Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-law Amendment – 243 and 245 Hinchey Avenue

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 0

Number of written submissions received by Planning Committee between January 4 (the date the report was published to the City's website with the agenda for this meeting) and January 14, 2021 (committee meeting date): 2

Primary concerns, by individual

Monique Champagne & Marc Laframboise (written submission)

- It is not reasonable to have no parking spaces for 18 units is not reasonable;
 Hinchey, as well as neighbouring streets, will continually be lined with cars and even close to public transit it is not reasonable to conclude that all tenants and/or visitors will not have a vehicle they will need to park somewhere
- 16 bicycle parking spots is insufficient and assumes that there will only be 1 person with a bicycle in each apartment or that no friends or family will visit by bicycle
- a 16 unit building will result in over intensification, and little green space left if exceptions are made for setbacks; this would completely change the landscape of the street
- there are already a multitude of new apartment buildings on Parkdale, Wellington
 and Scott, contributing to over intensification, and traffic on Parkdale is untenable
 even when it is not traffic hour; ttreets like Pinehurst, Bullman, Hinchey and Oxford
 are already subject to cars travelling at high speeds and often ignoring stop signs in
 rush hour to avoid traffic on Parkdale; although there will be no parking for the
 tenants it does not mean that there will be less traffic (couriers, friends/family, ride
 share etc).

Linda Hoad, Co-chair, Hintonburg Community Association (written submission)

while many community concerns have been addressed, the location and materiality
of the garbage enclosure continue to be a concern, being located right next to the
lot line, which exposes the neighbours to odours and other impacts of storing waste,

and the use of mesh to enclose the containers is not acceptable; it is their expectation the matter will be dealt with during site plan review

Primary reasons for support, by individual

Name (written submission)

none provided

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision: Debate: The Committee carried the report recommendations on consent (without discussion).

Vote: The committee considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as presented.

Ottawa City Council

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between January 14 (Planning Committee consideration date) and January 27, 2021 (Council consideration date): 0

Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:

Council considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations without amendment.