Report to Rapport au:

Council Conseil 22 November 2017 / 22 novembre 2017

Submitted on November 17, 2017 Soumis le 17 novembre 2017

Submitted by Soumis par: Council Coordinator / coordonnatrice du Conseil

> Contact Person Personne ressource:

Caitlin Salter MacDonald, Program Manager, Committee and Council Services and Council Coordinator/ Gestionnaire de programme, Services au Conseil municipal et aux comités, et coordonatrice du Conseil (613) 580-2424 x 28136, caitlin.salter-macdonald@ottawa.ca

Ward: CITY WIDE / À L'ÉCHELLE DE LA File Number: ACS2017-CCS-OCC-0018 VILLE

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF ORAL AND WRITTEN PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS FOR ITEMS SUBJECT TO BILL 73 'EXPLANATION REQUIREMENTS' AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 8, 2017

OBJET : RÉSUMÉS DES OBSERVATIONS ORALES ET ÉCRITES DU PUBLIC SUR LES QUESTIONS ASSUJETTIES AUX EXIGENCES D'EXPLICATION AUX TERMES DE LA LOI 73 EXAMINÉS À LA RÉUNION DU CONSEIL 8 NOVEMBRE 2017

REPORT RECOMMENDATION

That City Council approve the Summaries of Oral and Written Public Submissions for items considered at the City Council Meeting of November 8, 2017 that are subject to the 'Explanation Requirements' of Bill 73, the *Smart Growth for Our* *Communities Act, 2015*, as described in this report and attached as Documents 1 and 2.

RECOMMANDATION DU RAPPORT

Que le Conseil municipal approuve les résumés des observations orales et écrites du public sur les questions assujetties aux exigences d'explication aux termes de la loi 73, la *Loi de 2015 pour une croissance intelligente de nos collectivités*, qui ont été étudiées à la réunion du Conseil du 8 novembre 2017, comme les décrit le présent rapport et qui sont joints à titre des documents 1 et 2.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report was prepared pursuant to the process approved by City Council on November 9, 2016 to address Bill 73, the *Smart Growth for Our Communities Act, 2015,* which amended the *Planning Act* such that municipalities are required to explain the effect of public input on planning decisions.

At its meeting of November 8, 2017, City Council considered two planning applications for which written and/or oral submissions were received after publication of the staff report:

- 1. Zoning By-Law Amendment Part of 6211 Renaud Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0116)
- 2. Zoning By-Law Amendment 1620 and 1636 Maple Grove Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0125)

A 'Summary of Written and Oral Submissions' for each application is attached as a supporting document to this report. Council considered all written and oral submissions received prior to Council consideration of this matter in making its decision on this matter.

SOMMAIRE

Le présent rapport a été préparé conformément au processus approuvé par le Conseil municipal le 9 novembre 2016 en vue de répondre aux exigences de la loi 73, la Loi de 2015 pour une croissance intelligente de nos collectivités, modifiant la Loi sur l'aménagement du territoire de telle sorte que les municipalités doivent expliquer les répercussions des commentaires du public sur les décisions d'urbanisme. Lors de sa réunion du 8 novembre 2017, le Conseil municipal a examiné deux demandes d'aménagement pour lesquelles il a reçu des observations orales ou écrites suivant la publication du rapport du personnel :

- 1. Modification au Règlement de zonage partie du 6211, chemin Renaud (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0116)
- Modification au règlement de zonage 1620 et 1636, chemin Maple Grove (ACS2017-PIE-EDP-0125)

Un « Résumé des observations orales et écrites » pour chacune des demandes est soumis en pièce jointe. Le Conseil a pris connaissance de toutes les observations orales et écrites reçues avant son examen afin d'éclairer son décision.

BACKGROUND

Effective July 1, 2016, provisions of Bill 73, the *Smart Growth for Our Communities Act, 2015*, took effect to amend certain Subsections of the *Planning Act* such that municipalities are required explain the effect of public input on planning decisions. Generally, the legislation requires City Council to ensure that a written Notice of its decision is given in the prescribed manner, and that this Notice contain a "brief explanation of the effect, if any, that the written and oral submissions ... had on [Council's] decision." Oral submissions include the public delegations that appear at Committee, and written submissions include any that were provided formally to Council between the date a report is published in the Committee agenda and the date of Council's decision.

The legislation applies to the following Subsections of the Planning Act:

Subsections	Related Matters
17(23)-(23.2), 17(35)-(35.2)	Official Plan
22(6.6)-(6.8)	Official Plan
34(10.9)-(10.11), 34(18)-(18.2)	Zoning By-laws
45(8)-(8.2)	Committee of Adjustment
51(37)-(38.2)	Plan of Subdivision
53(17)-(18.2)	Consents

In anticipation of the legislation coming into effect, City Council, at its meeting on 22 June 2016, passed Motion No. 34/7 to adopt an interim practice to ensure the City's compliance with these particular new Bill 73 requirements, with the intent of adopting a new process as part of the Mid-term Governance Review later that year.

