

## CLOSED CAPTIONING TRANSCRIPT – CITY COUNCIL 9 MAY 2018

GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. IF I COULD ASK MEMBERS TO TAKE THEIR SEATS. WE WILL BEGIN THE MEETING IN ONE MINUTE. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> WELCOME TO THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING 9TH OF MAY 2018. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] FOR THOSE WHO ARE ABLE TO, PLEASE RISE FOR A MOMENT OF PERSONAL REFLECTION AND REMAIN STANDING FOR THE NATIONAL ANTHEM.>> REMAIN STANDING. WE'LL ASK OUR COLLEAGUE RON CLOUTIER TO INTRODUCE OUR SPECIAL GUEST. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> THANK YOU, Mr. MAYOR. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: THE CHOIR IS A GROUP OF STUDENTS IN 12TH GRADE ALEXANDER WITH Ms. O'CONNOR, A TEACHER GATHERED A CHOIR WHO PRACTICES EVERY TUESDAY AFTER SCHOOL. THIS CHOIR GOSPEL STYLE LIKES TO GET TOGETHER TO SING VERY WELL KNOWN SONGS. THE SUPER VOICES ARE HERE TODAY WITH Ms. PAQUETTE, A TEACHER UNDER THE DIRECTION OF ALEXANDRA. SO THE CHOIR OF THE CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL. ¶ O CANADA ¶¶ OUR HOME AND NATIVE LAND ¶¶ TRUE PATRIOT LOVE, IN ALL OF US COMMAND ¶ (SINGING IN FRENCH) ¶ GOD KEEP OUR LAND ¶¶ GLORIOUS AND FREE ¶¶ O CANADA, WE STAND ON GUARD FOR THEE ¶¶ O CANADA, WE STAND ON GUARD FOR THEE ¶ [ APPLAUSE ] [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: THANK YOU TO ALL THE STUDENTS. [ END OF TRANSLATION ] LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, I'D LIKE TO WELCOME TO CITY HALL THE LEADERS IN CHARGE OF THE GOOD SAMARITANS INTERFAITH FOOD DRIVE FOR THE OTTAWA FOOD BANK. I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE THE GOOD SAMARITANS LEADERSHIP MOSAIC AND IMAN JADELIC AND RABI POTLER AND JIM POTT AND REVEREND DUBACA AND REVEREND BAILEY. RITA DEBARE, BRIAN TURNER, AND EVELYN GREENBERG. IS SHE HERE WHO IS THE WONDERFUL PIANIST? HELLO. YOU MAY HAVE HEARD THE FAITH LEADERS SINGING AND SHE WAS KIND ENOUGH TO ACCOMPANY THEM. IT IS THE CATHOLIC COUNCIL AND OTTAWA MUSLIM ASSOCIATION AND WOMEN FEDERATION OF WORLD PEACE AND OTTAWA AND DIAMOND GROUP OF COMPANIES HELPING OUT WITH THE FOOD DRIVE THAT TAKES PLACE ON MAY 11, 12, AND 13 THIS YEAR AND EVERY ONE IS ENCOURAGED TO BRING NONPERISHABLE FOOD ITEM TO THEIR PLACE OF WORSHIP THIS MOTHER'S DAY WEEKEND. WE ALL CAN DO MORE TO TOUCH THE LIVES OF THOSE WHO DON'T HAVE ENOUGH FOOD ON THE TABLE. THIS INITIATIVE IS ONE OF THE WAYS OF HELPING THOSE IN NEED. THANK YOU ALL

TO THE FAITH BASED LEADERS FOR YOUR GENEROSITY AND FOR GETTING THE WORD OUT.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR EFFORTS AND GENEROSITIES.[ END OF TRANSLATION ]FOR A JOB WELL DONE.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.[ APPLAUSE ]>> IT'S NOW MY HONOUR TO INVITE KAREN TAYLOR TO THE PODIUM FOR CITY COUNCIL CITY BUILDER AWARD AND KAREN IS FROM THE BEAUTIFUL COMMUNITY OF FITZROY HARBOUR AND SHE BROUGHT ALL OF FITZROY HARBOUR WITH HER.NO ONE IS LEFT WITH FITZROY HARBOUR AND I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE HER COUNCILLOR ELI EL-CHANTIRY.A WARM WELCOME TO FAMILY AND FRIENDS KAREN FROM FITZROY.IF YOU HAVEN'T BEEN TO FITZROY HARBOUR IT IS ONE OF THE GREAT GEMS IN THE CITY WITH THE PROVINCIAL PARK AND HARBOUR PIZZA AND THE GREAT COMMUNITY CENTRE THAT KAREN IS SO INVOLVED WITH.THIS AWARD RECOGNIZES PEOPLE WHO HAVE DEMONSTRATED AN EXTRAORDINARY COMMITMENT TO MAKING OUR CITY A BETTER PLACE TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE THROUGHOUT STANDING VOLUNTEERISM OR EXEMPLARY ACTION.AS THE LONG STANDING PRESIDENT OF THE FITZROY HARBOUR ASSOCIATION, HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU BEEN PRESIDENT?LONGER THAN CASTRO WAS PRESIDENT OF CUBA.>> 18 YEARS.>> THAT'S AMAZING.KAREN IS EMBODIMENT OF COMMUNITY LEADER THAT WORKED TIRELESSLY FOR THE RESIDENTS OF FITZROY HARBOUR.AS A LIFE LONG RESIDENT OF THE COMMUNITY KAREN HAS BEEN THE GO TO PERSON FOR ORGANIZING COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES AND FOR MORE MOBILIZING VOLUNTEERS TO GET THE JOB DONE.SHE SHOWED GREAT LEADERSHIP DURING LAST YEAR'S TERRIBLE FLOODS AND ACTIONS TO HELP THE COMMUNITY.DEALING WITH THE FLOODING AND IT'S BEEN A YEAR THIS WEEK AND AFTER MATH REQUIRED ENORMOUS COMMUNITY EFFORT TO ORGANIZE AND COORDINATE.THE WILLINGNESS OF RESIDENTS TO HELP EACH OTHER AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE IS A REFLECTION OF THE COMMUNITY SPIRIT THAT EXISTS IN FITZROY HARBOUR.COMMUNITY SPIRIT STRENGTHENED BY LEADERS LIKE KAREN TAYLOR.KAREN HAS BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN ENSURING THE LOCAL COMMUNITY CENTRE IS A VIBRANT AND WELCOMING GATHERING PLACE FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY.HER WORK TO SECURE FUNDING FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO COMMUNITY CENTRE AND TO MOBILIZE OTHERS FOR FUNDRAISING EFFORTS IS LEGENDARY.HER ENTHUSIASM AND WILLINGNESS TO WORK ON COMMUNITY INITIATIVES INSPIRES OTHERS AND BRINGS THE COMMUNITY CLOSER TOGETHER.KAREN IS INSTRUMENTAL OF MANY EVENTS LIKE THE HARBOUR DAY FESTIVAL AND

WINTER CARNIVAL AND NEW YEAR'S EVE COMMUNITY EVENT AND SPRING FLING FUNDRAISER.SHE CARES ABOUT OUR COMMUNITY AND USES TALENTS TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE.I WOULD TO THANK FOR HER MANY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COMMUNITY.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: I WOULD LIKE TO THANK HER FOR MANY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CITY COMMUNITY WITH MY COLLEAGUE ELI EL-CHANTIRY.IT IS MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT YOU WITH THE CITY BUILDER AWARD.COME ON OUT HERE.WE'LL GET A PICTURE.LET'S GET A BIG GROUP PICTURE OF ALL OF FITZROY HARBOUR.IF YOU LIVE IN FITZROY HARBOUR.COME ON UP.IF YOU'VE BEEN TO FITZROY HARBOUR, DON'T BE SHY.IF YOU STAND IN THE CIRCLE, YOU GET FREE PROPERTY TAXES.HERE THEY COME NOW.[ LAUGHTER ]WE'LL GET A BIG GROUP PICTURE WITH YOUR FRIENDS.WE'LL MAKE SURE YOU GET ALL THE PICTURES.COME ON IN HERE, FOLKS.COME ON IN.THE MORE THE MERRIER.WOW, THIS IS ALL FITZROY HARBOUR.WHO IS LEFT?>> NOBODY.>> COME ON IN.THIS IS GREAT.>> OH, MY GOODNESS.WOW!OH!THANK YOU FOR THIS GREAT HONOUR.I HUMBLY ACCEPT THE WORLD FOR VOLUNTEERISM AND MAKES THIS AREA AND SURROUNDING AREA HOW ENGAGING WITH THE COMMUNITY ON VOLUNTEER BASIS CAN BUILD STRONG PILLARS TO MAKE IT SURVIVE.I COME FROM A SMALL TOWN FITZROY HARBOUR AND DON'T LET THE FACT THAT BECAUSE WE'RE A SMALL VILLAGE WE DON'T HAVE IMPACT.THERE ARE DOZENS OF WARDS AND SMALL TOWNS IN THE GREATER OTTAWA AREA AND EACH HAVE VOLUNTEERS THAT WORK TO BUILD A STRONGER COMMUNITY.THE SUM OF THESE PIECES REPRESENT THE BUILDING BLOCK TO WHAT WE HAVE IN THE CITY OF OTTAWA.THE CITY RULES BY GOVERNANCE BY STRENGTH IS FEW VALUES SPANNED IN THE DIVERSITY OF ITS CITIZENS AND COMMITMENT TO GIVE UP THEIR PERSONAL TIME TO VOLUNTEER WORK.I'M PROUD OF WHAT I'VE ACHIEVED OVER MANY YEARS OF VOLUNTEERING IN MY COMMUNITY.IT HELPED BUILD SOCCER FIELDS AND BALL FIELDS AND CHILDREN'S AND SENIORS ACTIVITIES AND MOST OF ALL LASTING FRIENDSHIPS AMONGST OUR RESIDENTS.WE'RE A SMALL PART BY THE SPIRIT OF VOLUNTEERING ACROSS ALL COMMUNITIES BUILDS A STRONG SOCIETY WHICH I'VE BEEN COMMITTED TO.AGAIN, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS AWARD.I WILL CHERISH FOR FOREVER AND PROUDLY DISPLAY WHAT VOLUNTEERS CAN ACHIEVE THROUGH ENGAGEMENT WITH THEIR INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITIES.[ CHEERS AND APPLAUSE ]>> ROLL CALL, PLEASE, MADAM DEPUTY CLERK.>> COUNCILLOR LEIPER. >> HERE. >> COUNCILLOR HARDER.>> PRESENT.>> COUNCILLOR EL-CHANTIRY.>> PRESENT.>>

COUNCILLOR QADRI.>> PRESENT.>> COUNCILLOR CHIARELLI.>> HERE.>>  
COUNCILLOR DEANS.>> HERE.>> COUNCILLOR TIERNEY. >> HERE. >>  
COUNCILLOR FLEURY. >> HERE.>> COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY.>>  
PRESENT.>> COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.>> HERE.>> COUNCILLOR  
BROCKINGTON.>> PRESENT.>> COUNCILLOR CLOUTIER. >>  
HERE. >> COUNCILLOR CHERNUSHENKO. >> HERE.>>  
COUNCILLOR MOFFATT.>> HERE.>> COUNCILLOR HUBLEY.>> HERE.  
>> MAYOR WATSON. >> HERE.>> YOU HAVE A QUORUM.>> CONFIRMATION OF  
MINUTES.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]CARRIED.DECLARATION OF INTEREST  
INCLUDING THOSE RISING FROM PRIOR MEETINGS.COMMUNICATIONS AS  
PRESENTED.WE HAVE ONE REGRET COUNCILLOR MITIC IS NOT ABLE TO  
ATTEND TODAY'S MEETING.MOTION TO INTRODUCE REPORTS.COUNCILLOR  
NUSSBAUM.>> OTTAWA BOARD OF HEALTH REPORT 14 D COMMUNITY  
REPORT 34 AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT 15 AND 32 AND FINANCE ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT REPORT 32 AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT 32  
BE RECEIVED AND CONSIDERS AND THAT THE RULES AND PROCEDURE BE  
SUSPENDED TO RECEIVE AND CONSIDER THE REPORT FROM THE CITY CLERK  
AND SOLICITOR'S OFFICE ENTITLED SUMMARY OF ORAL AND WRITTEN PUBLIC  
SUBMISSIONS OF ITEMS TO THE PLANNING ACT EXPLANATION  
REQUIREMENTS AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING APRIL 25TH, 2018 SO IT IS  
CONSIDERED BY APPLICATION WHILE THE APPLICATION IS STILL WITHIN ITS  
APPEAL PERIOD.>> THE MOTION, CARRIED.REPORTS.OTTAWA BOARD OF  
HEALTH REPORT.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> HOLD PLEASE.I HAVE A  
DIRECTION FOR STAFF ON THAT.>> SO THAT WAS COUNCILLOR.I SHOULD -- I  
BELIEVE Dr. EDGES IS HERE.WHERE IS Dr. EDGES?WELCOME.WE JUST  
RECEIVED GREAT NEWS YESTERDAY MORNING THAT THE MINISTER OF  
HEALTH AND LONG-TERM CARE IS OFFICIALLY SIGNED OFF ON THE  
APPOINTMENT OF Dr. EDGES.SO CONGRATULATIONS.OUR NEW MEDICAL  
OFFICER OF HEALTH.[ APPLAUSE ]>> SO WE'LL HOLD AND COME BACK TO  
THAT.COMMITTEE REPORTS.AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE  
REPORT NUMBER 34.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]WE HAVE MOTIONS ON THAT.SO  
WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT.NEXT IS CITY OF OTTAWA BYLAW ZONING BYLAW  
2008-250 OMNIBUS AMENDMENTS.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]WE HAVE TO THE  
ARAC AND PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT.SITE ALTERATION  
BYLAW.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT NUMBER  
15.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Mr. MAYOR -->> YOU WANT TO SAY A WORD OF  
THANKS.>> THANK YOU.I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO.I WOULD

