

CLOSED CAPTIONING TRANSCRIPT – CITY COUNCIL 13 September, 2017

>> Good morning ladies and gentleman. welcome to city council for the September 13, 2017 meeting. for those able to, would you please rise for a moment of personal reflection and remain standing as we introduce our special guest who will sing our national anthem. >> Thank you. Darcie stays in the censorship. she was part of the cast of singing in the rain, catch me if you can. she also works in marketing and public relations. she's looking forward to being involved in the 2018 production of disenchanted this spring. I'm going to turn it over to darcie to lead us in the national anthem. \M\M \M\M \M\M >> Good morning. starting a week or so ago when they delivered a brand new baby boy to our happy father and mother who is right over there. I won't call you up at this awkward moment. I will ask Matt to come forward. we have a little presentation. we have a population sign that says 900, 001. congratulations. [Applause]the plan was to have lai here but we'll present that to you as well. it's an honour now. we invite family members here and we also invite George, our counselor. I wish to welcome you here. Allen and Judy have an understanding of philanthropic giving. the wonderful contributions they make to their community. they become strong sponsors of charitable giving during their 30-year business career with aj's catering. after retiring their business, they have offered a French fry truck to local charities and fundraising events. I have been observed many times by that French fry truck as you can tell. they support the osgood center. Allen served as the emcee raising \$71,000 for the redevelopment fund. Allen also volunteers at the fundraising event. they continue to maintain with others. (speaking in French) >> Continuing to maintain with other volunteers. Judy has been equally busy volunteering. I'd like to take this opportunity to thank Al and Judy for their many contributions. a lot of these events have great effect to them. >> I would like to take this opportunity to thank Allen and Judy for their many contributions. >> We are equally proud of the great work you do. this is the mayor's city builder award. thank you. [Applause]. >> Common up here. we'll get a little picture. >> I'd like to thank you all for making this possible. it's truly a pleasure to be involved in the community. that's made possible by hundreds of community minded people who stand with us. hope to see you at some of these events. come out on Friday to the fish fry. thank you. see you at some of our great charity fundraisers. [Applause]>> I'd like to thank everyone as well. it's been a great pleasure helping everyone in the community. none of this would have happened without Judy helping me along. thank you, dear. congratulations. thank you again. now it gives me great pleasure to announce an award heroism. we are pleased to invite our guest of honour, James Walton to come forward. we invite the city manager, general manager of city services and the by law of services to come forward for this very special

presentation. (speaking French) >> I am pleased to welcome you to this important recognition. >> The city of Ottawa in part of the employee recognition programme. the employees who take action to benefit others under extraordinary circumstances. nominees may distinguish themselves going beyond the normal scope of responsibility taking quick action to provide assistance in a critical situation, preventing serious injury, damage or disaster. this is in acknowledgment of someone who takes special action in the time of need or tragedy. >> Acknowledging someone who provides special assistance to someone providing need in the midst of a tragedy. >> Appreciating and commending individuals willing to take action among others. I have the pleasure of inviting the city manager. I want to take this opportunity to personally thank the emergency responder. providing services to make us proud and thankful as a community. for now, we'll explain the great efforts. >> Thank you, mayor. it's an honour to recognition one of our own. an incredible city of Ottawa employee James Walton who is a parking enforcement officer. we are representing James for heroism for action on January 2016. >> We are presenting the award in special recognition of heroism in January of 2016. >> In this situation, a child was suffering a seizure. he acted quickly and flagged down an emergency vehicle. he remained with the child until paramedics arrived on the scene. James, your actions changed the outcome of a potentially dangerous situation. making us proud to know our colleagues are looking out for us. on behalf of the city and community. it's my honour to present this award to James Walton. congratulations. thank you, James. [Applause]>> Again, thank you, James for your quick action and to your colleagues for being here to show their support to you and to share in this special moment. >> Madam clerk, roll call, please. (calling of counselor's)

>> The confirmation of minutes of 23 of August, 2017. declaration of interest including those arising from other meetings. counselor?>> I declare potential direct interest on item 9 in the committee report by law and amendment to accommodate reconstruction in the area affected by the may flood 2017 as I own a property in the area affected by the may flood. >> I have your written declaration. thank you. another note that counselor will be absence due to city business. a motion introducing the report. >> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. >> That report from the community development for the community development corporation 2016 annual report for cultural and rural affairs committee. community and productive services committee report number 25. fedco report number 27. planning report number 49a. transportation committee report number 26 be received and considered and pursuant to subsection 35 of the procedure by law 2016 dash 77 that council receive and planning report number 50. >> Motion carried. item 1 report for the community development oranges. committee reports item 2 annual report. finance and he can Tom YIK development item 4, 2017 budget status report received

an carried. item 5, establishment of the race way as a gaming site. item 6, stage 2 light rail transit for procurement update. planning committee report 29a and zoning by law amendment counselors have a technical amendment. >> Would you like to introduce it, please?>> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. there for be it resolved by removing the second bullet point the minimum rear yard set back and replacing with with the following bullet point set back at 0 meters from any part of the building.6 meters or less in height. a required amenity area may be located on the roof measuring BOT 7 meters in height. be it for resolve pursuant to subSTEKS 2017. no further notice.this is a technical requirement. so on the amendment that zoning by law amendment to accommodate the area affected by the flood. coups lore will leave the table. OP the motion carried. coming up. ribfest 2017. road closure. on the motion?Carried. does anyone wish to remove anything from the bulk consent agenda?>> Presented. carried. we'll go back to the first item that was held, which is Ontario regulation 8112 municipal requirements of the establishment of the race way. I ask that this be held. questions and comments given that expectation that the 21 gaming tables that had been the subject from the previous discussion wondering whether the revenue shared has been established. I know there's mention in the report. I couldn't see anything of what would be shared on those gaming tables. >> When they announced way back when a few years ago, they gave us the formula for it already maybe you could send that out. >>Ly send that to you. >> My next question is just about the regulation requirements not to mention those things that took place on the issue of casinos and gambling in 2012 and 2013 in which there were present of which 31 had a meeting. clearly significant public interest in the item. they are mentioned in the report all comments received, does that include the comments made as part of the 2012, 2013 process.that's what I was planning to do. providing the regulatory needs. for all of the reports received. that's a great thing. the only challenge is presumably one of the problems. when you look at the particular order, we could have gone through those archives the you shall U is I don't have a copy of what THIL be running. we'll get to the delegation of staff after the vote is taken it's a bit awkward presumably the reason for that is when establishing the gaming site. I'll move on to the next series of questions, which are public health related >> What is before us is the passage of 8112 but ask that you put in the passage of that regulation. >> The challenge is that the regulation asks for the public input some of these costs were health related as identified in the 2018 report. that health and social impact. considering the vote on the regulation. considering the cost and benefits in the public health aspect. we've got a report that makes for fairly profound conclusions. it's estimated that severe gambling problems and those people are seeking treatment. they are vulnerable or seeking treatment. >> If this proceeds and if there's an application with all kinds of public consultation, we'll come back to planning

