



## **COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA**

### **DECISION CONSENT**

(Section 53 of the *Planning Act*)

<b>File No.:</b>	D08-01-21/B-00072 to D08-01-21/B-00074 & D08-01-22/B-00146 to D08-01-22/B-00147
<b>Owner(s):</b>	Doyle Homes Ltd.
<b>Location:</b>	173 Rivington Street
<b>Ward:</b>	5 - West Carleton-March
<b>Legal Description:</b>	Lot 70, Reg. Plan 148, Huntley
<b>Zoning:</b>	V3B
<b>Zoning By-law:</b>	2008-250

Notice was given and a Public Hearing was held on **July 6, 2022**, as required by the *Planning Act*.

### **PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATIONS:**

At its hearing on August 18, 2021, the Committee adjourned these applications Sine Die to allow the Owner time to revise the plans, provide additional information and submit additional application forms. The Owner has submitted revised material and wishes to proceed with the applications.

The Owner wants to subdivide its property into four separate parcels of land. It is proposed to construct a two-storey, four-unit townhouse dwelling, with one townhouse on each newly created parcel. The Owner also wants to convey a portion of their property to the abutting property owner to the East known municipally as 175 Rivington Street, as part of a mutual land exchange.

### **CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING:**

The Owner requires the Consent of the Committee for Conveyances and Grants of Easements/Rights-of-Ways. The property is shown as Parts 1 to 9 on a Draft 4R-Plan filed with the applications, and the separate parcels will be as follows:

File No.	Frontage	Depth	Area	Parts	Municipal Address
21/B-00072	9.05 m	30.52 m	276.2 sq. m	1 & 2	173 A Rivington St. (proposed townhouse dwelling)
21/B-00073	6.0 m	30.52 m	183.1 sq. m	3 & 4	173 B Rivington St. (proposed townhouse dwelling)
21/B-00074	4.02 m	30.52 m	183.1 sq. m	5 & 8	173 C Rivington St. (proposed townhouse dwelling)
22/B-00146	9.07 m	30.52 m	276 sq. m	6 & 9	173 D Rivington St. (proposed townhouse dwelling)
22/B-00147	n/a	15.42 m	81 sq. m	7	To be conveyed to 175 Rivington St.

It is proposed to create an easement over Part 1 for the benefit of the Owner of Parts 3 and 5, and an easement over Part 4 for the benefit of the Owner of Part 5 for access to the rear yard.

Approval of these applications will have the effect of creating four separate parcels of land, and two of the proposed parcels and dwellings will not be in conformity with the requirements of the Zoning By-law and therefore a Minor Variance Application (D08-02-21/A-00029 and D08-02-22/A-00130) have been filed and will be heard concurrently with these applications.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING:**

Prior to the hearing on June 1, 2022, the Committee received an adjournment request from Stephan Kukkonen of the City's Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, on the basis that more time was required for the Owner to apply for additional variances from the Zoning By-law. At the Hearing, the Committee heard from Rod Price, Agent for the Owner, who agreed to the requested adjournment. With the concurrence of all parties, the application was adjourned to the hearing scheduled for July 6.

At the renewed hearing on July 6, the Committee heard this application concurrently with a related Consent Application (D08-01-22/B-00145) for 175 Rivington Street.

The Chair administered an oath to Mr. Price, who confirmed that the statutory notice posting requirements were satisfied. In addressing the conditions requested by the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, Mr. Price objected to the imposition of a requirement for the conveyance of land for an expanded road right-of-way. It was his submission that the condition was not necessary for this property because the road terminates at a cul-de-sac nearby and land was not taken from the adjoining property, and therefore it was unlikely that a widening would occur in the near future.

The Committee heard from Mr. Kukkonen and from his colleague, Sean Harrigan, who explained the reasons for the requested condition. Mr. Harrigan noted that the direction to seek a road widening in this location is informed by Official Plan policy.

The Majority of the Committee agreed that, if the application was approved, it would be subject to the conditions requested by the City.

#### **DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE:      APPLICATIONS GRANTED**

The Committee considered any written and oral submissions relating to the applications in making its Decision, including a letter of opposition from a neighbouring property owner.

Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

##### **Criteria**

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

(a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;

(b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;

(c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;

(d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;

(d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;

- (e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;
- (f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;
- (g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;
- (h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;
- (i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;
- (j) the adequacy of school sites;
- (k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;
- (l) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and
- (m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*. 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

The Committee notes that the City's planning report raises "no concerns" regarding the applications.

Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.

The Committee therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, **which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:**

1. The Owner(s) shall prepare a noise feasibility study in compliance with the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.**

The Owner(s) shall enter into an agreement with the City that requires the Owner to implement any noise control and/or vibration attenuation measures recommended in the approved study. The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that it has been registered on title.

2. That the Owner(s) enter into a Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements at the expense of the Owner(s), setting forth the obligations between the Owner(s) and the proposed future owners.

The Joint Use, Maintenance and Common Elements Agreement shall set forth the joint use and maintenance of all common elements including, but not limited to, the common party walls, common structural elements such as roof, footings, soffits, foundations, common areas, common driveways and common landscaping.

The Owner shall ensure that the Agreement is binding upon all the unit owners and successors in title and shall be to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, and City Legal Services.** The Committee requires written confirmation that the Agreement is satisfactory to **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate,** and is satisfactory to **City Legal Services,** as well as a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from **City Legal Services** that it has been registered on title.

3. That the Owner(s) shall provide evidence that a grading and drainage plan, prepared by a qualified Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, an Ontario Land Surveyor or a Certified Engineering Technologist, has been submitted to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate** to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee. The grading and drainage plan shall delineate existing and proposed grades for both the severed and retained properties, to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate.**
4. That the Owner(s) convey, if required, at no charge to the City of Ottawa, sufficient frontage across the severed and retained lands to provide for a road

right-of-way measuring 10 metres from the centreline of Rivington Street. The Owner(s) must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required widening. The Committee requires written confirmation from City Legal Services that the transfer of the widening to the City has been registered.

If the Owner's Surveyor determines that the widening condition has already been satisfied, it must be indicated on the Draft Reference Plan and submitted to the City Surveyor for approval. The Committee requires written confirmation from the City Surveyor confirming that the widening is not required.

If the Owner(s) wish to temporarily retain the existing fence within the widening lands until the City develops the widening lands, the Owner(s) shall enter into (and register, if necessary) an encroachment agreement and/or letter of tolerance to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning, Infrastructure, and Economic Development. The Committee requires written confirmation from City Legal Services confirming either that a letter of tolerance/encroachment agreement has been executed (and registered if necessary) or will not be required.

5. That the Owner(s) submit a Site Servicing Brief prepared by a Professional Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, for approval by **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, outlining the municipal servicing requirements for each unit and indicating, if required, that capacity exists within existing City infrastructure.
6. That the Owner(s) provide evidence to the satisfaction of the **Chief Building Official, or designate**, that both severed and retained parcels have their own independent water, sanitary and storm connection and shall comply to 7.1.5.4(1) of the Ontario Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12 as amended. If necessary, a plumbing permit shall be obtained from Building Code Services for any required alterations.
7. That the Owner(s) provide evidence (payment receipt) to the Committee that payment has been made to the City of Ottawa of Cash-in-lieu of the conveyance of land for park or other public recreational purposes, plus applicable appraisal costs. The value of the land otherwise required to be conveyed shall be determined by the City of Ottawa in accordance with the provisions of By-law No. 2009-95, as amended. Information regarding the appraisal process can be obtained by contacting the Planner.
8. That the Owner file with the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment the following:

a. A copy of the Reference Plan and/or legal description of the severed land and the deed or instrument conveying the severed land to the owner of the abutting property to the east, known municipally as 175 Rivington, so that no new lot is being created, in accordance with paragraph (b) below;

b. A Certificate of Official attached to the deed/transfer required by paragraph (a) above containing the following endorsement:

“The lands to be severed are for the purpose of a lot addition only to the abutting lands owned by (insert name) described as PIN (insert property identification number) being Part(s) (insert numbers) on Plan (insert plan number), not for the creation of a new lot, and any subsequent transfer, charge or other transaction involving the lands to be severed shall be subject to compliance with Section 50(3) or Section 50(5) of the Planning Act, as applicable. Neither the lands to be severed nor the abutting lands are to be transferred, charged or otherwise re-conveyed in the future without the other parcel unless a further consent is obtained. The Owner shall cause the lands to be severed to be consolidated on title with the abutting lands and for this condition to be entered on the parcel register for the consolidated parcel as a restriction”;

c. An Undertaking from a solicitor authorized to practice law in the Province of Ontario, and in good standing with the Law Society of Upper Canada, as follows:

“In consideration of, and notwithstanding the issuance of the Certificate under Section 50(12) of the Planning Act in respect to the subject Application for Consent, I undertake on behalf of the Owner, within 30 days of the registration on title of the transfer document containing the endorsement set out in the Certificate of Official issued by the Committee of Adjustment, to file an Application to Consolidate Parcels including the severed land (Part of PIN (insert number) and the abutting land (PIN insert number). This PIN consolidation is intended to reinforce the Planning Act stipulation in the condition outlined above that both parcels have merged on Title and cannot be conveyed separately in the future. I further undertake to forward a copy of the registered Application to Consolidate Parcels and a copy of the Consolidated Parcel abstract page(s) to the Committee office within 21 days of the registration of the Application to Consolidate Parcels”.

d. Where the parcel consolidation stipulated in paragraph (b) and the solicitor's Undertaking in paragraph (c) above cannot be reasonably completed because the parcels of land to be merged have different estate qualifiers, an Application to Annex Restrictive Covenant under Section 118 of the Land Titles Act must be registered on the Title of

both the severed lands and on the abutting parcel that is to be merged. The Covenant, which is to be to the satisfaction of the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee, shall advise all future purchasers that the parcels must be dealt with together and not separately, and contain wording set out below or similar wording acceptable to the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee:

“These lands have been merged and may not be dealt with separately, without applying for a Consent of the Committee of Adjustment”.

In lieu of the Undertaking provided in paragraph (c), a replacement Undertaking by the solicitor must be filed undertaking on behalf of the Owner to register the Restrictive Covenant on both property Titles within 30 days of the registration of the transfer document containing the endorsement of the Certificate of Official issued by the Committee of Adjustment for this application and to file a copy of the registered Restrictive Covenant with the Committee within 21 days of the registration of the document.

9. That the Owner(s) file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter from the Surveyor confirming the area is required.** The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.
10. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owner(s) file with the Committee, the “electronic registration in preparation documents” for a Conveyance for which the Consent is required.

*Members Terry Otto and Steven Lewis dissented from the opinion of the Majority on the imposition of the road widening condition. In their view, the condition was not necessary or reasonable in this instance.*

### **The Consent lapses two years from the date of this Decision.**

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Please note that if a major change to a condition or conditions is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.



## **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL:**

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by **August 4, 2022**, delivered by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca) and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment,  
101 CentrepoinTE Drive, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <https://olt.gov.on.ca/>. The OLT has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at [cofa@ottawa.ca](mailto:cofa@ottawa.ca).

Only individuals, corporations and public bodies may appeal Decisions in respect of applications for consent to the OLT. A notice of appeal may not be filed by an unincorporated association or group. However, a Notice of Appeal may be filed in the name of an individual who is a Member of the Association or group on its behalf.

Please note that there are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the OLT to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

## **NOTICE TO APPLICANT:**

Applicants are advised to take note of comments received from City departments and other technical agencies like Hydro Ottawa and to consult where appropriate.

**DECISION SIGNATURE PAGE**  
**PAGE DE SIGNATURE DE LA DÉCISION**

**File No. / Dossier n°:** D08-01-21/B-00072 to D08-01-21/B-00074 & D08-01-22/B-00146 to D08-01-22/B-00147

**Owner(s) / Propriétaire(s):** Doyle Homes Ltd.

**Location / Emplacement:** 173 Rivington Street

We, the undersigned, concur in the decision and reasons of the Committee of Adjustment.

Nous, soussignés, souscrivons à la décision et à la justification ci-devant rendues par le Comité de dérogation.

***“Fabian Poulin”***

**FABIAN POULIN**  
**VICE-CHAIR / VICE-PRÉSIDENT**

***“Terence Otto”***

**TERENCE OTTO**  
**MEMBER / MEMBRE**  
With Noted Dissent / Dissidence indiquée

***“Steven Lewis”***

**STEVEN LEWIS**  
**MEMBER / MEMBRE**  
With Noted Dissent / Dissidence indiquée

***“Martin Vervoort”***

**MARTIN VERVOORT**  
**MEMBER / MEMBRE**

***“Jocelyn Chandler”***

**JOCELYN CHANDLER**  
**MEMBER / MEMBRE**

I certify that this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa.

Je certifie que celle-ci est une copie conforme de la décision rendue par le Comité de dérogation de la Ville d'Ottawa.

***Date of Decision / Date de la décision***  
**July 15, 2022 / 15 juillet 2022**



---

**Matthew Garnett**  
**Acting Secretary-Treasurer / Secrétaire-trésorier intérimaire**