

Sandy Hill Heritage Study

Descriptive sheet

231 Cobourg St

| Municipal Address | 231 Cobourg Street | Building name | | 231 Cobourg St |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|----------------|
| Legal description | | Lot: | Block: | Plan: |
| Age/Date of | 1935-1947 | Original use | | |
| Construction | | Present use | | |



Source: Ron J. Roy Date: 2006/27/10

Heritage Status

| Existing heritage building | Name: | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Existing heritage building | Bylaw / date: | | |
| Existing heritage district | Name: | | |
| Existing heritage district | Bylaw / date: | | |
| | | | |
| Potential Heritage Building: | not defined | | |
| Potential Heritage District: | not defined | | |
| Comments: | | | |

Phase 2 Evaluation Results

Prepared by: Date:

| Score | 100 - 70 | 69 - 55 | 55 - 40 | 39 - 0 |
|-------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| Group | | | 3 | |

231 Cobourg St

History

Prepared by: Carolyn Van Sligtenhorst Date: 2007/04/17

Age/Date of Construction (Factual/Estimated)

Between 1935-47.

M1878: Area not covered by map. M1888(1901): Area not covered by map.

First building:

M1902(1912): 2 1/2 storey brick dwelling with shingled roof, front porch.

M1902(1922): Same

Second building (same as current):

M1948: 2 storey building, brick on concrete with tar & gravel roof.

M1956: Same

Events

Persons/Institutions

D1923-Not listed
D1934-Not listed
D1948 - Apartments (all occupants)
Bell and Vera M. MacKintosh
1 - Patterson G. Murphy

2 - J. Leslie and Dorothy Elvidge

Developmental Context

This apartment building reflects increased later demand for more modest, multi-unit residential development on Cobourg Street in the 30s and 40s. .

Summary/Comments on historical significance

The historical significance of this building lies in its its role in continuing the residential development of Cobourg Street.

Historical sources

- •June 1878 Insurance Plan of Ottawa, Ontario. National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- •January 1888 Key Plan of Ottawa and Vicinity. (Revised 1901). National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- •September 1902 Key Plan of the City of Ottawa, Ontario. Volume 1 (Revised 1912). National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- •September 1902 Insurance Plan of the City of Ottawa, Ontario. Volume 1 (Revised 1922). National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- •November 1948 Fire Insurance Map, Ottawa, Ontario. Volume 2. National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- October 1956 Fire Insurance Map, Ottawa, Ontario. Volume 2. National Map Collection, Public Archives Canada.
- The Ottawa City Directory, 1934, 1948.

231 Cobourg St

Architecture Prepared by: Chris Wiebe Date: 2007/02/08

Architectural characteristics and design

Property characteristics: Single use institutional. Detached, 2 storey with rectangular plan, center entry and occupied basement. Site features consist of parking lot at side.

Exterior elements: Flat roof of unknown material; 1 brick chimney. Decorative roof elements include a raised parapet. Exterior brick wall with string courses, brick corbels, stone sills, quoins of brick and decorative brick patterns. Parged foundation.

Windows and doors: Rectangular wood double hung 1 x 1 8 x 8 windows. Metal storm windows. Octagonal window on upper storey. Single metal front door with transom window in door.

Other features: 1 storey wood porch with flat roof, pediment and wood brackets.

Architectural Stylistic Influences

Federal stylistic influences

Designer/Builder/Architect

N/A

Architectural integrity

Medium to high: Non-original door, storm windows, and some other windows affect integrity.

Other

Summary/Comments on architectural significance

This is one of a small number of purpose-built apartment buildings in Sandy Hill dating from the middle years of the 20th century. It is somewhat atypical in its modest Federal stylistic influence, characterized by the broken pediment at the door surround surmounted by an octagonal window. The symmetrical composition, the double hung windows with divided lights, and the implied Classical detailing of the brick quoins and cornice are all significant elements of the facade and should remain in future renovations.

Context Prepared by: Date:

| Existing heritage building | Name: | |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| | Bylaw / date: | |
| | Name: | |
| Existing heritage district | Bylaw / date: | |



Source: Ron J. Roy Date: 2006/27/10

Compatibility with surroundings:

Pattern of site use: Atypical (corner site facing Cobourg, rather than Wilbrod)

Use: Typical (residential)

Height/Volume: Typical

Materials: Typical (brick)
Typology: Type 8 (apartment)

Community context/Landmark status

This property makes a moderate contribution to the history and architecture of the neighbourhood.

Summary/Comments on environmental significance

This property is modestly compatible with the block in which it sits.

| | | Pre 1890 | 1891 - 1900 | 1901 - 1910 | 1911 - 1925 | 1926 - 1950 | 1951 + | Score |
|---------|--------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| | Age/Date of Construction | 10 | 8 - 9 | 6 - 7 | 4 - 5 | √ 1 - 3 | 0 | 2/10 |
| ≿ | | | | High | Medium | Low | N/A | |
| HISTORY | Events / Persons | | | 4 - 5 | 3 | √ 1 - 2 | 0 | 1/5 |
| Ξ | | | | High | Medium | Low | | |
| | Developmental Context | | | 11 - 15 | ⊘ 6 - 10 | 1 - 5 | | 8/15 |
| | Total | | | | | | | 11/30 |

| | | Proportion/Scale | Detail/Craftsmanship | Coherence | Score |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|-------|
| | Architectural characteristics | 3/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 9/15 |
| | | Strong | Medium | Weak | |
| ARCHITECTURE | Stylistic Influence | 11 - 15 | ⊘ 6 - 10 | 0 - 5 | 10/15 |
| E | | Renowned | Known | Unknown | |
| SCHI | Designer / Builder / Architect | 3 - 5 | 1 - 2 | ⊘ 0 | 0/5 |
| ₹ | | High | Medium | Low | |
| | Architectural Integrity | 4 - 5 | ⊘ 2 - 3 | 0 - 1 | 3/5 |
| | Total | | | | 22/40 |

| | | Sets example | Reinforces pattern | Compatible with pattern | Incompatible with pattern | Score |
|-------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| EXT | Compatibility with surroundings | 15 - 20 | 10 - 14 | ⊘ 5-9 | 0 - 4 | 6/20 |
| CONTE | | Strong | Moderate | Weak | No | |
| ខ | Community Context/Landmark Status | 7 - 10 | ⊘ 4 - 6 | 1 - 3 | 0 | 5/10 |
| | Total | | | | | 11/30 |

| Phase II score | 44/100 |
|----------------|--------|

| Heritage Status | Group | 3 |
|-----------------|-------|---|