JUST LIKE TO TAKE THIS CHANCE TO THANK THE AUDITOR GENERAL KEN HUGHES AND TEAM FOR THE EXCELLENT WORK ON THE VERY DIFFICULT AUDIT. TYPICALLY WITH AUDITS WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT WITH NUMBERS, AND IN THIS CASE WHAT THE AUDITOR GENERAL AND HIS TEAM HAD TO DELVE INTO WAS WHAT MAKES A DIFFERENCE EVERY DAY TO PEOPLE IN LONG-TERM CARE AND THAT'S OUR PARENTS, OUR GRANDPARENTS, AND FAMILY MEMBERS. SO IT WAS A VERY DIFFICULT AUDIT. AND I'M HAPPY TO SAY THAT IN COOPERATION WITH THE MANAGER DENNIS BORELEE THAT ALL THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE BEING ADAPTED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> THANK YOU TO THE MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE ON THE REPORT. CARRIED. COMMUNITY OF SERVICES REPORT NUMBER 32. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] LONG-TERM CARE SERVICES THIRD PARTY REVIEW. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] CARRIED. THANK YOU FOR THE GOOD WORK ON THE PART OF THE COMMITTEE AND THE STAFF. ITEM NUMBER 7 EMERGENCY SHELTERS ROOMS AND AGREEMENT WITH A PRIVATE HOTEL AND MOTELS. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NUMBER 34. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] ITEM NUMBER 8, 2018 OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGET STATUS REPORT. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] CARRIED. CONGRATULATIONS TO OUR STAFF. ITEM NUMBER 9 DONATIONS TO THE CITY FOR COMMUNITY BENEFIT POLICY. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] CARRIED. ITEM NUMBER 10. ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT 740 SPRING LAND DRIVE. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON, DID YOU WANT TO HOLD THIS? ON THE REPORT? CARRIED. ITEM NUMBER 11, ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT 473 ALBERT STREET. [ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ] COUNCILLOR HARDER HAS A MOTION SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY. IF YOU LIKE TO INTRODUCE THAT, THE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT. >> VERY TECHNICAL AND THE SAME SPACE IN TWO PLACES READS AS A DIFFERENT NUMBER. IT'S CORRECTING THAT. AND IT WAS INTENDED THAT 410 SQUARE FEET, SQUARE METRE GROSS FLOOR WAS TO APPLY TO THE BANK USE AND THERE WAS ANOTHER NUMBER IN IT THAT NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED. 30 SQUARE METRES WHICH WOULD BE VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE. ANYWAYS, THAT'S WHAT IT IS. TECHNICAL TO CHANGE THAT. >> THIS IS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARDER AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY? ON THE REPORT? CARRIED. ON THE REPORT AS AMENDMENT CARRIED. >> 231 A PROPERTY IN THE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND APPLICATION FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION ON THE SAME SITE. WE HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCILLOR FLEURY AND BY COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM F YOU

LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE MOTION, PLEASE.>> THANK YOU,  
Mr. MAYOR.WHEREAS THE REPORT WAS CONSIDERED AND CARRIED BY  
PLANNING COMMITTEE AT THE MEETING OF APRIL 24TH, 2018 AND THE CITY  
WISHES TO SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF THE INITIATIVE AND THE CITY HAS  
THE LEGAL AUTHORITY UNDER THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT TO REQUIRE  
ACCOMMODATION AS CONDITION OF APPROVAL OF A NEW CONSTRUCTION  
AND WHEREAS A COME PEN RATING THE BUILDING AT 231 COBOURG STREET  
IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE NAMING POLICY OF MUNICIPAL PARKS AND STREETS  
AND FACILITIES BECAUSE IT IS PRIVATELY OWNED AND REQUIRED THE  
OWNER TO BEAR THE COST OF NEW CONSTRUCTION OF PHYSICALLY  
COMMEMORATING THE HISTORIC SIGNIFICANCE OF THE BUILDING TO BE  
DEMOLISHED OF 231 COBOURG STREET LESTER B PEARSON WORK TO PRIME  
MINISTER ROWE AND THE SATISFACTION OF MANAGER OF RIGHT OF WAY  
HERITAGE AND URBAN DESIGN.>> CARRIED ON THE MOTION.ON THE REPORT  
AS AMENDED?CARRIED.SENT BY LOOKER AND MCKENNEY AND FLURRY AND  
MOFFATT.ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT 231 COBOURG.[ SPEAKING IN  
FRENCH ]CARRIED.THE SAME DISSENTS?THE SAME DISSENTS NOTED.NO  
DISSENTS?GREAT.TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT NUMBER 32  
PARKING SERVICES 2017 ANNUAL REPORT.[ SPEAKING IN  
FRENCH ]RECEIVED.ITEM 15 LEITRIM ROAD REALIGNMENT AND BANK STREET  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY RECOMMENDATION.[ SPEAKING IN  
FRENCH ]ADOPTEE.MERCI.KANATA LIGHT PLANNING, MOODIE DRIVE TO  
HAZELDEAN ROAD.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY HAS I  
BELIEVE A DIRECTION TO STAFF THAT SHE WANTS TO RAISE.>> CAN WE HOLD  
THAT TOO FOR QUESTIONS.>> WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT, THEN.EXCUSE  
ME.ITEM NUMBER 17 BIKE SHARING AGREEMENTS.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>>  
CITY CLERK AND SOLICITOR.SUMMARY OF ORAL AND WRITTEN PUBLIC  
SUBMISSIONS FOR ITEMS SUBJECT TO THE PLANNING ACT.EXPLANATION  
REQUIREMENTS AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 25TH,  
2018.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]CARRIED.DOES ANYONE WISH TO REMOVE  
ANYTHING FROM THE BULK CONSENT AGENDA AT THIS TIME?NO?ON THE  
BULK CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED?CARRIED.GO BACK TO THE FIRST  
ITEM THAT WAS HELD.ITEM NUMBER 1 PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH TO  
REDUCING HARMS FROM GAMBLING IN OTTAWA, COUNCILLOR EGLI I BELIEVE  
HAS A MOTION.>> RATHER A DIRECTION TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE.JUST A  
QUICK BACKGROUND, I WANT TO THANK THE OPH STAFF FOR MOVING VERY  
QUICKLY ON THIS.OVER THE LAST DAY OR SO, WE ENTERED INTO

DISCUSSIONS ABOUT CREATING A LETTER OF COMMITMENT WITH HARD ROCK SIMILAR IN NATURE TO WHAT WAS ARRIVED THROUGH COUNCILLOR'S INTERVENTION BUT THIS TIME ISSUES OF CONCERN RELATED TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF AN EXPANSION OF THE GAMBLING FACILITY AND GAMBLING IN GENERAL.I WON'T READ THE WHOLE LETTER, IT COMMITS HARD ROCK AND OLG TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH PUBLIC HEALTH ON THE FOUR POINT PLAN AND IN PARTICULAR TO PARTICIPATE IN OTTAWA IN THE OTTAWA PREVENTION GAMBLING NETWORK AND CONTRIBUTE TO A LOCAL CAMPAIGN AND SHARE LOCAL DATA TO REDUCE HARMS IN OUR COMMUNITY ONGOING WORK AND ADDRESSING AREAS IMPROVEMENT AS OUTLINES IN THE RECENT RG CHECKLIST.SO I THINK THAT'S GOOD NEWS.AND I WANT TO THANK ALL THE PARTY FOR DOING THAT.SO THE DIRECTION AND I'LL PROVIDE A WRITTEN VERSION OF IT TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE SHORTLY IS DIRECTION OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE TO CIRCULATE THE LETTER OF COMMITMENT DATED MAY 9TH, 2018 FROM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY OLG AND JEFF HOOK VP DEVELOPMENT AND MARKETING HARD ROCK CASINO.THANK YOU TO PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE HARD ROCK AND OLG MOVING QUICKLY AND RECOGNIZING WITH THE EXPANSION COMES FURTHER HEALTH CONCERNS AND WILLINGNESS TO WORK ON ADDRESSING THOSE HEALTH CONCERNS.THANK YOU VERY MUCH.>> SO THAT DIRECTION IS TO STAFF.I BELIEVE ALSO IT COMES AT THE NEXT ITEM BUT A COPY OF THE LETTER FROM RIDEAU CARLETON RACEWAY CASINO WAS SENT TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WITH INFRASTRUCTURE ASSISTS AND SO ON.I THINK EVERY ONE HAS A COPY OF THAT AS WELL.COUNCILLOR HAS THE FLOOR PLEASE.>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH Mr. MAYOR.I WANT TO THANK THE COLLEAGUE FOR PUTTING THE DIRECTION FORWARD THIS MORNING.AS OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH HAS BEEN DISCUSSING THESE ISSUES AND RELATES ISSUES SIMILAR TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS THIS MORNING WITH HARD ROCK ON TWO OR THREE MEETINGS WE HAD AND THEY'RE IN FULL SUPPORT OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS, SO FROM A PUBLIC HEALTH PERSPECTIVE AS THE CHAIR, I SUPPORT THEM AND AS OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH DO SUPPORT THESE RECOMMENDATIONS GOING FORWARD.AS PART OF THE MOTION.THANK YOU Mr. MAYOR.>> OKAY, THANK YOU.ANYONE ELSE ON THIS ITEM?RECEIVED.NEXT ITEM THAT WAS HELD IS FROM ARAC ZONING BYLAW 4837 ALBION ROAD 3910 BANK STREET.WE HAVE COUNCILLOR HARDER WITH A MOTION SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MOFFATT, PLEASE. SORRY, COUNCILLOR.>> YES, WE HAVE A MOTION.COUNCILLOR MOFFATT AND

MYSELF. I WILL READ IT THEREFORE BE RESOLVED DIRECTS STAFF TO INITIATE BY LAW TO ESTABLISH SPECIFIC CRITERIA IN RESPECT OF ANY PROPOSED EXPANSION OF THE NUMBER OF GAMING TABLES AT HARD ROCK CASINO OTTAWA. AT 4837 ALBION ROAD. SO THAT ANY SUCH PROPOSAL IS HEARD BY AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND BE FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT ADDING TO THE NUMBER OF GAMING TABLES AT THIS LOCATION IF APPROVED SHOULD NOT BE ENACTED UNLESS THE APPLICANT FOR THE ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT AGREES IN WRITING TO THE IMPOSITION OF THE CRITERIA. AND THERE'S ALSO A FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT SHOULD THE AMENDMENT BE APPROVED BY COUNCIL, A WHOLE BUNCH OF -- ON THE ABILITY TO APPLY FROM MINOR VARIANCE FROM THE PROVISIONS OF THE BYLAW IN RESPECT OF THE LAND AND BUILDING AND STRUCTURE BEFORE THE SECOND ANNIVERSARY OF THE DAY ON WHICH THE BYLAW WAS AMENDED SHALL APPLY TO THE SITE SPECIFIC AMENDMENT AND COUNCIL WILL NOT GRANT A MINOR VARIANCE IN THIS TERM AND RECOMMEND THAT THE -- THIS IS TYPO 2018 TO 2022. IT'S NOT 2108. I WON'T BE HERE WHEN IT IS. AND MINOR VARIANCES TO BE SOUGHT. MARY ANNE MAY BE. I DON'T. IT CANNOT GO TO COMMITTEE FOR ADJUSTMENT AND COME BACK TO AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL. >> THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM AS A MOTION AS WELL. >> YEAH, I'M ON THE LIST TO ASK QUESTIONS OF STAFF ON THE REPORT. >> WE INTRODUCED MOTIONS SO EVERY ONE KNOWS. YOU ONLY HAVE ONE CHANCE TO SPEAK. IF YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE YOUR MOTION. >> I'M NOT TABLING THE MOTION YET. SO I HAVE QUESTIONS OF STAFF. >> I HAVE IT RIGHT HERE. >> I HAVE AN AMENDED MOTION THAT I WILL INTRODUCE LATER BUT I FIRST HAVE QUESTIONS OF STAFF ON THE ITEM. >> DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY OTHER MOTIONS? >> DO YOU HAVE A MOTION? SO COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM, QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS. YOU KNOW YOU HAVE FIVE MINUTES. >> THANK YOU. >> IF YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE A MOTION, YOU MIGHT WANT TO DO IT NOW. I WILL GET BACK ON THE LIST TO INTRODUCE A MOTION IF I HAVE ONE. BUT THE REASON FOR GETTING ON THE SPEAKER'S LIST NOW IS JUST ASK QUESTIONS OF STAFF ON THIS ITEM, SO I GUESS I'M CURIOUS TO BEGIN WITH JUST TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE BIT BETTER WHERE WE ARE NOW BEFORE THIS MOTION IS VOTED ON WITH REGARD TO THE ISSUES OF THE SLOTS CAP. I KNOW I HAD A PRIVATE EXCHANGE WITH CITY STAFF OVER THE LAST FEW WEEKS. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD



WHAT STAFF'S POSITION IS ON THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS BETWEEN THE CITY MANAGER AND OLG FROM THE FALL OF 2013 STATING AND AFFIRMING COUNCIL'S POSITION TO LIMIT GAMBLING OPTIONS AT RIDEAU RACEWAY TO 1250 SLOTS.WHAT IS STAFF'S VIEW ON THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS?>> Mr. MAYOR, Mr. MARK AND I UNDER TOOK ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE TO LOOK AT THAT AND TOOK ON THE CORRESPONDENCE AND NOT AWARE OF ANY SUCH COMMUNICATION.>> SORRY.I THINK THE CLERK MISHEARD THE QUESTION.THE QUESTION WAS, WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS FROM OCTOBER 8TH WHEN THE DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS WROTE TO THE CITY MANAGER?>> Mr. MAYOR I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY FURTHER EXCHANGE ON THAT MATTER.>> NO, I'M NOT ASKING IF THERE WAS ANY FURTHER EXCHANGE.I'M WONDERING WHAT THE STATUS OF THAT EXCHANGE OF LETTERS IS.>> DO YOU MEAN THE STATUS OF WHETHER IT WAS ANSWERED OR NOT?>> NO, NO, CURRENTLY BINDING ON -- IF OLG IS OF THE VIEW THAT IT WILL CONTINUE TO RESPECT MUNICIPAL VIEWS ON GAMBLING OPTIONS?HAVE WE HEARD ANYTHING SINCE -- LET ME STOP THERE.DO WE KNOW THAT THIS IS STILL A VALID POSITION FROM OLG?>> Mr. MAYOR, I'M NOT SURE WE'RE IN A POSITION TO RESPOND ON BEHALF OF OLG.I CAN SAY THAT COUNCIL HAS NOT ENACTED ANY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS RELATING TO THE NUMBER OF SLOTS THROUGH THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BYLAW AS COUNCIL HAD DONE THROUGH THE GAMING TABLES.COUNCIL DID DO IT ON THE TABLES BUT DID NOT THROUGH THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BYLAW ON THE NUMBER OF SLOTS MACHINES.I DON'T THINK STAFF CAN ANSWER ON BEHALF OF OLG.BUT I HOPE I ANSWERED THE QUESTIONS AS IT RELATES TO STATUS AS IT RELATES TO CITY BYLAWS.>> I WILL READ OUT THE KEY SENTENCE OLG WRITES TO THE CITY MANAGER IN 2013 AND SAYS THAT OLG WILL RESPECT MUNICIPALITY'S CHOICE REGARDING CONDITIONS FOR A CASINO FOR OTTAWA THIS MEANS THAT PRIVATE SECTOR SERVICE PROVIDERS WILL BE REQUIRED TO FOLLOW THE DECISION OF COUNCIL ARTICULATED IN THE RESOLUTION OF AUGUST 28TH, 2013 TO LIMIT GAMING OPTIONS AT RIDEAU CASINO TO 1250 SLOTS AND LIVE GAMES.WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THAT COMMITMENT NOW?>> Mr. MAYOR, I CAN ONLY REITERATE WHAT I PREVIOUSLY SAID.COUNCIL WHEN IT ENACTED ZONING BYLAW LIMIT ENACTED IT SOLELY ON THE GAMING TABLES AND DID NOT ENACT AS IT RELATED TO THE SLOT MACHINES.THIS PREDATES MY TIME HERE.Mr. SMITH CAN PROVIDE MORE

INFORMATION ON THAT IF REQUIRED.BUT THE STATUS AS IT RELATES TO COUNCIL'S POSITION TO THE ZONING BYLAW RELATED ONLY TO THE GAMING TABLES AT THIS POINT IN TIME.>> OKAY.SO Mr. O'CONNOR SENT ME A NOTE A FEW WEEKS AGO AND I WILL JUST QUOTE FROM IT, THAT THE SEPTEMBER 13TH, 2017 COUNCIL DECISION ON ONTARIO REGULATION 8112 QUOTE RESULTED IN THE CITY PERMITTING GAMING TO TAKE PLACE AT THE SITE SUBJECT ONLY TO SUCH LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN THE ZONING BYLAW OF THE CITY.IS THAT FAIRLY GOOD ENCAPSULATION OF STAFF'S POSITION ON THIS?>> YES, Mr. MAYOR.>> OKAY.SO WHAT I'M GATHERING FROM THAT IS THAT COUNCIL'S DECISION IN 2013 OUGHT TO HAVE BEEN ACCOMPANIED BY AN INTERNALLY INITIATED ZONING AMENDMENT TO ENSURE THAT THE COMMITMENT MADE IN THE LETTER HAD SOME WEIGHT IN TERMS OF THE CITY'S OWN BYLAWS?>> Mr. MAYOR, IT'S OUR OPINION THAT HAD COUNCIL CHOSEN TO ENACT A PERFORMANCE STANDARD CAP IN THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BYLAW REVIEW HAS IT HAD DONE WITH THE TABLES THAT POSITION WOULD HAVE BEEN SOLIDIFIED BUT IT WAS NOT DONE Mr. MAYOR.>> SO JUST SO THAT I UNDERSTAND IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING WHAT ADVICE STAFF WOULD HAVE, SO THE NEXT TIME COUNCIL IS IN A POSITION OF MAKING A DECISION THAT STAFF FEEL IS NOT ENFORCEABLE BY VIRTUE OF A GAP IN THE CITY'S BYLAWS, WHAT WOULD BE THE BEST THING FOR COUNCIL TO DO TO ENSURE THE ENFORCEABILITY OF EXCHANGE OF LETTERS OF THE KIND THAT WERE SENT IN 2013?SHOULD IT BE A COUNCIL INITIATED?SHOULD COUNCIL INITIATE REPORT AND BYLAW AMENDMENT WOULD THAT BE THE RIGHT THING TO DO OR STAFFING RESPONSIBILITY?>> THERE ARE TWO SCENARIOS THAT CAN COME TO PLAY IN THIS SITUATION.IF APPLICANT MAKES APPLICATION SEEKING RELIEF OF PERFORMANCE STANDARDS COUNCIL CAN WEIGH THE DECISION.IF ABSENCE OF PERFORMANCE STANDARD CITY SHOULD INITIATE ZONING REVIEW BUT THE MATTER.>> BY COUNCIL THAT WOULD BE INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLOR.>> Mr. MAYOR NORMALLY IT'S PRACTICE THAT COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL DIRECT STAFF TO DIRECT CITY INITIATED REVIEW AND NOT OUR PRACTICE TO INITIATED IT WITHOUT DIRECTION.>> DO YOU THINK IT'S FAIR TO ASSUME THAT COUNCIL WHEN IT SENT -- WHEN AUTHORIZED THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS THAT IT THOUGHT THAT THAT EXCHANGE OF LETTER WOULD BE ENFORCEABLE IN THE CITY'S OWN BYLAWS.>> Mr. MAYOR I'M NOT IN THE POSITION TO ANSWER THE QUESTION AND I WAS NEITHER HERE AT THE TIME NOR CAN I OPINE ON COUNCIL'S INTENT.I KNOW THAT COUNCIL DID ACTUALLY

REQUIRE THE CAP ON THE GAMING TABLES.SO MY ONLY MY ASSUMPTION WOULD BE COUNCIL DID SEEK TO ENFORCE THAT MATTER WHEN THE OPPORTUNITY PRESENTED ITSELF.>> OKAY.IN LIGHT OF THE WRITTEN COMMENT THAT PASSING THE DECISION ON ONTARIO REGULATION 8112 RESULTED IN THE CITY PERMITTING GAMING TO TAKE PLACE AT THE SUBJECT SITE, I'M TAKING FROM THAT THAT WAS AN IMPORTANT MOMENT IN THIS FILE.YET, WHEN ASKED WHAT THE STATUS OF THAT DECISION WAS AT THE TIME ON SEPTEMBER 13TH, STAFF ANSWERED THAT THIS WAS LARGELY A REAFFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL'S DECISIONS.THAT WAS THE CORRECT ANSWER TO THE QUESTION WHEN IT WAS ASKED ON SEPTEMBER 13TH?>> Mr. MAYOR I'M GOING TO READ FROM THE RESPONSE THAT Mr. MARK AND I CRAFTED FROM THE COUNCILLOR WHICH I BELIEVE THIS IS QUESTION NUMBER 3.AND AS STATED IN RESPONSE TO AN EARLIER QUESTION THE FINANCE AND ECONOMIC COMMITTEE REPORT 27 ITEM 5 WAS NOT AFFIRMATION OF COUNCIL DECISION ON SEPTEMBER 13TH, 2017.THE ADOPTION OF THE REPORT RESULTED IN THE CITY PERMITTING GAMING TO TAKE PLACE AT THE SITE SUBJECT TO SUCH LIMITATIONS AS CONTAINED IN THE ZONING BYLAW OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA.A REFLECTION OF THIS INTERPRETATION WAS THERE WAS NO OBJECTION MADE BY THE CITY TO THE ABILITY OF THE HARD ROCK CASINO OTTAWA TO SEEK A MINOR VARIANCE TO GO FROM 21 TABLES TO 35 TABLES BEFORE THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT.>> YEAH, THANK YOU.SO THE ADOPTION OF THE REPORT WAS AN IMPORTANT MOMENT IN SUBSTANCE THEN, CORRECT?>> Mr. MAYOR, I CAN'T IN HINDSIGHT DESCRIBE WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS AN IMPORTANT MOMENT IN THE OVERALL FILE BUT NOT REAFFIRMATION OF COUNCIL'S EARLIER DECISION ON SEPTEMBER 13TH, 2017.>> CORRECT.BUT IN ANSWER TO COUNCILLOR EGLI'S QUESTION ON THAT TIME, YOU STATED IT WAS LARGELY A REAFFIRMATION.SO I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHETHER IT WAS REAFFIRMATION OR WHETHER IT WAS NOT A REAFFIRMATION.>> Mr. MAYOR, IT WAS NOT A REAFFIRMATION.>> OKAY.>> SO IN SAYING IT WAS LARGELY A REAFFIRMATION ON SEPTEMBER 13TH, THAT WAS NOT THE CORRECT ANSWER TO THE MATTER THAT WAS PUT TO STAFF AT THAT TIME, CORRECT?>> Mr. MAYOR, I HAVEN'T GONE BACK AND LISTENED TO THE TAPE RECENTLY OF WHAT I SAID, IF THE COUNCILLOR IS CORRECT, I WOULD AGREE WITH HIM.>> OKAY.WELL, I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND.I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING WHEN THIS HAPPENS AGAIN THAT COUNCIL IS FULLY APPRAISED OF WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF ITS

DECISIONS ARE AND GIVEN THAT I WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER ON THAT DAY BECAUSE IT WAS DEEMED A TECHNICALITY I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY BECAUSE IT APPARENTLY WAS A TECHNICALITY.I WILL GET BACK TO THIS ISSUE.I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE A MOTION SHORTLY.I SEE MY TIME IS UP.THANK YOU.>> DID ANY MEMBER OF COUNCIL RAISE THE POSSIBILITY OF CAPPING NUMBER OF SLOTS IN THE DEBATE ON SEPTEMBER.>> NO, Mr. MAYOR.>> THANK YOU.>> COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY.>> THANK YOU, Mr. MAYOR.I'M JUST GOING BACK TO THE ADDITION THAT WAS PLACED IN THE ONTARIO REGULATION 8112 BY COUNCILLOR DEANS AND IT WAS THE DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT 3 FROM AUGUST 28TH, 2013, AND THAT DOCUMENT AT THE TIME DIRECTED OLG BE INFORMED THAT THE CITY OF OTTAWA RECOMMEND THAT THE SUCCESSFUL PROPONENT ARISING FROM THE SUCCESS FOR PROPOSAL IMPLEMENT CERTAIN PUBLIC HEALTH MEASURES THAT WOULD BE INTENDED TO REDUCE THE RISK OF PROBLEM GAMBLING.I'M JUST GOING TO ASK IF IN FACT THESE CONDITIONS ARE IN PLACE FOR THE EXPANDED CASINO THAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US TODAY.HAVE THEY LIMITED THE HOURS OF CASINO OPERATION?NO 24 HOUR ACCESS TO VENUES CLOSED AT LEAST 6 HOURS PER DAY?>> NOTICE THE QUESTION ABOUT PUBLIC HEALTH PRINCIPLES I WILL RESPOND.>> OKAY, SURE.>> THE FOUR POINT PLAN THAT OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH PUT FORWARD IS ONE THAT HARD ROCK OTTAWA IS COMMITTED TO SUPPORTING AND CARRYING OUT.SO WE HAVE THAT IN WRITING.AND IT IS A STRONG PLAN.IT'S STRONGER THAN THE INITIATIVE THAT WAS PUT FORWARD IN 2013 THAT REALLY FOCUSED ON JUST THE GAMBLING CASINO ENVIRONMENT.AND PROBLEM GAMBLING.>> THAT WASN'T MY QUESTION.>> SO THE PRINCIPLE -->> I HAVE A VERY SPECIFIC QUESTION.>> YEAH.>> AND THE QUESTION IS, HAS HARD ROCK CASINO OTTAWA PUT IN PLACE THE PUBLIC HEALTH MEASURE THAT IS INTENDED TO REDUCE THE RISK OF PROBLEM GAMBLING THAT IS LIMITING THE HOURS OF CASINO OPERATION WHERE THEY ARE CLOSED AT LEAST 6 HOURS PER DAY?I THINK IT'S JUST A YES OR NO ACTUALLY.>> SURE.THE EVIDENCE HAS EVOLVED SINCE 2013 AND SO SOME OF THESE POLICY MEASURES LIKE 24 HOURS OF OPENING DO NOT NECESSARILY HAVE -->> I'M TAKING YOU'RE SAYING NO.>> COUNCILLOR IF YOU ALLOW HER TO ANSWER THE QUESTION AND GIVE RESPECT TO THE -->> I'M RUNNING OUT OF TIME AS I ASK MY QUESTION OVER AND OVER.>> IF YOU TALK THE CLOCK RUNS.>> WHEN SHE TALKS -->> SO THE COMMITMENT WE HAVE IS CONTINUE TO IMPROVE THE RESULTS ON THE RG ACCREDITATION PROGRAM THAT