or Eric. that's the time to have that conversation. that's not what we are being asked to do today. >> In the regulation only. that's why it says specifically in the box under regulation 8112. if you don't want to have gaming at the race way, we can vote against that any other questions or comments. haven't seen the chief but happy to ask the questions on public health I would argue that cost is whether we vote in favor. clearly and I know I asked whether or not or not we are asking for the issue from the city budget. >> You've made your ruling. the speaker wishes to share. >> We've got 6 seconds. perfect time. on that basis, it won't surprise you that on the absence of what the city is doing on the FRORNT, it's hard to vote in favor of this gaming issue I guess picking up on that makes my decision a bit clearer. I won't be able to support a gaming site, which is what we are being asked to do here, which will add 21 tables. I don't believe we are fully prepared or informed on the possible repercussions of that. we do know that gaming is a public health concern and will cause mental health concerns and Stress on families. if we are being asked to vote today. I guess I'm going to ask the city solicitor because I don't see that here, is it not reasonable to at least ask or question or query whether at some point if we look at. if I look at page 6 of the report, it says here, staff is now in a position to undertake the analysis of the potential economic impact and social impact. I believe social probably means public health. related to the expanded gaming facility and report back as council is directed and in advance of any future development. at the same time, we need to be able as council to make a decision on today's report at the very least. I'd like to know whether the chair I sit on the board of health, I would like to know if the chair would be willing to receive direction that the board of health would request that the bill of health conduct into the research and broader facility that uses the health impact assessment that considers things like mental health. impacts like employment and economic development, safety net impact. is that something. perhaps we could have that brought back to council. we could have that information in front of us just from council. >> If I could offer that to ask the question. my understanding is that you have already initiated the action of the board of health to meet with the hard rock people. you can comment on that in a moment. >> That's not what I'm asking for. not just that we have a conversation but the board of health look at these. >> We don't know what the final application of hard rock would be. >> The argument is that it's 4 years old. we are not going to take it at face value 4 years later. I'm more than happy to wait until I get to public health on Monday and just help there. my question is still on the floor to the chair this question here was posed a week ago. I said to the counselor, first of all, thank you for the concern on the gambling issues. I did speak to the medical officer of health to say, look at the present time the chair or board hasn't made a decision yet mentioning that the data we have is a few years old. I agree. we

should bring this item back to the board of health. do a study on it again and look at the changing environments in the city and come back to the board with a report. I don't know the time line. sometime this year or even later. to have the discussion at the board for that point in the recommendation that may be coming in the future to us. >> OK. thank you. counselor?>> Yes. thank you. slightly different issue. I already raised my questions and was ensured there would be full debatibility. this is certainly a technical amendment. certainly people could stop it today. I do have some profound concerns about the impact and health costs and social implications my question is will we also have the opportunity to ask questions around public transit and transportation issues that may arise if we'll know what that is in terms of the transportation issues?We do not yet have an application to the further expansion we do not know what phasing action or scope that would be depending on where they are depending on the nature or in those minor elements. >> I hope that answers your question. those things in terms of public transit, we'll discuss as partners.it was my understanding it would be a normal process. >> We'll do that when we know what it's in the appropriate contribution. thank you. >> Counselor?>> What is before us today is regulation 8112, which comes to us from the province. says the municipal council seeks public input into the proposed gaming site in writing the step it's took to do so and summary why is that document sill there?>> Mr. Mayor, report the staff had set out that that posting under the direction, we ensure that with regard to this item, they were out an additional six days and with regards to that work council. the process we took is to be provided to the olg. we continue to provide it as reducing those reports. if I anticipate receiving an e-mail on line. we'll be including all of those. I don't have all of those before me, Mr. Chairman. I would think the council making the decision would see the summary of the public input before we made that decision I don't agree that it is a technical requirement. I think the requirement is there to encourage the meaningful consultation. I would argue is that we have blown this so under the radar. we know in the past when we've had SDUGS these discussions and debate, it has brought a huge A. Public interest. if we fly under the aid AR and nobody talks about it. you get what, maybe three or four written comments?That's it. to me, this is not meaningful public consultation I think the community expects us to act responsibly. part of that is to ensure we engage them in a meaningful way with these issues. I would contend that has not happened. counselors should see a summary of the inputs we have received. we should have made a far greater effort >> Thank you. I believe we had six people write in a report. >> Thank you for mentioning that. I agree. what we had before us, we had before us. all of those things where they have said what they said. doesn't change the fact, I don't know about the rest of you. they received one e-mail or call against it. even by people who possibly didn't understand. I'd like to put

that in perspective. let's look at the \$350 million investment and what this will mean for us. let's have a whole some process. you'll have time to do all of this stuff. we'll see how many people came out. it wasn't a lot. with respect to what we are asking to do, I'm going to be voting for this. >> Thank you, counselor. on the report. thank you and good morning. just on the report, I'll be voting in favor of all options before us. I do believe we'll have a greater conversation when this comes to planning committee or whenever it comes a couple of months or so. there were a number of consultations undertaken by the city including in absence of any time of public meeting hosted by the city to see the role of counselors in the city. I did receive a fair amount of comments. all accept one comment was supportive for us today. summer may not be the best time to do the consultation. it's up to the counselors of how to gain insight to their communities. I do believe the public will be further engaged as they make their way through the planning. I appreciate what public health will look at and as part of their report. my only question is regarding the detailed economic analysis. is that done in house by city of Ottawa staff. are those types of reports admitted by the hard rock corporation?>> We contemplate we'll likely be provided a package by the applicant on their analysis of the impact but will probably regain that. certainly meeting with you off line in discussion. >> Thank you counselor. how does this vote stay on. is there a difference what we've voted on. is it simply a reafter IRMation?>> I would answer in sort of a two-fold largely in the reafter IRMation largely set around as part of the process olg was going to undertake. they were very clear with what they wanted to be the site. I have my responses here. in some ways, if I could simply what I've said, we have a new operator in a question of what we were going to do in terms of the new consultation. this is really about landlord a or b going to be operating the facility. >> That's an excellent characterization. >> To follow up on that. again, I have significant concerns around gambling in the city. I'm also pragmatic in recognition gambling is already here. not only in our city but across the river and on your computer screen. it is not going to go away. so one of the changes, and I've said one of the differences so far, we found that new landlord they are prepared to sit with our public health officials and talk about public health and gambling. I want to thank the chair. knowing council is not allowed to actually direct the chair of public health or the board as to what they should and shouldn't be doing. we can ask. those meetings will happen. those will be reported back to the board. we've also heard that things have changed over the last four or five years. they want to feel comfortable. more work needs to be done to make sure we have the most up to date information in front of us. part of that discussion is what flows through the meetings and what is put on the table and what discussions are had and what hard rock's eventual application is going to be. both in terms of the amenities put in but also what side also be looking for. that's