On November 9, 2016, City Council considered the report titled, "2014-2018 Mid-term Governance Review" (ACS2016-CCS-GEN-0024), and approved the following revised process to ensure the City's compliance with these particular new Bill 73 requirements:

1. Staff reports to Planning Committee and Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee with respect to affected planning matters include the following recommendation:

That Committee approve the Consultation Details Section of this report be included as part of the 'brief explanation' in the Summary of Written and Oral Public Submissions, to be prepared by the City Clerk and Solicitor's Office and submitted to Council in the report titled, "Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to Bill 73 'Explanation Requirements' at the City Council Meeting of [Date of Council meeting at which the item is considered]," subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and the time of Council's decision";

- 2. Following Council's decision with respect to the matter, Clerk's staff, in consultation with the relevant Committee Chair and Legal shall prepare the report titled, "Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to Bill 73 'Explanation Requirements' at the City Council Meeting of [Date of Council meeting at which the item is considered]." This report would include information with respect to all items considered at the Council meeting that were subject to the relevant Bill 73 provisions. For each item included in the report, a 'Summary of Written and Oral Submissions' would be attached as a supporting document. Each 'Summary of Written and Otal Submissions' would incorporate the information above and other submissions that were received in advance of Council's decision;
- 3. The above-noted report would be placed on the Bulk Consent Agenda for the next City Council meeting. As there is a requirement that Notice of decision be circulated within 15 days after a Council decision, and given that the Notice would typically be circulated before the next Council meeting, the Notice would be circulated indicating that the 'Summary of Written and Oral Submissions' for the matter was subject to Council approval.

This report was prepared pursuant to the process approved by City Council on November 9, 2016, and includes information with respect to all items considered at the Council meeting of November 23, 2016, that were subject to the relevant Bill 73 provisions. A 'Summary of Written and Oral Submissions' is attached as a supporting document for each item. As noted above, there is a requirement that Notice of Decision be circulated within 15 days after a Council decision. Given that the Notice is typically circulated before the next Council meeting, the Notice is circulated indicating that the 'Summary of Written and Oral Submissions' for the matter is subject to Council approval.

DISCUSSION

City Council, at its meeting of November 8, 2017, considered two items that are subject to the Bill 73 'Explanation Requirements' described above. These items are as follows:

Planning Committee Report 53

- 1. Zoning By-Law Amendment Part of 6211 Renaud Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0116)
- 2. Zoning By-Law Amendment 1620 and 1636 Maple Grove Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0125)

RURAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no rural implications associated with the report recommendations to approve the summary of public submissions.

CONSULTATION

The consultation undertaken with respect to the above-noted planning application is contained within the original staff report considered by Committee and Council.

COMMENTS BY THE WARD COUNCILLORS

The Ward Councillor's comments were contained in the original report considered by Committee and Council.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE(S) COMMENTS

This section is not applicable to this report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The legal implications with respect to the planning application described in this report is contained in the original report considered by Committee and Council.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no risk implications associated with the report recommendation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications with respect to the planning application described in this report are contained in the original report considered by Committee and Council

ACCESSIBILITY IMPACTS

There are no accessibility impacts associated with the report recommendation.

TERM OF COUNCIL PRIORITIES

This report addresses the Governance, Planning and Decision-making Term of Council Priority.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Document 1 – Summary of Written and Oral Submissions – Zoning By-Law Amendment – Part of 6211 Renaud Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0116)

Document 2 – Summary of Written and Oral Submissions - Zoning By-Law Amendment – 1620 and 1636 Maple Grove Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0125)

DISPOSITION

This report will be placed on the Bulk Consent Agenda portion of the City Council Agenda for Council's consideration and approval at its meeting of November 22, 2017.

Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-Law Amendment – Part of 6211 Renaud Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0116)

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

- Number of delegations at Planning Committee: 1
- Number of Submissions received by Planning Committee between 17 October and 8 November 2017: 1
- Primary arguments in support
 - > The applicant spoke in support of the application
- Primary concerns and arguments in opposition
 - > Comments made during public meeting were not included in the report
 - For the houses in front of Tulip Tree park, the builder did not leave enough space for two cars to park in the driveways, which leads to multiple cars parking on the street and reduced visibility for small children crossing the street.

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision:

Debate: The Committee spent 13 minutes on this item.

Vote: The item was CARRIED as presented.

Effect of Submissions to both committees on Council Decision:

Council considered all written and oral submissions in making its decision, and CARRIED this item as presented.

Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-Law Amendment – 1620 and 1636 Maple Grove Road (ACS2017-PIE-PS-0125)

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

- Number of delegations at Planning Committee: 0
- Number of Submissions received by Planning Committee between 17 October and 8 November 2017: 6
- Primary arguments in support
 - > The applicant spoke in support of the application
- Primary concerns and arguments in opposition
 - The application should be deferred until staff can resolve issues and process deficiencies in the application and report, relating to (but not limited to): insufficient public notification; insufficient consultation and review period; need for updated documentation; timing of needed infrastructure work; unknown property requirements for transit; need for a second access road from Maple Grove Road to address increased traffic; need to address how parking and snow removal would be handled; errors in the staff report
 - Request for information about plans to mitigate traffic impacts and about bike lanes
 - > Existing congestion and construction activity will be exacerbated
 - Extra traffic will increase commute time and more access points are needed to facilitate traffic flow, especially in case of emergency
 - Projected tax increases in the area due to the sewage pump station and Carp river restoration project required for the Richcraft community

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision:

Debate: The Committee spent 35 minutes on this item.

Vote: The item was CARRIED as presented.

Effect of Submissions to both committees on Council Decision:

Council considered all written and oral submissions in making its decision, and CARRIED this item as presented.