INCLUDES THE HOURS OF OPERATION.THEY'RE COMMITTED TO WORKING WITH US TO IMPROVE THEIR ACCREDITATION RESULTS WHICH DO SPEAK TO THE HOURS OF OPERATION.>> OKAY.SO I WILL TAKE THAT AS A NO.HAVE THEY RESTRICTED THE NUMBER OF ELECTRONIC GAMING MACHINES BY SLOWING DOWN THE MACHINE SPEED OF PLAY AND PROHIBITING FEATURES THAT PROMOTE FALSE BELIEFS OF THE ODDS OF WINNING.>> THAT IS PART OF WHAT WE'RE DISCUSSING THE GAMBLING HARM PREVENTION NETWORK.THERE ARE FEATURES THAT OLG IS INTRODUCING THAT PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION TO PLAYERS ABOUT THE RISKS THEY'RE GETTING INTO.>> HAVE THEY ELIMINATED THE CASINO LOYALTY PROGRAM.>> ALL OF THESE ELEMENTS ARE PART OF WHAT THEY'RE COMMITTED TO CONTINUING TO DISCUSS WITH US.>> HAVE THEY PROHIBITED ATMs ON THE GAMBLING FLOOR?>> WE DO NOT HAVE SPECIFIC ANSWER ON THESE THINGS RIGHT NOW.THIS IS PART OF WHAT THEY'RE COMMITTED TO LOOKING AT WITH US.>> HAVE THEY PROHIBITED CASINO CREDIT AND HOLDING ACCOUNTS AND HAVE THEY REDUCED THE MAXIMUM BET SIZE?>> SO THE SAME ANSWER.>> OKAY.AND DO WE KNOW IF THERE WILL BE SHUTTLES RUNNING BETWEEN ANY SENIORS RESIDENTS AND THE HARD ROCK CASINO?>> Mr. MAYOR, OUR UNDERSTANDING IN TERMS OF THE SHUTTLE SERVICE IS THE SHUTTLE SERVICE IS PROVIDED BETWEEN THE GREENSBORO TRANSIT STATION AND THE CASINO FACILITY.I'M NOT AWARE AND WE HAVEN'T BEEN MADE AWARE OF SHUTTLES BETWEEN SENIORS RESIDENTS AND THE FACILITY.>> I HAVE A LETTER FROM THE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE IN MY WARD YESTERDAY QUITE CONCERNED AND REITERATING THEIR POSITION OF 2013, THEY HAVE A PROBLEM GAMBLING PROGRAM SPECIFICALLY FOR OLDER ADULTS.WE KNOW THAT SENIORS ARE HARDER HIT BY PROGRAM GAMBLING AT GAMBLING TABLES AND ELECTRONIC GAMBLING TABLES.AND THEY HAVE ASSERTED WITH ME ANY WAY AND I CAN READ IT OUT LOUD OR I CAN CERTAINLY FORWARD IT TO ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT THAT THEY WILL NEED ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TO, YOU KNOW, ADDRESS THE INCREASE IN PROBLEM GAMBLING IN THE CATCHMENT AREA.HAVE YOU HEARD THAT FROM CENTRE TOWN COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE?I HAVE THE VERY SPECIFIC EMAIL THAT I'M HAPPY TO SHARE.>> SURE.WE DID CONSULT WITH ALL OF THE TREATMENT AGENCIES THAT SUPPORT PEOPLE WITH PROBLEM GAMBLING IN OTTAWA.WHAT THEY TOLD US WAS THAT THEY SEE THE BIGGEST GAP IS AROUND PREVENTION AND AWARENESS.SO THEY MENTIONED THEY DON'T HAVE WAIT TIMES RIGHT NOW FOR SERVICE FOR TREATMENT FOR PROBLEM

GAMBLING.WHAT THEY SAID IS THAT PROBABLY POINTS TO PEOPLE NOT KNOWING THAT THERE ARE SUPPORTS AVAILABLE AND THEY'RE NOT COMING FORWARD.THEY DON'T IDENTIFY WHEN THERE'S A RISK.THAT'S WHY OUR PLAN IS TO WORK WITH THESE TREATMENT PROVIDERS AND THEY HAVE AGREED, THEY SUPPORT THIS PLAN TO FOCUS ON PREVENTION AND AWARENESS OF TREATMENT OPTIONS.AS WELL AS BOLSTER THE SURVEILLANCE NETWORK TO BE ABLE TO ASSESS IS THERE A CHANGE IN THE PATTERNS, ARE WE SEEING INCREASE IN THE NEED?THEY WERE IN SUPPORT OF THE FOUR POINT PLAN WE PUT FORWARD.IF THERE IS AN INCREASE IN DEMAND, THEN WE DO KNOW THE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRES ARE FUNDED THROUGH THE LOCAL HEALTH INTEGRATION NETWORK AND THEY WOULD WELCOME ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR TREATMENT.>> SO THE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE WAS CLEAR WITH ME THAT IN FACT TO BE ABLE TO MEET THE DEMAND, THEY ACTUALLY HAVE TO MOVE RESOURCES FROM OTHER AREAS.SO IT IS CLEAR THAT THIS IS GOING TO HAVE AN IMPACT ESPECIALLY ON SENIORS AND OLDER ADULTS IN MY COMMUNITY AND I'M REALLY QUITE CONCERNED ABOUT IT.AND I'M CONCERNED THAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS NOT CONCERNED.THAT IS FOR ANOTHER DAY.I THINK SUGGESTS WE GO TO THE -- WHEN THERE ARE MANY, RIGHT NOW WE HAVE SEVERAL ASKS IN TO THE -- FOR FUNDING FOR DIFFERENT PROGRAMS IN THE COMMUNITY AND WE'RE NOT GETTING THOSE FUNDING AGREEMENTS -- OR THE FUNDING REQUESTS MET DOESN'T REALLY SATISFY ME.BUT THAT'S FOR ANOTHER TIME.I JUST WANT TO GO BACK NOW TO THE QUESTIONING FROM COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM AND THE LIMIT ON THE SLOTS.SO IF I'M CLEAR, THEN, IF WE INCLUDE A LIMIT OF 2000 SLOT MACHINES IN THE ZONING TODAY, IF WE AMEND THE EXEMPTION 528R TO INCLUDE 2000 SLOT MACHINES AND 55 GAMING TABLES, IT -- IF THE OLG DECIDED AT SOME POINT THEY WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK, THAT THEY WANTED TO INCREASE THAT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK TO COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL, IS THAT CORRECT?>> YES, Mr. MAYOR, THAT'S CORRECT.>> I HAVE A MOTION THEN Mr. MAYOR THAT I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE.I WILL GET BACK ON AFTER AND DO THAT.>> OKAY.COUNCILLOR CHERNUSHENKO.>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.A COUPLE OF POINTS I WANT TO RAISE AND REITERATE.I WON'T VOTE AGAINST THE REZONING MOTION TODAY BECAUSE IT IS QUITE SIMPLY THAT, A ZONING MOTION.MY CONCERNS THAT I HAVE ABOUT BOTH THE PROCESS WOULD FOLLOW I SUPPOSE THE TYPE OF QUESTIONS THAT COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM HAS BEEN RAISING.THERE WAS

CONSIDERABLE CONFUSION OVER WHETHER WE HAD THE ABILITY TO REQUEST A CAP AND THOUGHT WE WERE REQUESTING A CAP AND HAVE THIS RETURNED TO US AGAIN AND I BELIEVE THAT BETTER EXPLAINS WHY THERE WAS NO MOTION AT WHAT WE NOW LEARN TO HAVE BEEN IN THE TIME WHEN SOMEONE OUGHT TO HAVE RAISED A MOTION.AND SO I THINK THERE ARE IMPORTANT LESSONS IN PROCESS THAT I WOULD ASK STAFF TO REVIEW AND SEE WHAT GREATER CLARITY COULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED TO COUNCILLORS AT THE TIME.WE WERE TOLD AT VARIOUS STAGES THIS IS NOT YET THE RIGHT MOMENT.FOR EXAMPLE THAT LEADS ME TO THE SECOND POINT I MAKE HERE THAT ALL ALONG I HAD HEALTH AND ADDICTION CONCERNS ABOUT THIS AND SPOKE QUITE EXTENSIVELY AT BOARD OF HEALTH AND RAISED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND CONTINUE TO HOLD THOSE RESERVATIONS BUT THEY AREN'T ZONING QUESTIONS.I WILL CAN CONTINUE TO WORK AS A BOARD OF HEALTH MEMBER AND OTHERS ON THOSE AND CIRCLE OF LOGIC WE WERE SIMILARLY AT THE TIME TOLD THIS IS NOT THE TIME TO RAISE GAMBLING ISSUES AND WHAT CAN BE DO TO PROVIDE BETTER SERVICES.THAT IS FOR ANOTHER TIME.WE ARE LEFT SOME OF US ARE LEFT WITH FEELING LIKE WHEN EXACTLY WAS THAT RIGHT MOMENT TO HAVE DONE THIS?WAS THAT A LOOPHOLE?DID IT FALL BETWEEN THE CRACKS?THAT DOES CONCERN ME IN TERMS OF PROCESS, SO I DO WANT TO RAISE THIS TODAY.MY VOTE FOR REZONING ISN'T A HAPPY ONE.ISN'T A CARTE BLANCHE EVERYTHING WAS DONE GREAT.I DO WANT TO FLAG THOSE TWO CONCERNS.THANK YOU.>> THANK YOU.COUNCILLOR DEANS.>> THANK YOU Mr. MAYOR.LET ME JUST SAY THERE'S TWO BIG ISSUES FOR ME HERE.THERE'S ONE THE PRACTICAL WARD COUNCIL 1 IN TERMS OF THE TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT ISSUES AROUND THE SITE.I WANT TO THANK THE COMMITTEE WHO -- AND THE COUNCILLORS WHO WORKED WITH ME ON THIS AND I THINK THAT WE DID A PRETTY GOOD JOB AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF RECOMMENDATIONS COMING FORWARD THAT I'M QUITE HAPPY WITH.I'M A LITTLE FUZZED ABOUT THIS WHOLE LIMIT ON SLOTS AND I GUESS JUST GOING FORWARD WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS SOME WAY OF ENSURING THAT COUNCIL'S WILL CAN FIND A PATH FORWARD.I CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD THERE WAS GOING TO BE A LIMIT ON TABLES AND SLOTS IN 2013 WHEN 8112 CAME BACK AND I'M SURPRISED TO HEAR THAT Mr. O'CONNOR IS SAYING THAT AND I APPENDED THE MOTION AND IT HAD THE LIMIT ON SLOTS IN IT.I DON'T KNOW HOW WE COULDN'T HAVE BEEN AWARE OF IT.WHEN YOU LOOK AT GO FORWARD PLAN, HOW DO YOU MAKE SURE COUNCIL'S WILL AND WILL

NOW IS 200 SLOTS NOT MY BILL BUT I THINK THE WILL OF THE MAJORITY AND SEEMS TO BE THE WILL OF THE PROPONENT AND SAID AT ARAC IT WOULD BE 20 YEARS BEFORE THEY NEEDED MORE SLOTS. IF WE ALL HAVE A COLLECTIVE UNDERSTANDING HOW DO WE EMBED THAT COLLECTIVE UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS ISN'T GOING TO HAPPEN AGAIN AND WE FIND OUT A FEW YEARS FROM NOW THAT ACTUALLY WE DIDN'T DO IT RIGHT. I THINK THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED. WE -- THERE WAS A WILL OF COUNCIL, THERE WAS AN INTENTION, THE STATED INTENTION THAT THERE WOULD BE A CAP AT 1250 ON SLOTS AND SOMEHOW THAT SLIPPED AWAY FROM US AND THAT WENT AGAIN. IF IT'S 2000 NOW HOW DO WE GO ABOUT EMBEDDING IT SO EVERYBODY IS WORKING FROM THE SAME HYMN BOOK.>> COUNCIL CAN ENACT AMENDMENT OF ZONING BYLAW TO PUT A SLOT IN FOR TABLES AND IT'S AN AMENDMENT. MY ADVICE TO COUNCIL AND I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO ADVISE COUNCIL THAT MOVING A MOTION TODAY ON A MATTER THAT WAS NOT CONSIDERED DURING THE ZONING BYLAW REVIEW PROCESS EXPOSES COUNCIL TO APPEAL FOR IT BEING OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE OF THE AMENDMENT BEFORE IT TODAY. MY ADVICE TO COUNCIL SHOULD COUNCIL CHOOSE TO PROCEED WITH THIS MATTER IS DIRECT STAFF TO BRING A SUBSEQUENT CAP AMENDMENT GIVING US THE OPPORTUNITY TO FOLLOW DUE PROCESS TO REDUCE RISKS UNDER AN APPEAL.>> SO IT WOULDN'T BE PART OF A COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BYLAW? IT WOULD BE SORT OF A ONE OFF? IS THAT WHAT IT WOULD BE.>> Mr. MAYOR, COUNCIL HAS THE OPTION OF EITHER. COUNCIL HAS DIRECTED US IN THE PAST TO BRING FORWARD SITE SPECIFIC ZONING BYLAW MATTERS FOR REVIEW. COUNCIL COULD WAIT FOR NEXT COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BYLAW REVIEW TO DO IT. IT'S COUNCIL'S CHOICE Mr. MAYOR.>> Mr. O'CONNOR, YOU WANTED TO ADD SOMETHING.>> YES, Mr. MAYOR JUST TO INDICATE THAT PREFACE MY REMARKS, I DON'T HAVE ABSOLUTE ANSWER BUT WE ARE DOING GOVERNANCE FOR THE NEXT TERM OF COUNCIL Mr. MAYOR AND I WILL BE PURSUING THIS WITH EACH MEMBER OF COUNCIL TO FIND A BETTER SYSTEM SO THAT THIS DOESN'T SLIP THROUGH THE CRACK. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT, Mr. MARK IS NOT HERE HE WAS AT A HEARING THAT CONTINUED AND UNEXPECTEDLY OUT OF THE OFFICE. HE DID HOWEVER AT 9:39 THIS MORNING SEND ME THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION THAT I WANT TO SHARE WITH MEMBERS OF COUNCIL BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT Mr. MARK IS LIKE TALKING ABOUT THIS MOTION WITH REGARDS TO CAPS. HE SAID AND I QUOTE MY OPINION WOULD BE THAT WHILE THERE IS NO CASE LAW YET FROM LPAT ON AN AMENDMENT MADE AT COUNCIL NOT