something that will have to go into the discussion from a funding perspective to measures we can take. I want to thank the chair of public health. he and I have had many discussions as have the chief of public health and their colleagues. also recognition gambling is here. here to stay whether we like it or not. clearly some don't like it. >> Public health is continuing to deal with that and the chair will continue to act as a bridge between council and the board to make that happen to help us make reasonable and responsibly decisions as we go forward with the most up to date and current information possible. I'll wrap up by saying further to what the counselor said, when the application comes forward, there will be a lot of the public coming out and talking about those issues. I welcome that discussion. we should have it. we'll make it in the informed way on the plan in front of us at that time. so thank you. I assumed this council is not bound by the previous?>> That's correct. if council is inclined, they could vote against it. if you would pose a report today, that would end this particular aspect of it. thank you. I don't consider myself to be bound by the decision. This was an issue of four years ago in e-mails with significant discussion. I am not comfortable supporting this. I am not comfortable that there are so many people in casinos that shouldn't be. the social damage caused is unacceptable. I won't be accepting the report about movement of the replanning committee and rezoning. on what basis are we going to be able to approve or not approve the rezoneings on the basis of the social considerations. I believe our rezoning planning and I want to understand whether that would be reason for us to turn down a zoning application. >> I think the official brought out some broad objectives. it creates the line depending on the application and what they were asking for at what time and what phase may be an official policy. that's a lot of uncertainty for me. I don't think we are bound by the 2013/14 decision. the opportunity is there for us today to say no. there is gambling all around us. I do hope folks will reconsider the approach council is taking. thank you. >> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. >> Thank you, your worship. this sounds like an exciting project. aside from that, I do have a question if the opinions are gathered and delivered. that would be obvious for anyone that didn't quite happen >> I'll begin from the sort of legal perspective. the concept with regards to public engagement that a couple of counselors have raised is this two-way dialogue. with regards to regulation 112, that law actually says peek public input the public engagement with respect. that's rather different from our model I'll leave the city manager to add. >> That question was for the city manager and that's whether the approach is happening anywhere else or are we sticking to what we have found there. >> In the portion of whether there was input. that response is not reflective of the public conversation to the counselor. to me, they are two different things. technically, if we are ever hauled before a judge, it would be the same thing. since there is a much bigger

step in front of us, I will subject the report. >> Counselor, I want to thank you as my representative on the panel of both cities that have gaming operations. thank you for that extra load. >> Thank you. when you look at this, no question the current council as stands, no one suggesting that. if you are principally against gaming. I'll never ask you to DO stand by. if you look at the report, that vote today asks something of the resident that's want input. saying we have that input as well and take that input to this. all the vote s were different. 2011, you had a vote on gaming. council would have the favor. in 2012, that corporation's plan.It was open-ended. that's where weds the delegation of 2012. it was a divid. those who vote were opposed for various reasons. coups lore was opposed to gaming at the time. I wasn't my observation was that was misleading, untrustworthy at the time. that fundamentally changed how they went forward with their plan. they changed their ceo and their chair. the ceo is quite strong. he's good. they've put in place the executive vice president of horse racing. every singling plan has shut down facilities in favor of larger facilities. cal stands in support of those smaller places. the 2013 vote -- that vote was on isolating the site. opponents are folks that want it had elsewhere. the community didn't want it maybe but others wanted it. maybe that was spoken favor in 2012. they spoke in favor of gaming options in the city. that interest was irrelevant. even though they were in favor. it's irrelevant because they were speaking to something different. we've come a long way in this. please stand by. last four or five years. they want to feel comfortable. more work needs to be done to make sure we have the most up to date information in front of us. part of that discussion is what flows through the meetings and what is put on the table and what discussions are had and what hard rock's eventual application is going to be. both in terms of the amenities put in but also what side also be looking for. that's something that will have to go into the discussion from a funding perspective to measures we can take. I want to thank the chair of public health. he and I have had many discussions as have the chief of public health and their colleagues. also recognitioning gambling is here. here to stay whether we like it or not. clearly some don't like it. >> Public health is continuing to deal with that and the chair will continue to act as a bridge between council and the board to make that happen to help us make reasonable and responsibly decisions as we go forward with the most up to date and current information possible. I'll wrap up by saying further to what the counselor said, when the application comes forward, there will be a lot of the public coming out and talking about those issues. I welcome that discussion. we should have it. we'll make it in the informed way on the plan in front of us at that time. so thank you. I assume had KOUNS ed this council is not bound by the previous?>> That's correct. if council is inclined, they could vote against it. if you would possess a report pose a report today, that would end this particular aspect of it. thank you. I don't

