Planning Committee Report 14 October 23, 2019

Comité de l'urbanisme Rapport 14 le 23 octobre 2019

EXTRACT OF DRAFT MINUTES 8 AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE OCTOBER 3, 2019 EXTRAIT DE L'ÉBAUCHE DU PROCÈS-VERBAL 8 COMITÉ DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DES AFFAIRES RURALES LE 3 OCTOBRE 2019

ZONING – FLOOD PLAIN MAPPING UPDATES PHASE 3

ACS2019-PIE-EDP-0036

CITY WIDE

- 1. That Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee recommend Council approve amendments to the floodplain overlay in Zoning By-law 2008-250, as shown in Document 1 and detailed in Document 3.
- 2. That Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee approve the Consultation Details Section of this report be included as part of the 'brief explanation' in the Summary of Written and Oral Public Submissions, to be prepared by the City Clerk and Solicitor's Office and submitted to Council in the report titled, "Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to the Planning Act 'Explanation Requirements' at the City Council Meeting of October 23, 2019," subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and the time of Council's decision.

Lorraine Stevens, Planner II, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the report before Committee. A copy of her presentation is held on file with the City Clerk's office.

Following the presentation, the Committee heard from the following delegations:

- * Dennis Moulding He is a landowner on Trim Road. He is concerned that there was a lack of notification on this report as he had only heard the night before. He feels blind sited by city. There are negative effects on property such as cost of insurance, restrictions of operations and property values. He feels his property is being put in a flood zone. Appears to be broad brush treatment.
- 2. George Neville He concurs with Mr. Moulding. Part of his property is now in a flood plain and yet has never seen any flooding there. What is lacking

85

appreciation for drainage. He described drainage and flooding situations that will be covered during Planning Committee on this same report. He will speak with Lorraine Stevens and email with further details.

3. Shirley Dolan – She had comments on the following: First on public consultation, second on drainage and third property values. She appreciates that the Conservation Authorities are sending out letters individually on the impacts of the mapping but many don't realize when they get the envelope and don't bother opening it. Should be clearer and something on envelope stating importance. The other way is by Ward Councillor's emails to people.

[* All individuals marked with an asterisk either provided their comments in writing or by email; all such comments are held on file with the City Clerk.]

Written submissions were also received from:

- Glenn Edwards (opposed)
- Bruce Chrustie (opposed)

Following the delegations Committee members had questions of staff:

- How did the city advertise for the mapping? In terms of this mapping exercise, advertised through website and in local papers. Not individual mail outs. Conservation authorities held open houses. They sent out notification to everyone affected in regulated areas.
- Many times the city requests that residents comply but City infrastructure has not changed. It was noted that items such as roads already constructed are grandfathered.

The Committee CARRIED the recommendation as presented.