RECOMMENDED IN THE STAFF REPORT, GIVEN THAT IT IS PROVIDING WHAT THE APPLICANT WISHES TO BUILD IT STANDS A REASONABLE CHANCE OF SUCCESS.>> I'M NOT -- CAN YOU JUST INTERPRET THAT FOR US.[ LAUGHTER ]>> I THINK WHAT Mr. MARK WAS TRYING TO ENCAPSULATE IS TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION WHAT THE GM SAID AND WHAT THE DRAFT MOTION THAT I SEEN SAYS.HE BELIEVES IF CHALLENGED SUBSEQUENTLY IN FRONT OF THE NEW TRIBUNAL IT WOULD HAVE REASONABLE CHANCE OF COUNCIL'S WILL UPHELD.I WANT TO SHARE THAT WITH YOU BEFORE YOU MADE THE VOTE.>> ANYTHING ELSE COUNCILLOR?>> NO, OKAY.>> THAT'S FINE.>> COUNCILLOR FLEURY.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: MY QUESTION IS ABOUT THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND I WAS CONFUSED ABOUT THE EARLIER DIRECTION WHEN I WAS IN PUBLIC HEALTH EARLIER WE WERE TALKING ABOUT GETTING MORE FUNDING AND NOW IT SEEMS TO BE AROUND 350,000 IF I REMEMBER.THERE SEEMS TO BE A GRAY ZONE HERE AND SEEMS THEY NEED MORE SUPPORT SERVICES FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE ADDICTED.CAN YOU REITERATE YOUR POSITION IF IT'S NOT THE SAME, CAN YOU CHANGE IT?OR WHAT CHANGED.[ END OF TRANSLATION ]>> WE ARE PUTTING FORWARD FOR THIS PLAN IS A MINIMUM.SO WE DID ADJUST OUR LETTER THAT WE WROTE TO THE PROVINCE AND FRAMED THIS AS A MINIMUM ASK.THE WORK THAT WE IDENTIFIED IN THE PLAN REMAINS THE SAME.WE NEED TO WORK ON OUTREACH OF POPULATIONS OF HIGHER RISK THAT INCLUDES SENIORS AND YOUTH AND WE KNOW WE NEED TO DO BETTER SURVEILLANCE, WE WANT TO AWARENESS OF WHAT ARE THE RISKS OF PROBLEM GAMBLING AND THE WAYS YOU CAN ACCESS TREATMENT.AND WE WANT TO HAVE A NETWORK.SO THE WORK IS THE SAME.WE COSTED THAT OUT.WE'RE CONFIDENT IN OUR REQUEST AS A MINIMUM OF \$200,000.WE ALSO CHECKED BACK WITH THE TREATMENT AGENCIES TO ASK IF THEY WERE LOOKING FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS.WE DID NOT HEAR THAT THEY WERE RECOMMENDING THAT THAT BE BUILT INTO THIS REQUEST SINCE WE'RE FOCUSING HERE ON PREVENTION AND THE PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH.THEY CERTAINLY WERE DEFINITELY INTERESTED IF WE GO FORWARD AND SEE INCREASING NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO HAVE ADDITIONAL FUNDS.BUT THAT'S A DIFFERENT AVENUE.[ SPEAKING IN FRENCH ]>> Voice of Translator: AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED FOR THOSE WHO FOLLOWED THE DEBATE AT PUBLIC HEALTH, THE DANGER IS THAT OLG IS ONLY LOOKING AT ISSUES RELATED TO ADDICTIONS AS OPPOSED TO ACCESS TO THE CASINO OR DEMAND WHERE WE'VE OBSERVED MORE PEOPLE WHO SPENT MONEY ONLINE THROUGH

OUTSIDE NETWORKS, OUTSIDE OF ONTARIO ALSO.IT CAN BE DONE THROUGH YOUR COMPUTER, THROUGH YOUR PHONE, AND THAT'S MY CONCERN.I THINK WE'VE GONE THROUGH THIS LIMIT THAT IS ACCESS TO GAMING, YOU CAN DO IT IN A CORNER STORE, AND HERE AGAIN THE -- HAVE SERVICES ONLINE.BUT IN A WORLD WHERE EVERYTHING IS ACCESSIBLE, I THINK WE HAVE TO FOCUS MORE ON WHAT WE CAN DO AT A COMMUNITY LEVEL IN ORDER TO SUPPORT PEOPLE.AND PROVIDE SERVICES WHEN THEY'RE AVAILABLE.SO I'M WILLING TO SUPPORT A MOTION WHERE WE WOULD PROVIDE MORE FUNDING FOR PREVENTION OR ESTABLISHING LIMITS ON THE NUMBER OF TABLES.BUT GAMING IS AVAILABLE EVERYWHERE.WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE IT.BUT ALSO IN URBAN DISTRICTS, WE HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN AVOIDING HAVING THOSE IN THE DOWNTOWN WHERE SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS ARE WORSE.SO I'M REASSURED BY THAT.THERE'S STILL DISCOMFORT.BUT IT'S RELATED TO THE PEOPLE WHO ARE ADDICTED TO GAMING.SO WE WANT TO SEE WHAT WE CAN DO IN TERMS OF PUBLIC HEALTH.AND OLG.AND ALSO FOR THE LYNN SO IT THAT WON'T BE JUST A GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION AS TO ACCESS TO GAMING, TO CASINOS, AND THE LEGITIMATE SERVICES OF OLG, BUT PEOPLE WHO ARE ADDICTED TO GAMING AND WHAT WE CAN DO TO -- I'M NOT LOOKING FOR AN ANSWER.IT'S JUST A COMMENT.THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION.[ END OF TRANSLATION ]>> GAMBLING THAT HAPPENS OUTSIDE OF CASINOS AND THAT'S WHY I SAY THIS APPROACH IN 2018 IS STRONGER THAN IN 2013.OUR OUTREACH IS EXACTLY THERE ON THE GROUND GOING OUT TO MEET WITH YOUTH, WORKING WITH SENIORS GROUPS, AND IT'S TO COVER ALL FORMS OF GAMBLING.WE KNOW THAT ONLINE IS IMPORTANT.WE KNOW THAT LOTTERY TICKETS CAN BE A BURDEN ON SENIORS.SO THESE ARE ALL ASPECTS THAT WE WANT TO WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS, WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS THAT ARE AFFECTED AND FIND MORE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACHES.THE NETWORK IS MEANT TO DO THAT AS YOU SUGGESTED TO BRING EVERY ONE TOGETHER TO LOOK AT A MORE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH.>> COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM HAS A MOTION.>> YES, THANK YOU Mr. MAYOR.I WANT TO INTRODUCE A MAYOR -- A MAYOR?A MOTION.HELLO.HIS NAME IS JIM WATSON.A MOTION VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT I SENT OUT LAST NIGHT BUT WITH A COUPLE OF IMPORTANT CHANGES.THE PURPOSE OF THE MOTION IS TO RESPOND TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCY REPORT WHICH WAS TABLED IN FRONT OF THE BOARD IN APRIL WHERE THERE WAS A VERY CLEAR STATEMENT THAT OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH RECOMMENDS AGAINST INCREASING ACCESS AND AVAILABILITY OF

GAMBLING IN OTTAWA INCLUDING SLOTS AND GAMING TABLES AS INCREASING ACCESS AND AVAILABILITY HAS BEEN SHOWN TO INCREASE PREVALENCE OF PROBLEM GAMBLING.[ PLEASE STAND BY ] SO THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS MOTION. THE 2% IS NOT DRAWN RANDOMLY. THAT'S THE NUMBER THAT O.L.G. PROVIDES IN TERMS OF ITS GROSS REVENUES TO THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND LONG-TERM CARE. INTERESTINGLY, IF YOU TAKE THE ROUGH NUMBERS THAT WE GET, WE'RE LOOKING AT REALLY AN AMOUNT OF ABOUT \$100,000 IN LET'S SAY 2019 REVENUES, BUILDING UP TO SOMETHING CLOSER TO 250,000 IF HARD ROCK WERE TO ACHIEVE THE FULL INCREASE IN REVENUE THAT IT ANTICIPATES SHOULD THIS REPORT BE APPROVED. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT FAIRLY SMALL NUMBERS, NUMBERS THAT MATCH VERY MUCH WHAT THE FOUR-POINT PLAN HAD ANTICIPATED. NOW, AN IMPORTANT CHANGE FROM LAST NIGHT, AND I THINK THIS IS GOING TO BE HELPFUL IN ADDRESSING THE CONCERN OF A FEW COLLEAGUES WHO APPROACHED ME ABOUT THIS. IS THAT STAFF POINTED OUT THAT WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO BIND A FUTURE TERM OF COUNCIL. YOU'LL SEE IN THE OPERATIVE CLAUSE THAT WHAT WE'RE ASKING STAFF TO DO HERE IS CONSIDER IN THE 2019 DRAFT BUDGET, PUTTING THIS AMOUNT FORWARD. AND THIS HAS AN IMPORTANT VALUE, I THINK, BECAUSE BY THEN, I'M SURE THE CHAIR OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH BOARD WILL HAVE HEARD FROM THE PROVINCE WHETHER OR NOT FUNDING IS ACTUALLY MADE AVAILABLE AS PER THE MOTION AT PUBLIC HEALTH A FEW WEEKS BACK. BECAUSE I GOT THE SENSE THAT THERE WERE SOME COLLEAGUES AROUND THE TABLE WHO SUPPORT GETTING PROVINCIAL FUNDING BUT MAY NOT SUPPORT ADDITIONAL FUNDING FROM THE MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENT. SO THE GOOD THING ABOUT THIS CHANGE IS, FOR THOSE WHO ARE AROUND THIS TABLE IN 2019, EVERYONE WILL HAVE AN EXCELLENT SENSE OF WHETHER THE PROVINCE IS FUNDING THE REQUESTED ASK. IF IT IS, THEN THOSE WHO DON'T WANT TO PUT ADDITIONAL MONIES TOWARDS TREATMENT CAN VOTE AGAINST ADDITIONAL MONIES. THOSE WHO THINK THAT PUTTING AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 INTO PREVENTION AND TREATMENT WOULD BE A GOOD THING CAN VOTE IN FAVOUR OF IT. SO IT'S GIVING PEOPLE THE CHOICE TO WAIT TO HEAR WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE PROVINCE BEFORE VOTING FINALLY, WHETHER THEY WOULD BE IN FAVOUR OF ALLOCATING 2% OF THE 5, UP TO \$12 MILLION WE'LL BE GETTING OVER THE COMING YEARS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH PURPOSES WITH, FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT. I'LL STOP THERE. >> COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY HAS A MOTION. DO YOU HAVE A

MOTION, COUNCILLOR?>> YEAH. >> OKAY. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I HAVE A MOTION THAT ESSENTIALLY WOULD LIMIT THE NUMBER OF SLOT MACHINES. I THINK THAT THAT IS THE -- THAT HAS BEEN THE CRUX OF A LOT OF OUR DISCUSSION, AND I WANT TO CALL IT DISCUSSION AROUND WHAT OUR PAST MOTIONS MEANT, WHAT 82-12 MEANT AND WHAT THE INTENTION OF HARD ROCK IN TERMS OF THE CAPS ON THE SLOT MACHINES. MY MOTION ESSENTIALLY IS WHEREAS AS THE ONTARIO LOTTERY AND GAMING COMMISSION CAPS SLOT MACHINES IN ANY GIVEN FACILITY TO 2,000 MACHINES, AND WHEREAS THE CURRENT PROPOSED ZONING RECOMMENDATION FOR THE HARD ROCK CASINO DOES NOT SPECIFY A CAP TO THE NUMBER OF SLOT MACHINES AT THE HARD ROCK CASINO, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT UNDER THE DETAILS OF THE RECOMMENDED ZONING, AMEND EXCEPTION 528R WITHIN SECTION 239, COLUMN 3-2, CASINO LIMITED 2,000 SLOT MACHINES AND 55 GAMING TABLES AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THERE BE NO FURTHER NOTICE PURSUANT TO THE PLANNING ACT. ESSENTIALLY WHAT THAT DOES IS IT -- YOU KNOW, IN ADDITION TO HAVING HARD ROCK COME BACK ON THE GAMING TABLES TO COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL, ALTHOUGH THERE IS ALREADY A CAP ON SLOT MACHINES WITH O.L.G., IT MEANS THAT IF HARD ROCK WANTED TO EXPAND THAT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK TO COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL AND AS WELL. >> THANK YOU. COUNCIL LEIPER ON THE REPORT AND THE MOTIONS BEFORE US. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. I JUST HAVE A COUPLE OF QUICK QUESTIONS OF STAFF. THE DEFINITION FOR CASINO PROPOSED IN THE REPORT SPEAKS TO ANCILLARY FINANCIAL SERVICES USES. WHAT ARE THOSE ANTICIPATED TO BE?>> WE DON'T HAVE DETAILS ON THAT BUT REALLY WHAT WE'RE DOING WITH THE NEW DEFINITION OF THE CASINO IS CAPTURING THE TYPES OF THINGS THAT TYPICALLY WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH A CASINO. RIGHT NOW A LOT OF THESE THINGS, IN FACT, ARE PERMITTED UNDER THE CURRENT ZONING, EITHER AS ACCESSORY OR ANCILLARY CATEGORY BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE A SPECIFIC DEFINITION RATHER THAN CONTINUING WITH THAT FRAGMENTED APPROACH HOW WE'RE DEALING WITH ISSUES, ROLLING IT ALL INTO ONE. THE ANCILLARY FINANCIAL POTENTIALLY COULD BE SOME FINANCIAL SERVICES, IT COULD BE FINANCIAL COUNSELLING, IT COULD BE SOMETHING ALONG THESE LINES. BUT, AGAIN, I THINK THAT'S PART OF WHAT THEY WILL DEAL WITH, CONTINUED DISCUSSIONS WITH THE HARD ROCK PROPRIETORS IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY WILL BE PROVIDING. >> DO WE HAVE A DEFINITION IN OUR ZONING BYLAW