consider myself to be bound by the decision. This was an issue of four years ago in e-mails with significant discussion. I am not come "for the record" for the able supporting this. I am comfortable that there not comfortable that there are so many people in casinos that shouldn't be. the social damage caused is unacceptable. I won't be accepting the report about movement of the replanning committee and rezoning. on what basis are we going to be able to approve or not approve the rezoneings on the basis of the social considerations. I believe our rezoning planning and I want to understand whether that would about reason be reason for us to turn down a zoning application. >> I think the official brought out some broad objectives. it creates the line depending on the application and what they were asking for at what time and what phase may be in an official policy. that's a lot of uncertainty for me. I don't think we are bound by the 2013/14 decision. the opportunity is there for us today This is hard rock coming to Ottawa, partnering with the owner. It's the current operator staying there. And there's a commitment to the horse racing facilities. Commitment to rural jobs. That's what makes this important. Looking at the input today, the input that we get right now on this file has to be held higher than the input that we got in 11, 12, and 13. Because it was a different time. And there were different reports. And the input was relevant to that time. And you've heard today, and councillors have mentioned it, there is a commitment. They are a leader in the industry. They will meet with the board of public health. They will meet with the doctor. Those are important things that we are asking for. And they have committed to those things. There's really nothing left here that we haven't already addressed. There's commitments to the transportation plan in the future. Can make sure we are covering the issues around the site. In toward agreeely, those areas. Continuing towy with the councillors. With mayor's office. And what they bring. >> Wrap up. >> Of course. I'm in the process of it. So we're getting to that point. Until such time that we have that application, to see what they are proposing. To see the impact on the proposal. Whatever perspective, we won't know until we get the application. >> Thank you. Does anyone else wish to talk on this item or ask questions? If not I'll wrap up with a couple of observations. I'm supporting the recommendation. This is -- the province several years ago asked our opinion as municipal leaders and as a community and after many meetings and months of work and deliberation, council agreed that the only gaming facility should be located at Rideau Carleton raceway with an expansion of a number of table games. So what we're being asked for today is a technical requirement by the province for us to pass regulation 81-12 or not pass it, support 81-12 as a requirement of the facility to continue generating jobs and economic activity for the south end and the rural part of our community. The whole issue of -- there wasn't enough public consultation, well, we actually in the city solicitor listed what we

did. We went and brought the report out sooner. Sent out a public service announcement. Members of council pursued media opportunities to talk about it. And we can't force members of the public to come here and speak on an issue. I believe we had six letters. They are all in support of the committee recommendation. The second issue with respect to problem gaming, I would strongly encourage both the health board and members of council not to accept responsibility for the problem gaming file. This is clearly a provincial responsibility. I was the minister responsible for that strategy. And I fought for ten years not to have us go down that path of the province downloading responsibilities and costs to us. The province is responsible for gaming, policy, the operation of the facility, the distribution of the money, and guess what? They keep the lion's share of dollars, they should be putting those dollars as they are, I believe last year it was \$9 million in problem gaming programs. Let's not go and start taking over a provincial responsibility where we have no expertise and we have no money and we have no legal responsibility. So that is something I feel very passionately about because the province would be laughing all the way to the bank if we decided to take over and put the money we receive every year into problem gaming. >>> The other thing is, you know, I asked for a list of grants. I have been in every single one of your wards where many of you have been happily, you have been happily available to accept grants from the fund. Former board member was at many of those presentations. I look at the list here. Community association, united way of Ottawa, diocese of Ottawa. Epilepsy Ottawa, saint Mary's home. Many of us have been happy to receive those dollars from Trillium. You know where it gets its money? Lotteries and gaming, 100%. I assume those people who don't support this will stop going to the cheque presentations out of principle. Let's be serious about this application. It's a technical requirement. Yes, this council can change the previous council's decision. But the reality is if you don't want gaming, you can vote against this. And if this vote loses, then for all intents and purposes, the horse track, the facility out there, the expansion that will come with tens of millions of dollars investment will not take place in Ottawa. So those are the choices. And again, we receive last year I believe we received about 4.7 million dollars. And since 2000, we have received 66 million. Budget time, if you want to start taking \$5 million from that fund and put it into another budget item, you are more than welcome to do that. But you will also have to explain what will be cut from the city budget. Not fair to take one pot of money. Those monies are shared amongst all services. I would encourage you to vote for the recommendation. Move this project forward. It's a good economic investment in the south end of the city. And in particular rural Ottawa. Keeping the horse race community working and thriving in rural Ottawa. >>> Okay, yay's and nay's on the recommendations before us. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >>

Yes.>> Councillor?>> No.>> Councillor?>> No.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> No.>> Councillor tailor?>> Yes.>> Councillor harder?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Oui.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Oui.>> Councillor leaper?>> No.>> Councillor?>> OUI.>> Councillor?>> OUI.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> OUI.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Mayor?>> Yes.>> 19 yays.>> That carries.[Speaking French][End of translation]>> Who asked for this to be held?Councillor Taylor?>> Thanks very much, Mr. Mayor.And I just wanted to hold this just on a point on confirmation for Mr. Swale.We had the opportunity to chat a little bit about some specific concerns that the crystal beach lakeview communication association had with respect to the western leg of stage two.And you and I have had a chance to exchange emails on this and believe we have landed at a degree of specificity that will satisfy their concerns.So we have exchanged that email and I just wanted to get confirmation from you today that you're comfortable taking those points, refined a little bit as direction?>> Mr. Mayor, we are comfortable with doing that and we'll take it as direction.>> That's it.Thank you very much.>> Mayor Watson: Great, and thank you, councillor.I know you have worked hard on this file as other members of council have in the communities.>>> Next is councillor.>> Thank you, Mr. Mayor.First I want to thank staff for the way they have dealt with this file.And the way the public was extremely interested in this case.And I think you really went overboard to listen to them.To take their concerns into account.And I was very pleased when you -- maintenance yard moved away from the wooded area.And extending further west.Mr. Mayor, that also is a direct benefit.The area is next on the list for phase three.And by having it already passed moody drive, one less structure that will have to be built.And it's almost entirely separated already.And that's what I think we have to take a look at phase three because of this being over.Going from phase one to phase two starting immediately.And we should be prepared to go right from phase two to phase three, which is far more challenging because of the financial obligations.And I think that because we now know, report at planning committee yesterday showed the largest population of a community outside the green belt is this area.Roughly 60,000 jobs there.Many of them very high level, high technology jobs.And it's booming.One company wants to expand.They are there now.They can't, not enough space for them.That's an indication of what's happened in the last ten years.When we planned the light rail to go out, high tech wasn't doing that well.Now it's a world leader in many, many different areas.And next month we will have the joy of going on the public road with a driverless vehicle for the first time in Canada.Because of the technology that we have in that area.I'd like to sort of talk about -- let's get going now with phase three.We

