ownership	CRZ (m)	DBH (cm)	DE (m)	Tree Condition	Action
A	Norway Maple	Front yard	City of Ottawa	9.2	92	4	Good health, poor structure	Retain
B	Blue Spruce	Front left yard	498 Golden Ave	4.3	42.5	0.5	Good health, poor structure	Remove – near excavation
C	Crabapple	Front right corner of yard	498 Golden Ave	3.8	38	4	Good health, fair structure	Retain
D	Elm	Left side yard	504 Golden Ave	3.3	32.5	0	Dead	Remove – dead
E	Manitoba Maple	Back of property	466 Kenwood Ave	3.9	39	0	Good health, poor structure	Remove - near excavation
F	Manitoba Maple	Back right corner of property	466 Kenwood Ave	3.9	38.5	0	Good health, poor structure	Remove - near excavation
G	Mountain Ash	Right side of house, along Kenwood	City of Ottawa	0.4	4	2.5	Good health, good structure	Retain
H	Viburnum	Right side of house, along Kenwood	City of Ottawa	0.5	5	0	Good health, good structure	Retain – tree can be moved with spade (with City permission)

Key Definitions

CRZ (Critical Root Zone): is established as being 10cm from the trunk of a tree for every centimetre of trunk DBH.

The CRZ is calculated as DBH x 10cm. This provides direction for the location of the tree protection fencing.

DBH (Diameter at breast height): The measurement of a trunk of a tree at a height of 120cm.

DE (Distance to excavation): The measurement of the distance from the nearest edge of the tree's trunk to adjacent excavation limits.

Boundary Tree means a tree, of which any part of the trunk is growing across one or more property lines.

Adjacent Tree means a tree whose trunk is growing on a property sharing a boundary with the subject site.

Tree B: requires a tree removal permit from the City of Ottawa



Tree E: requires a tree removal permit from the City of Ottawa



Tree F: requires a tree removal permit from the City of Ottawa



Provincial Regulations

As the arborist, we are responsible to abide by all Provincial Regulations such as the Endangered Species Act which mandates that tree species on the Species at Risk in Ontario list be identified. Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) is found in Eastern Ontario and is listed as threatened. Due to it being on the list, it must be protected from harm.

No protected species were identified on this or adjacent properties.

Impact of Development:

Trees B, D, E and F should all be removed as they are too close to excavation to be retained as excavation will be within the SRZ.

Tree A – Excavation will be inside the CRZ, but not within the SRZ. Excavation will only be within a small area of the CRZ. Most of the excavation will happen 5 metres away from the tree. With proper root pruning, this tree can be retained (see pre-construction measures below). The excavation of roots may cause stress on the tree. Because of this, we recommend not doing any maintenance pruning on the tree for 3-5 years to allow the tree to recover. Protection fencing to be installed (see tree protection section below).

Tree B - The tree splits into a two-stem structure at a height of 7 metres. The tree is very close to excavation and should be removed as a large amount of the CRZ and SRZ will be excavated.

Tree C – Excavation will be outside of the CRZ and can be retained. Protection fencing to be installed (see tree protection section below).

Tree D - Branches are decaying and brittle and failure is expected at anytime. This tree appears to have been dead for a long period of time and has become hazardous. Removal of this tree is recommended due to its current health and risk assessment.

Tree E - The tree has a large wound on the main trunk at a height of 2 metres containing decay. This wound is likely the result of a major branch failure. The tree has an included bark union at a height of 6 metres between co-dominant stems. The tree has a 5-10 degree lean. As the tree has failed in the past and currently has a poor structure, it carries a high risk of future failure. Because of the retaining wall being constructed on the west property line, it will require removing a substantial portion of the root zone and reduce the stability of the tree, cause stress to the tree and may cause the tree to decline in health. Removal is recommended due to its high risk of future failure and its proximity to excavation.