WHAT FINANCIAL SERVICES ARE?>> UM, WITHOUT TAKING A LOOK AT IT, I'M SURE THAT WE DO. IT'S PROBABLY CAPTURED UNDER A BANK DEFINITION AND THAT'S FAIR ENCOMPASSING IN TERMS OF THE TYPES OF OPERATIONS THAT WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH IN A. I DON'T HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF ME. I CAN DEFINITELY GET THAT BACK TO YOU, COUNCILLOR. >> CASINO WOULD, UNDER THIS DEFINITION, BE ALLOWED TO INCLUDE A BANK?>> THAT WOULD BE THE POTENTIAL FOR THAT, YES. BUT I'D HAVE TO CONFIRM. IF YOU CAN GIVE ME A COUPLE OF MINUTES, I'LL LOOK AT THE ZONING AND SEE WHAT WE HAVE IN TERMS OF THE DEFINITION. >> OKAY. I'LL LET YOU LOOK AT THAT. THANK YOU. I SHARE COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM'S DISCOMFORT WITH HOW THIS HAS ROLLED OUT IN TERMS OF OUR OPPORTUNITY AS A COUNCIL TO SAY THAT WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THE 2013 CAP APPLIES. IT SOUNDS LIKE THE 81-12 REGULATION WAS AN IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY THAT CAME BY. WE WERE TOLD IT WAS A TECHNICALITY AND WE VOTED FOR THAT. I NOTE THAT IN THEIR RECENT APPROVAL FOR THE GAMBLING IN TORONTO, THAT CITY COUNCIL IN TORONTO HAS VOTED TO REQUEST THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO TO AMEND ONTARIO REGULATION 81-12 AS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE A CLEAR REQUIREMENT FOR MUNICIPAL APPROVAL PRIOR TO ANY DECISION TO SUBSTANTIALLY EXPAND OR RENEW GAMING SITES AND RELATED CONTRACTS WITH OPERATORS WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY. GIVEN THE -- GIVEN THE WAY THAT THIS PROCESS HAS UNROLLED, I'M WONDERING FROM THE SOLICITOR WHETHER HE FEELS THAT THAT WOULD BE A HELPFUL THING TO ASK THE PROVINCE AS WELL. >> MR. MAYOR, SEEKING GREATER CLARITY, I CONCEDE WOULD BE AN EXCELLENT IDEA. >> OKAY. I'LL LEAVE IT THERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> COUNCILLOR EGLI, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. I WANT TO START OFF BY THANKING AND RECOGNIZING THE WORK THAT THE CHAIR HAS DONE ON THIS FILE. IT'S BEEN A VERY CHALLENGING FILE GOING FORWARD AND CERTAINLY I THINK THE DOCTOR IS THINKING THIS IS CERTAINLY A CHALLENGING FILE TO BE TAKING ON ON HER FIRST INITIAL DAY. BUT I KNOW THE HARD WORK THAT THEY'VE PUT ON THIS FILE IN MOVING IT FORWARD AND ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE COME UP. SO I JUST WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT TO START. I'M MORE COMFORTABLE WITH COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM'S MOTION THAN I WAS THEERS HAVE I SAW LAST NIGHT. AND I THINK IT'S A BACK-UP PLAN, IF YOU WILL, IF WHAT THE BOARD OF HEALTH CAME FORWARD WITH, AND I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR TAYLOR FOR ASSISTING ME ON THE BOARD HEALTH TO BRING FORWARD A MOTION WHICH CHANGED THE RECOMMENDATIONS SO

THAT THE MONEY BEING ASKED FOR WASN'T A HARD CAP BUT RATHER A MINIMUM ASK. SO IT DOES GIVE THE BOARD FLEXIBILITY. BUT IF THOSE THINGS DON'T WORK OUT, THEN COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM'S MOTION THAT RECOMMENDS THAT WE CONSIDER THAT AS PART OF THE 2019 BUDGET IS CERTAINLY A BACK-UP PLAN. IT STILL CONCERNS ME A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE WE SHOULD BE TAKING ON THE OBLIGATION OF FIXING A PROBLEM, WHICH EMANATES FROM THE PROVINCE. WE DON'T REALLY HAVE A LOT OF CONTROL OVER WHETHER THERE'S GAMBLING IN ONTARIO OR NOT. THAT'S ALL PROVINCIALY DRIVEN. THAT PART STILL MAKES ME A LITTLE BIT NERVOUS. BUT SINCE IT'S TONED DOWN SOMEWHAT IN MOVING IT FORWARD AS CONSIDERATION AS OPPOSED TO PUTTING THAT DISCUSSION IN FRONT OF US TODAY, THAT'S A MOTION THAT I WILL BE SUPPORTING. AS FOR COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY'S MOTION, I'LL BE SUPPORTING THAT AS WELL. I HAVE TO BE FRANK, MY NAME WAS BATTED AROUND A LITTLE BIT AS THE COUNCILLOR THAT ASKED THE QUESTION. I DON'T THINK THE QUESTION I ASKED COULD HAVE BEEN CLEARER. IT WAS VERY DIRECT AS TO WHETHER IT WOULD BE A REAFFIRMATION OR NOT, THE ANALOGY USED DURING THE DISCUSSION WAS -- YOU KNOW, IS THIS THE SAME THING AS SOMEBODY BUYING A HOUSE AND US SIMPLY TURNING THE KEYS OVER TO THE HOUSE AND YOU GET THE HOUSE WITH NO RENOVATIONS, NO CHANGES WHATSOEVER, YOU JUST GET THE HOUSE. IN EFFECT, WHAT WE WERE TOLD WAS WE WERE APPROVING A NEW OWNER AND THAT WAS IT. WE'RE APPROVING A NEW CONSTRUCTION. IT WASN'T LIKE THE UGANDAN EMBASSY TO BE KNOCKED DOWN AND REBUILT. WE WERE TRANSFERRING THE HOUSE, AS IT WAS, WITHOUT ANY ADDITIONS, ANY NEW CONSTRUCTION, ANY RENOVATIONS, ANY CHANGES. SO IN THAT REGARD, I THINK THAT THE MOTION BROUGHT FORWARD BY COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY IS A PRUDENT ONE TO GET IT DOWN IN WRITING, TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR WHAT WE'RE SAYING HERE TODAY. I'M HEARDENED BY LEGAL'S POSITION THAT THIS WOULD LIKELY BE SUCCESSFUL ON APPEAL IF THE APPLICANT DECIDED THAT THEY WANTED TO CHALLENGE IT. SO I THINK IT MAKES IT CLEAR SOMETHING THAT WAS NOT CLEAR LAST TIME AROUND, UNFORTUNATELY, BUT THIS IS VERY CLEAR, VERY DIRECT. I THANK HER FOR BRINGING IT AND I'LL CERTAINLY BE SUPPORTING THAT MOTION AS WELL. >> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS OF DR. ETCHES FIRST BEFORE I MAKE SOME COMMENTS ON WHAT THE DISCUSSION THAT'S HAPPENING THIS MORNING. TO DR. ETCHES, DOCTOR,

WHEN YOU DID THE REPORT -- WHEN OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH PROVIDED THE REPORT, WHAT KIND OF WORK WENT INTO PRODUCING THAT REPORT? DID YOU GO OUT AND CONSULT WITH THE COMMUNITY? DID YOU CONSULT WITH THE PARENTS IN THE COMMUNITY ALONG WITH SOME OF THE, YOU KNOW, OTHER ITEMS THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IN ORDER TO PUT THIS KIND OF REPORT TOGETHER? >> MR. MAYOR, WE CONSULTED WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS PROVIDING TREATMENT. WE CONSULTED WITH OTHER PROVINCIAL ORGANIZATIONS THAT LEAD RESEARCH INTO GAMBLING AND THE EFFECTS OF GAMBLING ON HEALTH AND WE DID LOOK AT THE LITERATURE. >> IN THAT CONSULTATION WITH THE PARTNERS, THE HEALTH CENTRES WERE PART OF THAT CONSULTATION, AM I CORRECT? >> YES. >> THANK YOU. IN TERMS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU PUT ON THE REPORT, THE FOUR RECOMMENDATIONS IN FRONT OF COUNCIL THIS MORNING, WHERE DID THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS COME FROM? DID YOU CONSULT WITH, LIKE, THE HARD ROCK CASINO, OR WAS THAT BASED ON EVIDENCE THAT YOU'RE AWARE OF IN TERMS OF THE MEDICAL FIELD AND OTHER PARTNERS IN THE COMMUNITY? >> WE PUT FORWARD THE PLAN BASED ON THE LITERATURE AND CONVERSATIONS WITH PARTNERS. WE SHARED SOME OF THE IDEAS AROUND THE NETWORK WANTING COMMITMENT FROM O.L.G. AND HARD ROCK OTTAWA TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NETWORK. AND WE DID GET CONFIRMATION THIS IS SOMETHING THEY WOULD SUPPORT. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU KNOW, JUST THE CONVERSATION AROUND THE TABLE THIS MORNING, I MEAN, I KNOW WHAT HAPPENED BACK IN 2013 WITH THE CASINO DEBATE THEN. AT THAT POINT, THE COUNCIL OF THE DAY CHOSE TO PUT SOME RESTRICTIONS ON THE CASINO THAT WAS OPERATING, OR THE RIDEAU CARLETON RACEWAY THAT WAS OPERATING. THOSE RESTRICTIONS, AND THE OPINION WAS THAT YOU WERE RESTRICTING THE HANDS OF A BUSINESS THAT YOU FIRST AUTHORIZED TO GO AHEAD OPERATE AND THEN RESTRICT THEIR CAPABILITIES OF OPERATING THAT BUSINESS. THIS MORNING WE'RE HERE TO LOOK AT A NEW PROPOSAL -- OR AN EXTENSION OF THE PAST PROPOSAL, IF YOU WANT TO CALL IT THAT. I THINK THE RECOMMENDATION -- OR THE SUGGESTION THAT WAS MADE TO OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH IS TO GO OUT AND DO DUE DILIGENCE. AND WE DID THAT, AS YOU JUST HEARD FROM DR. ETCHES. COULD THERE BE MORE WORK DONE? I DON'T THINK SO. I THINK THE WORK WAS COMPLETED. THE RECOMMENDATIONS CAME FORWARD IN THE REPORT, AND, AS I SAID TO SOMEBODY JUST A FEW MINUTES AGO,

HERE'S A DEPARTMENT THAT WE SPEND MONEY IN TO ASK FOR THEIR PROFESSIONAL HELP, TO ASK FOR THEIR KNOWLEDGE-BASED HELP. AND THAT'S WHAT THE OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH DOES AND DOES PROVIDE ON AN ONGOING BASIS. THE ITEM THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING THIS MORNING AND IS PART OF THAT RECOMMENDATION FROM THE PUBLIC HEALTH, I MEAN, YOU KNOW, YOU PAY PROFESSIONALS ALMOST A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS, YET EVERY TIME THEY COME OUT WITH A RECOMMENDATION, WE SORT OF SAY WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE SHOULD FOLLOW THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. I THINK IT'S ABOUT TIME WE DID FOLLOW THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, THESE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE GOOD AND SOUND RECOMMENDATIONS. COULD WE USE MORE MONEY? ALWAYS. EVERYBODY CAN USE MORE MONEY. BUT MONEY IS NOT THE SOLUTION. YOU CAN'T JUST THROW MONEY AT A PROBLEM AND SAY IT'S GOING TO BE SOLVED. PEOPLE TALK AROUND THIS TABLE ABOUT GAMBLING. GAMBLING IS JUST NOT A CASINO ISSUE. GAMBLING, WHETHER WE LIKE IT OR NOT, IT'S IN OUR BASEMENTS, WHETHER YOU ARE DOING COMPUTER GAMBLING, O.L.G., YOU KNOW, BUYING LOTTERY TICKETS, THAT'S ALL GAMBLING. I JUST WANT TO CAUTION IN THE MOTION THAT COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM PUT FORWARD ABOUT THE MONEY. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE ON AS A MUNICIPALITY A RESPONSIBILITY THAT BELONGS IN THE PROVINCE'S BASKET. LEAVE IT THERE. TODAY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CASINOS. TOMORROW WE COULD BE TALKING ABOUT CANNABIS-RELATED ISSUES. HOW MUCH OF THOSE ISSUES DO WE WANT TO OWN? I THINK THE PLAN THAT'S HERE TODAY, BASED ON SOUND AND REASONING PLAN, I THINK WE SHOULD SAY, LET'S MOVE FORWARD. WHAT HAPPENS IN THE FUTURE IN TERMS OF MONEY, SO ON AND SO FORTH, WE CAN LOOK AT THAT IN THE FUTURE. RIGHT NOW THERE'S RECOMMENDATION TO ASK FOR ONE-TIME FUNDING OF \$150,000 AND ONGOING FUNDING OF \$200,000 RECOMMENDED BY THE OTTAWA PUBLIC HEALTH. THEY'VE CONSULTED WITH THE PARTNERS. THAT FUNDING FOR NOW, I THINK IS SUFFICIENT. LET'S MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT, AND THEN, IF IN THE FUTURE WE NEED THAT MONEY OR WE NEED EXTRA MONEY, WE CAN LOOK FOR THAT AT THAT TIME. BUT OUR MANDATE WITH THE PUBLIC HEALTH IS TO WORK WITH THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH CHAMPLAIN LHIN AND SEE HOW WE CAN GET THIS PROGRAMME ON RAIL AND HOW TO WORK TOWARDS MAKING IT A SUCCESS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. >> OKAY. THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR QAQISH, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU, MAYOR. I'LL START OFF WITH A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS TO DR. ETCHES.