need it because -- we already need it. We have to try to -- they have a seamless transfer. As we're doing from one to two, when we get out to this area from two to three. And that, of course, is a really big challenge for the finance. Mr. Mayor, what I'm asking is that we set up a group yourself, the three of us from the area. And we start stat JIEZing to get the funding. The technical part can be done. The EA is shortly going to be finished. We will know the route and the costing. If we start now, it takes a long time, as you know, to get these funding arrangements done. What we have to amend and change around to get that funding. And if we start now, I think we will do a better job. I would ask you if you be prepared to work with us and start that process with the community so that we can, in fact, make it much earlier than now. At the moment, there's no date, there's no timing. We don't know. And the community is asking about it. This way, I think we can give them certainty that at least we're, wouiding hard to make it happen.>> Mayor Watson: Yeah. I just want to clarify, the EA is going to take at least a year.>> For the extension will take into next year. That's right. I think we need to follow the process that we agreed to. And we thank you and the councillors. Delivered big time for us. That will determine the most appropriate route, more precise costing. Nothing stopping us from working together to continue to lobby the other levels of government to secure funding. That would have to be a 50-50 cost sharing with the orders of government.>> That's where I want to start.>> That's why I want to start working right now, Mr. Mayor. Because I think that may or may not happen. And we have to also search hard to see if it has to be a one-third, one-third, one-third, what we can do. The last public meeting on the EA will be next month. They will put forward the route, the costing, fine tune that over the next year. We'll have basic information.>> I better get a clarification. My information is we're not going to have that detailed information in a month. It will be a year?>> It will be. We're tracking for the end of 2018 to finish the EA for the west extension. The piece that we took on to accelerate was the extension from Bayshore to moody. And hoping to get approval from the ministry by the end of this year.>> I'm not looking at that level of detail right now. The detail I'm looking at is how can we explore our own financial plan to see what we can do to release funds. Which doesn't make any commitments. It's really just searching right now. I think that I looked at how long it's taken us to arrange funding. And all the work that's been done in phase one and two, which has been a lot. And it may seem like a long time away. They are supposed to be finishing phase two in 2023. By the time it's taken for the rest of it, I started working on this file in 2006, the original plan was done in that term of council. This is the third term in council. It takes a lot of time. And I just want to make sure that before I leave council, which I may do sometime, that we have things moving forward. So I just think getting started doesn't hurt. We are going to be meeting together,

Mr. Mayor, the west end councillors will start and get back to you on that.>> Mayor Watson: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your help on that. Councillor, please.>> Thank you, mayor. With respect to the governance portion of this report, I just want to get some comfort around some of the new delegated authorities. In December 2013 when we were doing governance changes, we made the change such that any changes to designs as approved by city council in December 2012 requires concurrence from the mayor and ward councillor. In the report before us, the ESC is going to have a mandate around making design changes, substantial design changes. I want to ensure that the ward council and mayor are going to have that concurrence function for any substantial design changes moving forward.>> That's correct.>> Okay. That's good. Thank you very much.>> Great, thank you. Councillor, please?>> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I also just had a couple questions on the governance portion. I think they are straightforward. On page 29 of the report, one of the bullets of increased delegated authority for the ESC and I'm reading from the report is increased project funding outside of approved funds. I just wasn't clear what approved funds means. So that means within the project budget shifting things, that had been itemized but all within the project budget; is that correct?>> I think, Mr. Mayor, this is the way it's written makes it present a different perception. But, in fact, it's within the approved budget which includes the contingency fund. It's not outside what council approves.>> Okay. And then similarly the second bullet, design changes requiring funding outside of the existing delegated authority. So similarly that would be within the context of existing project budget. And my only question, because it didn't come out clearly in the report is the rationale for that. Was it deemed too complex to go through Fedco and council? Is there a timeliness issue?>> Mr. Mayor in the report back in November, the report basically outlined a number of factors, five factors, that were taken into consideration for the delegated authority. And one of the main considerations was the time limits of the project. It is a project management structure. It is a complex project. We are meeting weekly and ensuring that we're on top of the project. And we have checks and balances built in to report back to council. And ensure we stay within our budget. If we have to go outside that, we come back to council. The primary reason is decisions on any changes within the scope that and budget that council approve can be dealt with in a timely manner. And not be delayed due to some of the legislative processes that we have in place.>> Okay. Thank you for that answer. And I presume that granting that delegated authority does not preclude, in fact, one would still anticipate seeing reports on what occurred in a timely fashion. Let's say a decision needs to be made. It's made within a short time there after, particularly if it involves significant changes that they would be told about that.>> Yes, Mr. Mayor. Currently you receive quarterly reports. Those will continue. And those have

all the decisions, including the summery on the contingency funds.>> Thank you.>>

Mayor Watson: Thank you.>> Thank you.And I want to start by thanking him for answering my questions.What concerns me in this report is that we're asking for more and more delegated authority to staff.It's the largest project in the city's history.I have been here long enough to remember the chiarilli line that ultimately got cancelled.I remember how council was with that process and every step of the way had a hands on approach to understanding the decisions that were being made along the line and why they were being made.And I feel that the pendulum has swung so far in the other direction now.That might have been excessive.But this, in my opinion, also excessive.That really, we're narrowing the scope, taking away infrastructure Ontario.We're concentrating in the hands of a very few people in this city.A lot of delegated authority, including the delegated authority for the contingency of \$100 million.And I just think that council shouldn't be delegating all that authority to staff.I think that it's reasonable that if there are to be changes along the way that it come back to council, come back to fedCO and transportation and transit, whatever it is.In a timely fashion so that council is made aware and has the decision not delegated authority to staff body.And for me, you know, the largest project, billions of dollars.And there's two pages dedicated to process and delegation of authority in this.And I just, to me, it doesn't make sense.I don't think that we shall say, here's 2.1 billion dollars.Let us know when we can cut a ribbon.I think council needs to be more engaged than we are.And it is somewhat irresponsible to delegate all of the authority for all the decision making to staff.And so, Mr. Mayor, for that reason I would like to ask that recommendation number four about approving the stage two governance plan be separated out so that I could vote against that.Thank you.>> Mayor Watson: Okay.Anyone else wish to speak?I'll offer a couple of comments.Thanks to our staff.Our staff have been working on this particular project for sometime now.And have done, I believe a very good job of keeping us informed, keeping the public informed, attending many public consultation meetings.I want to thank our city manager.Their respective teams.And our three colleagues who worked on the 100 day solutions.[Speaking French] >> (Voice of Interpreter): I would like to thank city staff that works very hard to make a phase two move forward.It's a very important project that will transform the economy of our city.[End of translation]>> All parts of our city will benefit from the pro curement of stage two LRT.As we bring it further east to trim road, further south to river side south, further west, and in fact, further in all directions than had been thought possible at the outset.There's no doubt in my mind that these investments made with our provincial and federal partners and as you can imagine, a lot of meetings and time and effort went into those meetings.But it was a signal of confidence that we were one of, I believe, only two projects that