Tree F - The tree has a multi-stem structure with included bark unions between multiple stems and branches. The tree has a 15-degree lean towards Hydro lines and road. The tree is currently leaning on the garage at 466 Kenwood. The tree is near high voltage power lines. Because of the retaining wall being constructed on the west property line, it will require removing a substantial portion of the root zone and reduce the stability of the tree, cause stress to the tree and may cause the tree to decline in health. Removal is recommended due to proximity to excavation.

Tree G – The tree is in good health, structure, and can be retained. Protection fencing to be installed (see tree protection section below).

Tree H – The tree is in good health and structure. It is on the edge of the new proposed driveway. As the tree is still small/ young, we recommend having the tree moved slightly (remaining on City property). Protection fencing to be installed (see tree protection section below) after the tree has been moved to its new location. If the City does not allow the tree to be moved, then a removal permit from the City will be required.

Tree Protection Measures:

The Tree Protection By-law requires that anyone working near protected trees must adhere to the following unless otherwise authorized by the City:

- Erect a 1.2m high fence around the outer edge of the critical root zone (CRZ) of trees prior to beginning other site work, and maintain the fence until the work is complete
- Not place any material or equipment within the CRZ of the tree
- Not raise or lower the existing grade within the CRZ of a tree
- Not extend any hard surface or significantly change landscaping within the CRZ of a tree
- Not attach any signs, notices or posters to any tree, except as required by this by-law for trees to be removed
- Not damage the root system, trunk or branches of any tree
- Ensure that exhaust fumes from equipment are not directed toward any tree's canopy

It is an offence under the Tree Protection By-law to fail to adequately protect a tree that has not been approved for removal.

Pre-Construction Measures:

To retain any tree where excavation falls within the critical root zone, the best practice would be to use hydro excavation to expose any roots along the area where the excavation will be dug and then cut any roots visible with a pair of sterilized snips or a sharp saw before continuing to excavate. Where digging encounters roots, we suggest that cutting the roots is the preferred method to tearing roots by equipment. Limit construction equipment from the area as much as possible to prevent extra root compaction. If travel over the root zone is required a buffer of woodchips spread thick enough to stabilize a ¾-inch sheet of plywood should be applied. Fertilizing in the spring and applying mulch post-construction is advised.

Post-Construction Measures:

Aerate and add mulch around the retained trees if impacted by construction. Deadwood and weakly attached branches can be pruned out post-construction, but other pruning should be minimized, if possible, for a couple of years to allow the trees to recover. Soil samples should be analyzed for nutrient deficiencies to assess if fertilizing is required.

Replacement Tree Planting or Compensation:

When tree removals cannot be avoided, and compensation planting is required it must be done post-construction and at final grade. Otherwise, the City will request monetary compensation if planting a new tree is not feasible after the construction has commenced. Trees should be planted at a minimum of 50mm diameter.

Compensation planting will be required as 3 distinctive trees are proposed to be removed. The ratio for compensation planting is 2:1 (replanting:removal) for trees 30-49cm diameter and 3:1 for trees 50cm and over. Based on the trees on this report, a total of 6 trees would be needed for compensation.

Numbers 1-6 on the site map show potential replanting locations.

Suggestions for replanting:

Ivory Silk Lilac (mature size of 7 metres height and 5 metres width)

Serviceberry (mature size of 6 metres height and 3 metres width)

Armstrong Maple (mature height of 14 metres and 5 metres width)

Overhead wires run along the front and side yards; Lilacs, Serviceberries and Armstrong Maples are better options for that area as they won't interfere with the lines like large trees would.

Respectfully submitted,
Nick Krumins
613-489-1116
Certified Arborist #ON-1239A

Self- Declaration (to be signed by property owner):

By signing the application, you are acknowledging and understanding that an inspector may enter the property at a reasonable time for the purpose of carrying out an inspection. You also acknowledge and understand that through failure to abide by the recommendations of the approved Tree Information Report, damaging or destructing trees identified for protection, you will be responsible to bear fully the cost of compensation, removal, and replacement.

It is the owner/applicant's responsibility to ensure that all protection and mitigation measures described in the TIR are followed, and where necessary are done so under the supervision of an arborist.

X _____
Client Name and Phone Number