WE DID HAVE AN EXTENSIVE DEBATE AT BOARD OF HEALTH, WHICH I SIT ON AND SO I'M VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THE REPORT AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE CAME UP WITH THERE. IN TERMS OF THE LETTER THAT WE -- THE REQUEST FOR FUNDING, HAS THAT SHORT-TERM FORECASTED TO THE PROVINCE YET? HAVE THERE BEEN PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN STAFF AND THE PROVINCE ABOUT THEIR INTENTIONS ABOUT FUNDING? >> THE LETTER HAS BEEN SENT TO THE PROVINCE. WE'VE NOT HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS YET. >> OKAY. GENERALLY SPEAKING, HISTORICALLY ARE GAMBLING PROGRAMMES FULLY FUNDED BY PROVINCIAL FUNDING? I KNOW THE BOARD OF HEALTH FUNDING IS 75/25, I THINK. GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHEN IT COMES TO GAMBLING PROGRAMMES, ARE THOSE SOLELY FUNDED THROUGH THE PROVINCE OR IS THERE A CITY SHARE THAT GOES TOWARDS THAT? >> GAMBLING ISN'T MENTIONED SPECIFICALLY AS A PUBLIC HEALTH MANDATE IN THE COST-SHARED REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH. THAT'S WHY WE'RE SEEKING ADDITIONAL BASE FUNDING FROM THE PROVINCE. AND WE -- SO THE OTHER SECTOR THAT'S BEEN MENTIONED TODAY HERE IN TERMS OF TREATMENT IS FUNDED FROM THE PROVINCE. >> SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN. >> SO TREATMENT FOR PROBLEM GAMBLING IS FUNDED BY THE PROVINCE. >> OKAY. OKAY. I CERTAINLY THINK, YOU KNOW, ON THE HEALTH FRONT THERE'S OBVIOUSLY SEVERAL ELEMENTS AS THE CHAIR POINTED OUT OUTSIDE OF SLOT MACHINES AND LIVE GAMBLING TABLES, WHERE THERE ARE, PERHAPS, BIGGER PROBLEMS EVEN WITH ONLINE GAMBLING AND OTHER VERSIONS OF IT AS WELL. AS I SAID, DO I SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE DISCUSSED AT LENGTH AT THE BOARD OF HEALTH. ON A SEPARATE NOTE, I WANT TO SHIFT TO INFRASTRUCTURE AND THAT'S BEEN A BIG PRIORITY FOR ME AS I REPRESENT THE AREA JUST NORTH OF THE CASINO. AND I'VE BEEN WORKING ON IMPROVEMENTS FOR LEITRIM AND FINLEY CREEK IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND THE LANDOWNERS IN THAT AREA HAVE BEEN VERY HELPFUL. WHEN THE CASINO CAME FORWARD, I JUST WANT TO GIVE THEM FULL CREDIT. I SEE JACK'S HERE. I KNOW WE'VE BEEN GIVING HIM A HARD TIME ABOUT SOME OF THE ISSUES OVER THE PAST FEW WEEKS, BUT THEY'VE BEEN RECEPTIVE, HELPFUL, SUPPORTIVE AND AT THE TABLE EVEN THOUGH THAT WE KNOW THE TRAFFIC IMPLICATIONS ARE MINIMAL. THEY'VE BEEN VERY RECEPTIVE AND WORKING TO -- WORKING WITH US AND STAFF TO HELP ADVANCE THE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON FOR BANK STREET

OR ARMSTRONG AND THE OTHER ONES. I KNOW THEY'VE SENT A LETTER OF COMMITMENT, AS WELL, TO REFLECT THAT. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THEM FOR BEING AT THE TABLE AND BEING GOOD CORPORATE CITIZENS. THANK YOU, MAYOR. >> OKAY. COUNCILLOR TAYLOR, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. I WANT TO START BY SAYING I'M A PROUD MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH. AND AT THE BOARD, I SPOKE ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF SUPPORTING THE HEALTH UNIT'S PLAN TO PROBLEM GAMBLING AND INCREASING THE FOOTPRINT OF COMMUNITY EDUCATION. ACTING ON COUNCILLOR EGLI'S PRESENTATION, I WAS PLEASED TO MOVE A MOTION THAT EXPANDED THE OPPORTUNITY FOR OPH TO PURSUE FURTHER FUNDING FROM THE PROVINCE TO ADDRESS GAMBLING. WHILE I UNDERSTAND WHERE COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM'S MOTION IS COMING FROM, I DON'T SUT IT AND THE COUNCILLOR TRANSMITTERED WHY. COUNCIL CAN DECIDE TO ALLOCATE FUNDING FOR ANY PROGRAMME WE WANT. WE DON'T NEED A MOTION TODAY TO SIGNAL TO A FUTURE COUNCIL THAT WE CAN DO THAT. WHAT A MOTION TODAY, IF APPROVED, WOULD SIGNAL IS IT WOULD SIGNAL TO THE PROVINCE THAT WE'RE WILLING TO STEP UP AND FUND, WHICH MEANS THAT THEY, IN TURN, CAN RESPOND TO OUR LETTER AND SAY, IF YOU'RE WILLING TO STEP UP AND FUND IT, WHY WOULD WE? THAT'S A CLEAR SIGNAL TO THE PROVINCE THAT THEY'RE OFF THE HOOK. SO WHY WOULD WE SEND THAT SIGNAL? AS THE COUNCILLOR ARTICULATED, THIS IS THE THIN EDGE OF THE WEDGE WHY WE LET THE PROVINCE OFF THE HOOK FOR FUNDING. YOU KNOW, WHILE I'M NOT A FAN OF GAMBLING, THE DISCUSSION AT THE BOARD OF HEALTH WAS QUITE INTERESTING AND BECAME VERY CLEAR THAT THE REAL EXPANSION IN GAMBLING WAS TAKING PLACE ONLINE, NOT ON THE GROUND AND THE LARGEST SHARE OF IT WAS A GREY MARKET AND TRYING TO CAP OR CONSTRAIN TODAY WHAT HAPPENS AT THIS SITE IS IN MANY RESPECTS A SMALL PART OF THE PUZZLE. SEEING THE GAMBLING OR NOT SEEING THE GAMBLING IS NOT A MEASURE OF WHETHER THE GAMBLING IS TAKING PLACE. THAT MEASUREMENT IS ONE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RELY ON OPH FOR, USING EVIDENCE, AND ONE THAT THE BOARD OF HEALTH -- WE HEARD AT THE BOARD OF HEALTH THAT THE O.L.G. IS COMMITTED TO HELPING INFORM OUR HEALTH UNIT WITH DATA THAT THEY COLLECT. SO IN SOME, YOU KNOW, AS BOARD OF HEALTH MEMBER, THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS THAT I BELIEVE OUR COMMUNITY WOULD BE BETTER OFF IF NOBODY PAR TOOK OF, THAT INCLUDES SMOKING, IT INCLUDES DRINKING AND GAMBLING AND SUBSTANCE MISUSE. BUT OPH

HAS BROUGHT FORWARD, I BELIEVE, A RESPONSIBLE STRATEGY TO SAY, RECOGNIZING THAT PEOPLE WILL ENGAGE IN SOME OF THESE BEHAVIOURS, WE'RE GOING TO WORK TO TRY TO CONSTRAIN THE HARM, PROMOTE EDUCATION AND I BELIEVE THAT'S A SOUND RECOMMENDATION AND A SOUND PATH FORWARD. I THINK TO SOME EXTENT WHILE NOT BEING DISMISSIVE OF THE IMPORTANT DEBATE AROUND THE AMOUNT OF GAMING THAT WOULD TAKE PLACE AT THIS FACILITY, I THINK IT IS IS A LOSS LEADER IF WE WANT TO HAVE A FURTHER CONVERSATION ABOUT GAMBLING AND THE PROGRESS WE'RE MAKING ON PROBLEM GAMBLING IN OUR COMMUNITY, I'D SUGGEST THAT WE CONTINUE TO ENGAGE THE HEALTH UNIT OVER THE YEARS TO COME AND WORK WITH THEM TO TRY TO ENSURE THAT WHILE THIS DOES TAKE PLACE IN OUR COMMUNITY, IT'S NEGATIVELY AFFECTING AS FEW PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE. THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. >> THANK YOU. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU, YOUR WORSHIP. GOOD MORNING. FIRST THE MATTER BEFORE US, JUST ON THE PLANNING RATIONALE, THIS IS A PLANNING DECISION WE'RE TRYING TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ON TODAY. I THOUGHT I'D START OFF ON THE PLANNING POINT. I DO BELIEVE THIS IS AN APPROPRIATE PLANNING USE FOR THE SITE AND FOR WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED. WHEN YOU LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, FURTHER DEFINING A CASINO, ADDING A HOTEL, 55 GAMING TABLES, NOT MENTIONED IN PART 1 BUT ALSO THE ENTERTAINMENT VENUE, A THEATRE, I THINK IF YOU'RE GOING TO EXPAND THE OPTIONS WITH A SORT OF HIGH-QUALITY PROVIDER AND THIS IS SORT OF THE BUNDLED PACKAGE THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO OFFER HERE WITHIN THE CITY, THAT THIS IS SOMETHING I CAN SUPPORT. CERTAINLY WITH EXPANDED CASINO OPTIONS, A GREATER SUSTAINABILITY FOR HORSE RACING INDUSTRY, BETTER ENTERTAINMENT VALUE -- VENUE AND VALUE, THE HOTEL AND FOR PEOPLE WHO WISH TO GAMBLING AND ARE LEAVING OUR PROVINCE NOW, THEY'RE GOING ACROSS THE RIVER. THEY MIGHT EVEN BE GOING TO VEGAS OR ATLANTIC CITY. IF YOU OFFER A HIGH-QUALITY PRODUCT, WE CAN KEEP MONEY IN OUR OWN PROVINCE AND IN OUR OWN CITY. THERE'S SOME BENEFIT TO THAT. I CERTAINLY RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE PROBLEM GAMBLERS AND THERE IS A PLAN TO ADDRESS THAT. BUT, YOU KNOW, GAMBLING IS NOT THE ONLY AREA WHERE PEOPLE HAVE PROBLEMS. WE HAVE LCBOs THAT OPEN UP IN THIS CITY AND WE DON'T HAVE A DEBATE ON THE FLOOR SIZE OF AN LCBO OR HOW MANY BOTTLES CAN THEY SELL AND CAPPING THE NUMBER OF OPTIONS THEY THAT CAN PROVIDE THEIR

CUSTOMERS. SO WE HAVE PROBLEM DRINKERS, GAMBLERS AND OTHER COMPONENTS IN THE CITY, AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK IT'S A FAIR CONVERSATION TO HAVE ABOUT GAMBLING AND HOW HEALTH AND THE PROVINCE HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO ADDRESS THAT. BUT, AGAIN, ON THE PLANNING ARGUMENTS, I'M FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS TODAY. GAMBLING HAS BEEN AROUND SINCE FIRE. IT'S ALWAYS A PART OF OUR SOCIETY. WHETHER THERE'S A CASINO OR NOT, PUBLIC HEALTH WILL ALWAYS HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT EXIST.

MR. MAYOR, THROUGH YOU TO STAFF, I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ACTUAL MOTION THAT'S BEFORE US. PART 3 TALKS ABOUT BASICALLY A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN ALL PARTIES, PARTICULARLY LOOKING AT SOME TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS, PRIVATE DRIVEWAY FROM BANK, FRONT-ENING A PORTION OF ARMSTRONG TO BANK STREET, WORKING WITH THE DEVELOPERS WITH RESPECT TO LEITRIM. CAN I JUST HAVE SOME MORE INFORMATION HOW -- THESE ARE SOME PRETTY SIGNIFICANT OPTIONS THAT ARE BEING CONSIDERED TO PLAYOFF TRAFFIC FLOW. HOW EXACTLY ARE THESE CONVERSATIONS GOING TO EVOLVE?WHERE DID THE RESULTS OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS GET REPORTED OUT OF?WHAT ARE THE TYPES OF TIMELINES WE'RE WORKING WITH?>> MR. MAYOR, A LOT OF THESE ISSUES OUTLINED IN ITEM NUMBER 3 RELATE TO MATTERS OF SITE DESIGN WHICH WILL BE A SUBSEQUENT STEP ONCE THE ZONING IS IN PLACE. WE WILL BE ENTERING BOO AN AGREEMENT FOR THE APPLICATION AND MANY OF THESE MATTERS COULD BE ADDRESSED THERE. WE'D BE IN A POSITION AT THAT POINT TO PROVIDE FURTHER INFORMATION ON HOW THESE MATTERS WERE RESOLVED. THERE ARE ALSO MATTERS RELATED TO FRONT-END AGREEMENTS THAT WOULD COME BACK BEFORE PLANNING COMMITTEE AS WELL. >> SITE PLANS USUALLY ROLL OR EVOLVE FAIRLY QUICKLY AFTER ZONING'S BEEN APPROVED. AGAIN, THIS IS DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL BE MORE THAN ONE PHASE. I NOTICED THAT THE NEXT PART OF THE MOTION TALKS ABOUT ANOTHER STUDY FOR ALBION STARTING NEXT YEAR. THAT COULD BE YEAR LONG. IS THAT ALL CONGRUENT WITH ONE ANOTHER. WHAT ARE THE EXPECTED TIMELINES FOR THE SITE PLAN?>> MR. MAYOR, I CAN ANSWER. MR. SMITH MIGHT HAVE MORE PRECISE INFORMATION. THIS IS GOING TO BE VERY MUCH OVER THE NEXT A COUPLE OF MONTHS, INTO THE NEXT YEAR, TO ADDRESS MANY OF THESE MATTERS. THE AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY CAN INITIATE ON ITS OWN. IT'S NOT TIED QUITE THE SAME TO THE OTHER MATTERS. BUT THE OTHER MATTERS WOULD BE

ADDRESSED AS WE EVOLVE THROUGH THE SITE PLAN PROCESS. >> ALBION ROAD, CERTAINLY NO STRANGER TO THIS COUNCIL, A ROAD THAT'S BEEN STUDIED AND SOME COLOURFUL CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, PAST AND PRESENT, IN THIS EXACT AREA. WHAT ARE WE EXPECTING THIS STUDY TO STUDY? THAT HASN'T ALREADY BEEN STUDIED? WHAT IS THE EXPECTED OUTCOME? >> MR. MAYOR, I THINK IT'S PREMATURE BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T COMMISSIONED THE WORK YET. WE'RE LOOKING AT FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS TO MANAGE TRAFFIC SAFETY AT THAT INTERSECTION. WE'LL BE LOOKING AT INTERMISSION CONFIGURATION MATTERS PRIMARILY THROUGH THIS WORK. I THINK AT THIS STAGE, THAT'S IS IT EXTENT -->> AM I READING THE SUBSEQUENT PARTS OF THE THIS MOTION CORRECT, THAT WE'RE GOING TO ALLOCATE \$150,000 FOR THIS STUDY. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE STUDY, NOT MODIFICATIONS TO THE INTERSECTION. SO WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY IN ARE WE CONCERNED THERE'S GOING TO BE AN OVERFLOW OF TRAFFIC ON ALBION AND WE WANT THE STUDY TO TALK ABOUT OPTIONS TO HELP MITIGATE THAT? OR IS THERE SOME OTHER ISSUES I'M NOT AWARE OF? >> MR. MAYOR, IN THE PREVIOUS TERM OF COUNCIL, COUNCIL HAD DIRECTED THAT THERE BE AN AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THIS AREA WITH THE EXPIRY OF THE TERM OF COUNCIL AND THE PROJECT NOT BEING COMPLETED, THE MONEY LAPSED. WHAT THIS MOTION IS DOES IS REINSTATE THE MONEY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED BY COUNCIL TO EXAMINE THE BROADER TRAFFIC ISSUES A THIS INTERSECTION, WHICH ARE NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS APPLICATION BUT OF INTEREST IN CONSIDERATION OF THIS APPLICATION AND THE ADDITIONAL MONEY REFLECTS THE FACT THAT THE COST OF THESE STUDIES HAVE GONE UP IN THE LAST FIVE, SIX YEARS. SO WE DO NEED ADDITIONAL FUNDS IN ORDER TO COMPLETE IT. >> WILL THAT STUDY LOOK AT ANY OTHER STREET OTHER THAN ALBION ROAD? OR IS IT JUST SPECIFIC TO ALBION? >> MR. MAYOR, THAT'S CORRECT. WE LOOK AT GENERALLY IN THE AREA OF ALL THE STREETS COMING INTO INTO THE IMMEDIATE STUDY AREA. >> GOOD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> GREAT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR TALKING ABOUT THE ZONING APPLICATION. I APPRECIATE THAT. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS COUNCILLOR EL-CHANTIRY. >> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I DON'T HAVE A QUESTION, PER SE. I JUST HAVE A COMMENT, MR. MAYOR. I FIND IT [ INAUDIBLE ] SOME OF MY COLLEAGUE TRY TO DEBATE THE MOTIONS AS LONG AS THEY CAN AND WHEN IT'S TIME TO VOTE AGAINST ITEM ITSELF. MAYBE WE NEED TO LOOK AT SOME OF THESE PRACTICES AND SEE IF THERE'S A