received a preapproval on stage two funding of the infrastructure program in all of Canada. And that, I think is something we can be very very proud of. Because we know this program, this project of LRT will help to contribute to the growing confidence that individuals, families, businesses, and organizations are feeling about our future. With well over \$5 billion in LRT infrastructure planned or underway in the city, no wonder families are purchasing homes. Also, business confidence as you see with the Ottawa chamber of commerce is on the rise. With today's approval in hand, staff can continue to advance the procurement for two lines. [Speaking French] >> (Voice of Interpreter): Over the next few months, city staff will work very hard to launch the call to tender for the phase two of the light rail. [End of translation] >> Mayor Watson: A mistake, there are lots of challenges ahead. When you're building a train system in a built up part of a community, there are always going to be disruptions and challenges to the neighborhood. And we've seen that in phase one. And we will see it again in phase two. But at the same time I believe the staff have gone above and beyond to ensure that disruptions and inconveniences and challenges to neighborhoods are met with sensible and affordable solutions. So these procurements are innovative and ground breaking and will serve as a model for jurisdictions here in Canada and around the world. And anyone who receives some of the kinds of publications that I have the honour of receiving infrastructure Canada's publication, they showcase our LRT project very frequently. Which is something I think we can be very proud of. Staff will continue the important work. And to see the city of Ottawa receives excellent value with this historic investment. And as councillors have been pushing for farther west option, we are going through with the EA. And I mentioned the involvement, which is helpful. We also want to go over the prince of Wales bridge. It is something that we have discussed. And he is supportive. And obviously that is another project that is on the horizon should we secure funding sooner, we can have the train going over there sooner as well. I'm very much looking forward to moving into this next exciting step in the second half of 2018 when we expect the proponent to be presented to council for approval on both of those projects, both confederation and TRILIUM line. I thank council for their continued support for these opportunity. I'd like to call yays and nays on the whole report. If someone would like to dissent. On the main report. Yays and nays please. >> How are we supposed to dissent on part of it? >> You do that right now. >> So you are taking each of the 7 recommendations as one? >> Yes. And then you can dissent on a particular one if you would like to after. >> Okay. On the whole report. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> Yes. >> Councillor deans? >> Yes. >> Councillor Taylor? >> Yes. >> Councillor harder? >> Yes. >> Councillor? >> OUI. >>

Councillor?>> OUI. >> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> OUI.>> Councillor?>> OUI. >> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> OUI.>> Councillor?>> Yes.>> Councillor?>> Yes.Dissent on four.>> Mayor?>> Yes.Dissent on four is noted.>>> Traffic and parking bylaw update 2017.Councillor deans asked for this to be held.>> Yes, thank you, Mr. Mayor.We had a discussion on this at committee.And I thank the staff for the work they have done on this.Especially I had asked that we do public consultation.That hadn't been a part of the original plan.And almost 2,000 people responded.I think that is indicative of the interest that the public have in transportation and parking issues in our city, in particular.Between the committee meeting and council, I started to receive a number of messages from residents in the community that are very concerned about the establishment of large scale air BNB's in their neighborhood.And they are concerned in particular that if we are to pass a more relaxed parking bylaw on weekends, that might facilitate in some way the establishment of large scale air BNB's.Things attracting sports teams and what have you.And the community that I represent, they feel that these are mini hotels established in residential areas.And you will recall that I asked in June for a report back on the planning tools that we might have to address this.But when it comes to parking, I just wanted to specifically ask staff if we have looked at the impact of relaxing this regulation on air BNB?>> Through you, Mr. Mayor, no, we haven't looked at specifically with air BNB.If there's a community that has concerns with people parking more than four, five, six hours on the weekend, they can do through the regular process.And petition.And we would sign it as a three hour parking.>> Can you remind me of what that process is to petition?>> Basically the proponent, the resident lives on the street would contact the city, provide them with a petition with all the addresses on that street.Would also say what the parking request was.Three-hour parking on the weekends.And then they would go out and survey the residents and if we get 66 percent approval, then we would implement that change to the parking regulations.>> Okay.Thank you.>> Councillor?[Speaking French] >> (Voice of Interpreter): I also missed the committee meeting a few days ago.My question is not as much as the six-hour limit.That's not an issue with me.But recently with the regulations that we have in place, when we raised it, a lot of people from bylaw enforcement say that there's not a lot of sensitivity as to the bike lanes and the road itself.And as regulations that don't allow us to have boat unless there's a physical barrier.Could you comment on that?Because there were many situations that you are aware of that have created a lot of tension towards bicyclers or residents or businesses where we could find a common solution if the regulation is changed.We haven't changed the regulation.So right now, you can park at -- from the sidewalk.Or if there's no sidewalk, it could be from the side

of the road. So we haven't changed the regulation. What you're talking about, I think, is bike lanes. Where you can park a metre or metre and a half from the road. This is more of a problem. We've seen that on lawyerier. Only paint used. And cars are blocking the bike lanes. So we need that sort of border to make sure that people stay on the right side. And do not block the bike lanes. >> (Voice of Interpreter): Obviously I think there's a domino effect. As soon as you ask for a border that zone has to be maintained during the winter. So that's not really a solution. So maybe you take a direction that is to make a decision internally because it's very tiring to try and manage that. We try to please residents but the bureaucratic solution is not efficient. Yes, we are working with our colleagues in order to find a solution. We've had a meeting over quite a few days in order to put in place what residents want on their street. >> (Voice of Interpreter): So you are confirming you will make the proper analysis internally? >> (Voice of Interpreter): Yes, we will be working with the road department. And you will provide a report to the transportation committee? >> (Voice of Interpreter): That's the instruction that we need. >> (Voice of Interpreter): I'm sorry, I didn't get that? >> (Voice of Interpreter): If this is council's instruction or direction, this is what we will do. I would like to have a summary of what will have been found as a solution. >> Mayor Watson: I don't know how much work that's going to take to put together. >> We have to look. >> Mayor Watson: Why don't you take it under advisement and get back to the councillor. Sometimes it's easy for us to ask staff to do something. They have a work plan. And they are probably overworked with the work plan. >> I agree with you. But the staffer already announcing that they are conducting an internal review. I just want the summary of that review to be shared with members of transportation. >> Mayor Watson: So is that possible? Are you doing the review internally? >> We are working with our road services groups to formalize something. As we move forward. So it's something that maybe we can bring back to council. >> Mayor Watson: Okay. Thank you. >> Before I ask my question I want to talk about something Mr. Landry mentioned in his response about the petition process. I can share with my colleagues that I have done that process a number of times in my community. It's worked well. The majority of the residents like it. It's not that time consuming. And you end up getting much better product out of it because Mr. Landry's staff can recommend exactly how to sign a street. So you get good on street spots, but you don't get the bottlenecks that you do today when cars are parking on corners, et cetera. So I just want to share that. And now I want to ask my question. Which is, should we also be looking at before we table a draft budget, should we look at adding more parking control officers into this -- in the city as well? Because part of the issue here is the number of complaints being received for parking infringements. So along with the long term solution of your exercise with the petition and

