

PLANNING COMMITTEE
EXTRACT OF DRAFT MINUTES 28
TUESDAY, 28 JUNE 2016

PROCEDURAL MOTION

Motion N^o. PLC 28/1

Moved by Councillor S. Qadri

WHEREAS on December 3, 2015, Ontario passed Bill 73, *Smart Growth for Our Communities Act, 2015*, which amended the *Planning Act* and the *Development Charges Act*; and

WHEREAS several of the new provisions involve new public engagement requirements, including identifying how Council decision-making incorporates formal public submissions; and

WHEREAS, effective July 1, 2016, Sections 17, 22, 34, 45, 51 and 53 of the *Planning Act* will, with respect to specific planning matters, with Subsection 17 (23.1) and (23.2) requiring City Council to provide a “brief explanation of the effect, if any, that the written and oral submissions....had on [Council’s] decision,” with oral submissions being the public delegations that appear at Committee, and written submissions being any that were provided formally to Council between the date a report is published in the Committee Agenda and the date the final Council agenda containing that item is published, before Council’s decision is made; and

WHEREAS City Council as a body speaks by resolution, so the ‘brief explanation’ required by the new legislation will need to be provided by way of a recommendation or motion; and

WHEREAS it is not yet fully understood what the Province intends as best practices; and

WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting of 22 June 2016, adopted new interim

practices in an effort to meet the new Subsections 17 (23.1) and (23.2) requirements under Bill 73, *Smart Growth for Our Communities Act, 2015* while City staff undertake a review of the new requirements and look to bring forward a simpler process for by the fall; and

WHEREAS the agenda for this meeting was published prior to Council's adoption of the new practices, but items on this agenda will be considered by Council at its meeting of 13 July 2016, at which time the provisions of the new legislation will be in effect; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Planning Committee approve the staff reports for items 2 to 5 be amended to include the following recommendation:

“That Committee approve the Consultation Section of this report be included as the “brief explanation” in the Summary of Written and Oral Submissions, to be prepared by the City Clerk and Solicitor's Office and submitted to Council in tandem with this report, subject to submissions received between the publication of this report and 4 p.m. of the day prior to Council's consideration” ; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT for items listed as numbers 2 to 5 of today's agenda, the Committee Coordinator will prepare Summary of Written and Oral Submissions for each of those reports, to be presented to Council on 13 July 2016, that will outline the number of written submissions received and public delegations heard for this Planning Committee meeting, as well as a high-level synopsis of their main points, and will include the same for any submissions sent to the Committee Coordinator by 4:00 p.m. on 12 July 2016 (the day prior to Council consideration of these items).

CARRIED

ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT – 27, 33 AND 35 SCISSONS ROAD

ACS2016-PAI-PGM-0101

KANATA SOUTH (23)

REPORT RECOMMENDATION

That Planning Committee recommend Council approve an amendment to Zoning

By-law 2008-250 for 27, 33 and 35 Scissons Road to permit a subdivision of 15 lots for detached dwellings on a private street, as detailed in Document 2.

Ms. Kathy Rygus, Planner, and Mr. Derrick Moodie, Manager, Development Review – Suburban, Planning and Growth Management department, were present to respond to questions.

The committee heard three delegations on this matter.

- Weiqiang Ren expressed concerns about the proposal because of the current community design and the dangers associated with there being heavy traffic and only one access in and out of the Bridlewood community now, via an unsignalized intersection . He talked about accidents that have occurred in the area (Stonehaven Drive) and also distributed copies of a recent local newspaper article about a petition for intersection safety improvements in the area.
- Aiwu Liu said the development would exacerbate the current traffic and road safety problems in the area, especially given the density would increase when two current houses are replaced with 15.
- Steve Hemstead requested the density be reduced from 15 houses to 11 to be in tune with neighbourhood. He raised concerns about the increased traffic in the area, noting that Stonehaven and Sawyer Way is the only intersection on Stonehaven that doesn't have some form of traffic regulation, and that delays that are frequently caused by dump trucks and construction vehicles trying to turn left into the area.

The committee received the following correspondence between 21 June 2016 (the date the report was published in the committee agenda) and the time public delegations were heard on 28 June 2016, a copy of which is held on file:

- Comments dated 22 June 2016 from Runbo Fu
- Comments dated 22 June 2016 from Aiwu Liu and Cheng Wu
- Comments dated 23 June 2016 from Sharon Hu
- Comments dated 25 June 2016 from Susumu Sai
- Comments dated 26 June 2016 from Dan Mulrooney.

Planning Committee CARRIED the report recommendation as presented.