

## Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

### Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendments – 89 Richmond Road

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

### Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 1.

Number of written submissions received by Planning Committee between November 4 (the date the report was published to the City's website with the agenda) and November 14, 2019 (committee meeting date): 1.

### Primary reasons for support, by individual

**None provided**

### Primary concerns, by individual

**Amy Murdock** (oral submission)

- raised concerns about: exacerbation of existing traffic and parking issues, given the plans to have a spa in the building without the provision of parking spaces to accommodate it; a possible canyon effect along Richmond Road due to insufficient setbacks; a loss of light to neighbouring properties.

**Isabelle Bouvier** (written submission)

- did not understand the consultation process and believed she would be invited to share her concerns earlier in the process.
- main concerns are about the height, depth, and grading (level) of the proposed development:
  - ❖ the plans indicate the proposed development site was levelled with Richmond Road but fails to mention that her property, directly behind the building, is at least 6 feet lower, or that her property has a two-tier stone retaining wall, fence, and landscaping that structurally supports the property that is to be developed; it is a serious planning or engineering deficiency that that these details are not noted in the soil and irrigation considerations, and by

omitting the significant height difference between the two plots of land, the development plan submissions are rendered incomplete;

- ❖ requested the City delay approving this application and requested additional information to confirm that this development conforms to the existing laws of the City of Ottawa or meets the criteria for the proposed amendments;
- ❖ pending a response to her questions, assurances about mitigation measures to mitigate damage to her property, and given appropriate notice, she indicated she would be happy to grant access to her property to ensure that her neighboring property is properly developed.

**Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision:** Debate: The committee spent nine minutes on the item.

Vote: The committee considered all oral and written submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as presented.

## **Ottawa City Council**

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between November 14 (Planning Committee consideration date) and November 27, 2019 (Council consideration date): 0.

**Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:**

Council considered all oral and written submissions in making its decision and Carried the report recommendations as presented.