everything, I'm just wondering, Mr. Mayor, if it would be a good direction to staff to take a review of the staffing levels as well, the full and part-time officers and see if we need a few more.>> Mayor Watson: Yeah. I'm not sure how popular that will be with the public. But can you take that and go back to see if there's a need? I don't want to add more if there's no need for it.>> Mayor, we're doing work with regards to that. With regards to where volumes are. We can better deploy the assets we have. With the work done, we'll take that as part of the analysis, too. Currently we're identifying perhaps we're investing in areas we can reinvest. Maybe with the current resources. Maybe address what the councillor wants. And be more effective. So I'm looking forward to the work they are doing.>> In the suburbs, Mr. Mayor, we get a lot more calls and letters complaining there's not enough parking control. So I think it's worth a look as part of the budget exercise.>> Mayor Watson: Fair compromise.>> Agree.>> Mayor Watson: I get mostly letters with people photo copying their tickets to me.>> Think of it as a syntax. Thank you.>> Thank you. Councillor?>> Thank you very much. I did express some reservations when we debated this. Won't be news to anyone. We had a comprehensive plan. We took consultants' recommendations and council approved lands. And never at that time was it envisioned that some of the streets within proximity of lands down. Now with the new bridge construction just starting Ottawa east will be an easy walking distance. That's an area that will now on weekends and holidays be six-hour parking times, which is enough that both long term visitors and employees may start using those streets as their preferred parking spot. That has a number of problems to come with it. One, of course, is residents there will find it even more difficult to find parking when it's already very difficult. Another is it might be an incentive to people choosing to drive. And they may feel that hey, I can park for up to six hours. Do that. And the third one. We often find that our two city parking garages are underwhelmed and so that would be even more the case. Lost revenue in the parking garages and more people circling, competing for the spaces. What I'm getting to, this is known, I already saw that proposal as being something that I had heard councillors were asking for and I saw, that's what people are asking for. No problem with that. It was only later that I realized the implications here. My question for you then is around petitioning. It can be time intensive if you have quite a number of streets that find themselves with the possibility of becoming six hour zones. And that could be as many as 15, 20 or 30 streets just in the circum-ferance of easy walking distance. A lot of staff time and a lot of people giving boots to saying come back with signatures. If there are entire zones where we know there's an issue, got the studies with lands down traffic, where we might actually do it on a zone basis, and councillor bring a motion to say based on this knowledge, can we do multiple streets with the clear rationale for that as opposed to

requiring a street by street petition.>> Through you Mr. Mayor. Back in June I believe it was. There was a report that was approved on the petition process. And there it looked at street by street. Because there might be requirements from one street versus another. If there was a zone, we would recommend that maybe a report to committee would be brought forward by the councillor. There's a desire based on the community. The policy as it is today SDUNLT talk to zones. Just talks to streets.>> M-hm. Thank you. And I suppose that's how I would try to approach it then. To work with staff to identify, you know, here's the streets and the known behavior. And come forward with a report for committee and council to approve. Isn't just game time. We are trying to get people to come to lands down all the time for all sorts of things. But recognizing the limited capacity of the streets and around policies, we are trying to get as many as possible to use transit to do that. I hate to have one policy change under mine many of the very good efforts and what we have achieved. So I guess I will continue to vote for it with reservations. And likely will be working with staff to see what the rollout meant for some of the streets, particularly around lands down. Thank you.>> Thanks. Councillor, please?>> Thank you. I certainly will be supporting this. It's not just a suburban issue. There are plenty of requests in the past and concerns from residents who have very legitimate needs. Hosting family and friends on weekends. And it's hard to accommodate all cars in three hours according to some residents is unreasonable. So I think this is about balance. Balancing the needs that exist with a reasonable extension. And it's weekends and I believe stat holidays. So if concerns are raised locally like councillor deans has raised, locally you can address that. We do that now. You can petition it. And engage your community and have a made in your own ward solution. Just a few questions. First of all, the start date is June 1st, 2018. Why is that so far from now?>> I believe it's because the -- it needs to go through the province. And the province has to approve it. There's a process that takes between 6 and 8 months to get that certified.>> Okay. And just to piggy back on comments that councillor hubly made, rather than say we need more officers, my question is once this goes into force or even the lead up, how do you plan to monitor and enforce this? I think the issue at committee, if an officer shift is approximately 7 hours, it maybe difficult for the same officer to mark tires within the first half hour from her shift and within the last half an hour of her shift issue tickets. To the GM of protected services, monitoring is important. We need an understanding how within the six hours if there are infractions this will be monitored. Can you comment on that now?>> Yes, mayor. As a result of the change. That was part of the earlier answer. We're looking at the model with regards to how we're going to enforce because of shift hours and changes. We're already seeing, there are ways. We have to readjust our models. Both in the resourcing, there's going to be necessary changes.>>

It's just up to us to readapt the model.>> Before June 1st, would you commit to informing the committee how you are going to do that?>> Yes.>> Great. My last question. Certainly some of the big ones, there are no dispute. I'm concerned that there maybe confusion on certain holidays which aren't deemed statutory that people may or may not get a ticket on. Are we going to have communication where there's an on street parking ban for snow removal where that's well communicated by the city. Tonight you are not allowed to park because we will be clearing snow. Any type of communication where this coming holiday is deemed statutory and members of the public can park for up to six hours? Maybe we haven't got that far yet. I don't want people to be getting tickets because they thought it was a holiday when in fact it isn't.>> Mayor, that sounds reasonable. I'll look into that.>> Great. Like I said, I will be supporting this. I think this is a good balance.>> Would it make sense to recognize holidays? Maybe you can look into that. I know it says it here in the report. It says statutory. The councillor brings up a good point. Some people have family day off, they think that's a statutory holiday. And I don't want us getting in that confusion where people are ticketed. I often get asked, do we pay for parking on this day or not?>> Just to address that, absolutely. The 12 stats are involved in the bylaws. If it's a communication issue, we'll take that away.>> Mayor Watson: Thanks. [Speaking French][End of translation]>> Being the councillor who brought the suggestion forward to review this issue and suggestion of six hours as a potential option for the weekends and stat holidays, I'm very pleased with the comments that were received at transportation committee. It seemed like every community were in general in favour of it. It does not change the aspect during the week. During the week, five days a week, it will remain three-hour parking unless it's stated otherwise. I agree with councillor for the ward that he represents. It is a different type of ward. Many special events. Something needs to be looked at for zone consideration. Especially when there's special events are in effect. Which would be red blacks games, soccer games, 67 games. During the 2017 we had major events almost every Sunday at lands down. You know, it's something that really needs to be looked at in the ward like that. Stat holidays, I also agree that a clear definition needs to be outlined. So that no confusion is there on behalf of the general public. I'll tell you, when it comes to Christmas time, Easter, any major holiday, the residents will appreciate being able to visit their parents or families and not -- without the fear of having to move their vehicles every three hours. Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor.>> Mayor Watson: Thank you. Anyone else like to speak to the item? On the report that's before us, carried.>> Disposition of items approved by committee under delegated authorities. [Speaking French][End of translation]>> Mayor Watson: Motion to adopt reports.>> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. That report from the court of community -- annual report. And the agriculture rural affairs committee report, number

27. Community and protective services committee report number 25. FedCO report 27. And planning committee report 49 A and 50. And the transportation committee report number 26 be received and adopted as amended. Thank you. >> Mayor Watson: On the motion. Carried. Adopted. Motions of which notice has been previously given. Councillor. >> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. If the motion could go up on the screen for everybody to see please. -- see, please. Thank you very much. This is more procedural than anything else. I'll jump to the be it resolved, if you will. And as we introduce last week what we are asking council to do is delegate authority to yourself, the city clerk, to finalize next few payment and transfer agreements with province Ontario for the fund and the clean water and waste water fund as set out in the motion. And therefore, also designate the management for all purposes of agreement. Established delegation of authority for changes, deletions or additions to the list. It's really the motion is to facilitate the projects going forward, get all the paperwork sign, sign off on all the various approvals and agreements. So I ask everybody to support it. >> Great. On the motion. Carried. Motions requiring suspension to the rules and procedure. [Speaking French] >> Motion by councillor. Time sensitive obviously because of the natural disasters in the south. On suspension of the rules. Carried. If you would like to introduce it, please. >> Thank you. And thank you members of council for allowing this to come on this morning. Certainly we have all seen media reports and the coverage of the two recent hurricanes that have impacted the Caribbean and parts of the United States. The destruction is beyond words. The motion here today, first of all, extends the sympathies by council to those affected by both hurricanes Harvey and Irma. And I think it's wise that we encourage residents and businesses in our city to donate to a charity of their choice that's committed to these types of disasters. I was really struck when I saw particularly the island of Barbudo. That was one of the first islands impacted. Every structure on that island has been damaged. Certainly the devastation is wide spread. Many nations have been impacted. Many don't have the means, the financial means or ability to address the immediate needs of their communities. And I think, you know, we have an obligation to be mindful of the needs of others. And if people have the means to contribute to charities like the red cross or world vision, that they consider doing that if they can. Earlier today, Mr. Mayor, and members, the FCM released a statement. I'll just read two brief paragraphs. Stands in solidarity with the people hit with the disaster. As they deal with the devastation. Over the next weeks, the FCM will continue to monitor the situation and offer assistance if required. In this emergency phase, the FCM encourages members wishing to help to donate to the red cross. Communities within Canada making public statements to their members to their residents, to businesses. So I would encourage members of council to support this. If you have news letters, email that you

communicate as well to your communities, please ask them to consider donating to a charity of their choice. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.>> Mayor Watson: Thank you very much. Councillor, please.>> Yes, thank you. Naturally I'm supportive of this. I have one addition. Of course, Harvey and Irma got a great deal of attention and they are closer to us. The devastation in Bangladesh and India and Nepal has been equal to or even greater. We have citizens wondering why we have one picking one out of the other. It's my assumption that the organizations have programs to direct donations to other zones as well. I don't really suggest a change to the motion and start adding in every disaster that's happened in the last couple of months. Simply to make the point that we are aware of as a council similar disasters are happening elsewhere. And giving what you can to help people in need is something we encourage. Thank you.>> Mayor Watson: Okay. Thank you. On the motion. Carried. Thank you.>>> Our next motion, by councillor -- on suspension. Carried.>> Thank you. Very different from hurricanes. We recently imposed an interim control bylaw to deal with the housing that's having very negative consequences in some communities. Naturally this being a blunt instrument, it caught up some applications, people who were well on their way with applications that we didn't want to. That were not the type of development that we as councillors or staff have problems with. I'm bringing a motion that identifies a property in my ward as well as in collaboration with councillor in his. We are exempting two properties from that. Our staff are in agreement. They agreed it was an a technicality. These are the kinds of renovations, expansions to homes that are not the target of that bylaw. So therefore be it resolved that council repeal bylaw as it relates to the lands known as 220 sunny side Avenue and 25 drewon Avenue.>> Carried.>> Mayor Watson: Any other motions?>>> The motion for consideration of subsequent meeting. [Speaking French]>> Non. Motion to introduce bylaws.>> Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Bylaws listed on the agenda under the motion to introduce bylaws, three readings be read and passed and the bylaw entitled the bylaw the city of Ottawa to repeal bylaw 2017-245 of the city of Ottawa entitled a bylaw of the city of Ottawa to establish interim control for lands within sandy hill and portions of old Ottawa east as it applies to the lands municipally known at 220 sunny side Avenue and 45 duran Avenue. As approved by a motion that this meeting be read and passed.>> Mayor Watson: Motion carried.>> Be read and passed. To confirm the proceedings of the council meeting.>> Carried. We have one written inquiry.>> What is the city of Ottawa's responsibility as a service manager by the province more specifically relating to the management standards, outcomes, tracking, payments, and expectations relating to offering of emergency shelters and the second point, when was the last time the -- what agreements and contracts are in place with the various providers of emergency shelters. And wondering if this inquiry could be responded prior

to the Salvation Army report.>> Thank you.>> Councillor.>> City council meeting of
September be adjourned.>> Motion carried.Meeting adjourned.Thank you very much.