BETTER WAY TO DO THIS. FOR SOME OF PEOPLE LISTENING TO US, I HEAR TIME AND TIME AGAIN, I DON'T GAMBLE, I DON'T GO THERE. WELL, I GO TO THE CASINO. I SUPPORT THEM. I SUPPORT THE HORSE RACING IN MY COMMUNITY BECAUSE A LOT OF THOSE PEOPLE ARE FROM MY COMMUNITY. I LOVE THEIR FOOD. I LOVE THEIR HOSPITALITY. I THINK EVERYONE SHOULD TRY THAT EXPERIENCE. LET'S STOP BEING A NANNY STATE, PLEASE. THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. >> COUNCILLOR MOFFATT. >> THANK YOU. FIRST, I WANT TO START BY THANKING EVERYONE AROUND THE TABLE. PREVIOUS TERM, THIS TERM, WE'VE COME A LONG WAY ON THIS. THE REALITY IS WE SAT HERE ALMOST SIX YEARS AGO AT THIS TABLE AND LISTENED TO THE O.L.G. SELL US WHAT WAS AT THAT TIME A BILL OF GOODS ON WHAT THIS PLAN WAS AND WHAT THIS MEANT FOR THE CITY. THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERN. I FOUND MYSELF ON THE WRONG END OF A VOTE AT CITY COUNCIL AT THAT TIME AND I WAS PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO FOLLOW THAT AND WORK WITH THOSE AROUND THIS TABLE, WORK WITH THE CITY, WORK REQUEST THE O.L.G. THE O.L.G. HAS EVOLVED OVER THE LAST SIX YEARS WITH NEW LEADERSHIP. THE PREVIOUS LEADERSHIP IS FOR SOME REASON RUNNING FOR PROVINCIAL PARLIAMENT. ANYWAYS. I WON'T GO THERE. I THINK THE POINT HERE IS THAT THE O.L.G. HAS SEEN THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE FACILITIES ACROSS ONTARIO, YOU KNOW, PUTTING --[ INAUDIBLE ] IN PLACE, SENIOR VICE-PRESIDENT OF HORSE RACING. THEY SEE THE VALUE OF THESE FACILITIES IN RURAL ONTARIO. THE COUNCIL'S DECISION IN 2013 TO RECOGNIZE THE RIDEAU CARLETON RACEWAY AS THE PREFERRED SITE WAS THE RIGHT DECISION TO MOVE FORWARD. NOW SEEING HARD ROCK BE A PASSPORT IS A POSITIVE STEP FOR THE CITY. LOOKING AT CERTAIN THINGS, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CAPS. THE O.L.G. SET OUT CAPS IN THEIR GAMING ZONES THROUGH THE MODERNIZATION PLAN BACK IN 2012 THROUGH THEIR REQUEST FOR PREQUALIFICATIONS. THAT REPORT SPECIFICALLY SPOKE UP TO 2,000 SLOTS, 600 GAMING POSITIONS. WE AREN'T LOOKING AT ZONING FOR 600 GAMING POSITIONS. WE'RE LOOKING AT ZONING FOR 55 TABLES BECAUSE THAT'S THE APPLICATION BEFORE US. IT'S A PLANNING APPLICATION THAT SEEKS 55 TABLES. IF WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER LOOKING AT ZONING TO ALIGN OURSELVES WITH PROVINCIAL REQUIREMENTS FROM THE O.L.G., THEN WE SHOULD LOOK ACROSS THE BOARD. IT SHOULD BE 2600. THEN THE APPLICANT DOESN'T HAVE TO COME FORWARD TO INCREASE TABLES. THEY DON'T HAVE TO COME FORWARD. WE'VE ALREADY ADOPTED THE PROVINCIAL REQUIREMENTS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD DO THAT. I THINK WE SHOULD

LEAVE IT UP TO THE O.L.G. TO SET THOSE LIMITS. IN 2011, WE STARTED THE PROCESS BY ZONING FOR TABLES. YOU KNOW, IN HIND SERGEANT, I DON'T THINK THAT WAS THE RIGHT CHOICE. I THINK WE SHOULD LEAVE THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS TO THE O.L.G. WE DIDN'T DO THAT ON TABLES. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD DO THAT, THOUGH, ON -- I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE ZONING FOR SLOTS. A SLOT CAP IS THERE IN THE RFPQ. I BELIEVE IT WAS ALSO IN THE RFP. THEY COMMITTED TO THAT 2,000 SLOT CAP. I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF POSITIVES HERE IN WHAT WE'RE DOING GOING FORWARD. I THINK THE SITE PLAN -- THE COOPERATION BETWEEN THE COUNCILLORS IN WORKING IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD ON WHAT THE IMPACTS OF THIS. WE ALL KNOW THERE ARE OTHER IMPACTS IN THE COMMUNITY. THOSE ROADS -- THE CASINO ISN'T GOING TO INCREASE SUBSTANTIAL TRAFFIC ON THOSE ROADS. THE PROBLEM EXISTS TODAY. HAVING THOSE COUNCILLORS WORK TOGETHER IS A POSITIVE STEP. THANK YOU TO COUNCILLOR DAROUZE FOR BEING A PART OF THAT. I APPRECIATE YOUR PRESENCE AND YOUR COMMENTS ON THIS APPLICATION. I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE INVOLVED, THE O.L.G., RCR, HARD ROCK, THE COMMUNITY, YOU KNOW, HE WAS HERE LAST WEEK AT COMMITTEE REPRESENTING THE HORSE PEOPLE, AS HE CALLS THEM. THE POSITION THEY WERE IN BACK IN 2012 AND 2013, THE POSITION OF UNCERTAINTY THAT HURT THEIR BUSINESS, THIS PROVIDED THEM SOME CERTAINTY AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE SUPPORT THOSE GROUPS IN OUR CITY AND WE SUPPORT LOCAL BUSINESS, THE IMPACT OF THIS PROPERTY ON RURAL OTTAWA IN TERMS OF JOBS AND CERTAINTY IN OUR AREA IS SUCH AN IMPORTANT STEP THAT WE FELT SO FAR AWAY FROM SIX YEARS AGO. I REALLY WANT TO THANK YOU AND HAPPY TO SUPPORT THIS. I DON'T SUPPORT THE MOTIONS BROUGHT FORWARD TO COUNCILLOR QADRI'S POINT. THE CITY CAN WORK WITH THE PROVINCE, OPH CAN WORK THE PROVINCE ON HOW THAT CAN BE ADMINISTERED. MORE DOWNLOADING IS NOT NECESSARY. THANK YOU. >> RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNCILLORS. YOU KNOW, COUNCILLOR MOFFATT REPRESENTS ME AT THE MINISTER'S CAUCUS -- O.L.G. MAYOR'S CAUCUS. I APPRECIATE ALL THOSE EXTRA MEETINGS. COUNCILLOR DAROUZE, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU, MAYOR. THANKS FOR MY COLLEAGUE AT CITY COUNCIL. I WANT -- I DON'T HAVE QUESTIONS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN ASKING LOTS OF QUESTIONS OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS. I WOULD LIKE TO WRAP UP AND SAY A FEW WORD AND THANK SO MANY PEOPLE. I LOOK AROUND THE TABLE AND COUNCILLOR ALWAYS RESPONSIBLE TO BRINGING PROJECT AND TO BRINGING JOBS CREATION,

NOT ONLY IN RURAL OTTAWA, IN THE CITY. THIS FILE HAS BEEN ON THE TABLE SINCE 2013. I KNOW THE COUNCILLORS, SOME OF THEM, WORKED VERY HARD WITH O.L.G. BUT TWO YEARS AGO, THINGS CHANGED. O.L.G. AND HARD ROCK, THEY DECIDED ARE RIDEAU CARLETON TO CREATE A PARTNERSHIP AND THE VENUE CHANGED. WE STILL SITTING HERE AS A COUNCIL TALKING ABOUT GAMBLING AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PUBLIC HEALTH, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ZONING, BUT, REALLY, ALL THESE ISSUES, THEY'RE GOOD AND THEY WORK TOGETHER BUT PEOPLE NEED TO LOOK AT THIS AS A CITY PROJECT. WE WORK ON LANSDOWNNE, WE WORKED ON --[ INAUDIBLE ] THERE WAS LOTS OF PEOPLE AGREED AND DISAGREED. NOW WE ENJOY THEM. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT RIDEAU CARLETON AS A DESTINATION. AND JOB CREATION. WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE -- THAT WILL CREATE 2500 SEATS AT THAT VENUE. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME ARTISTS COMING AND ENTERTAIN THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE RESIDENTS IT'S BECOME TOURISM. WE KEEP TALKING ABOUT GAMBLING. COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON MADE A GREAT POINT. RIGHT NOW THE CITY LOSE \$70 MILLION EVERY YEAR ACROSS THE BORDER. AND, YET, THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE PUBLIC HEALTH THAT EXISTS IN THE CITY OF OTTAWA, WE ARE HANDLING ALL THE GAMBLING ISSUE THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW. GAMBLING IS IN PEOPLE'S BEDROOM, PEOPLE'S BASEMENT, ON YOUR DEVICES, IN THE GAS STATION, EVERYWHERE YOU TURN AROUND. WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THIS PROJECT AND LOOK AT THE SERIOUSNESS ABOUT IT AS JOB CREATION, WHAT'S GOING TO DO TO THE SOUTH END AND RESIDENTS AND HORSE RACING. I WANT TO THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP AND ALLOWING THE CONVERSATION GOING AND UNDERSTANDING THIS AS JOB CREATION ECONOMY FOR NOT ONLY THE OSGOODE WARD, IT'S FOR THE CITY. BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO HAVE 2,000 JOB. LOOK AROUND THIS TABLES AND MOST OF PEOPLE IN YOUR WARD GOING TO BE WORKING IN YOUR CASINO, NOT ONLY PEOPLE FROM OSGOODE WARD. WE HAD AN ISSUE AND WE HAD SOME -- WE GONNA TALK ABOUT, LITTLE BIT, THE TRAFFIC ISSUE AND SOME CHALLENGING HAVING WITH US. AROUND THE TABLE, COUNCILLOR QAQISH AND MYSELF AND COUNCILLOR DEANS TALKING ABOUT WHAT'S THE IMPACT IN THE COMMUNITY. ALSO, MY COMMUNITY, OSGOODE WARD ASSOCIATION, THE FINLEY CREEK RIVERSIDE SOUTH, ALL OF THEM CAME IN SUPPORT OF THIS. THEY UNDERSTAND THAT, YES, WE DO HAVE A CHALLENGE AND WE GONNA HAVE SOME TRAFFIC ISSUE, BUT WE WILL DEAL WITH THIS. THE STAFF WORKED VERY HARD WITH COUNCILLOR HARDER AND COUNCILLOR



MOFFATT ON ADDRESSING ALL OF THE ISSUE. TO ME, TODAY IS A HISTORICAL WIN, NOT ONLY FOR OSGOODE WARD BUT THE CITY OF OTTAWA. BECAUSE THIS IS A PROJECT THAT IT'S GOING TO BRING JOB, IT'S GOING TO BRING ECONOMY, IT'S GOING TO BRING A DESTINATION. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO SUPPORT THIS GOOD VENUE AND WELCOME THE GREAT PARTNERSHIP THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE WITH RIDEAU CARLETON AND HARD ROCK. RIDEAU CARLETON HAVE BEEN CONTRIBUTING TO OUR COMMUNITY SINCE 1962. THE WARREN FAMILY, AND I SEE ANDREW, THE GRANDSON -- THE SON OF LAW IN THE ROOM HERE. THEY'VE BEEN CONTRIBUTING TO EVERYTHING. THEY ALREADY ADDRESS THIS ISSUE. I THINK WE KNOW THAT -- I WANT TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR COLLABORATION AND WORKING WITH US. I WANT TO THANK ALL MY COLLEAGUE THAT THEY WORK VERY HARD ON THAT AND ESPECIALLY COUNCILLOR JAN HARDER FOR HER LEADERSHIP. MAYOR, WITHOUT YOUR LEADERSHIP, WE WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >>

DOES ANYONE ELSE WISH TO SPEAK TO THIS ITEM?NO. FIRST OF ALL, ON THE THREE MOTIONS, MOTION 1 BY COUNCILLORS HARDER AND MOFFATT, ECHO COUNCILLOR DAROUZE'S COMMENTS. THANK YOU FOR THE GOOD WORK. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THAT FIRST MOTION. SECOND MOTION BY COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM AND MCKENNEY, I'D URGE COUNCILLORS NOT TO SUPPORT THIS. WE JUST RECEIVED FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT A RECOMMENDATION THAT INCREASED FUNDING COME FROM THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO. THE INK ISN'T EVEN DRY ON THAT DOCUMENT THEN WE'RE UNDERMINING OUR POSITION SAYING, DON'T WORRY, WE'LL FUND IT. HE HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD. THIS IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE, IF WE GO DOWN THIS PATH OF PROVINCIAL DOWNLOADING. GAMBLING AND O.L.G. IS 100% RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO. THE PROVINCE HAS 100% RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROBLEM GAMBLING STRATEGY AND FUNDING. I'M SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THIS BECAUSE I USED TO BE THE MINISTER IN CHARGE OF THAT FUNDING. SO THE REALITY IS THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE IN ESSENCE SUBSIDIZING THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO. THEY GET THE BIGGEST CUT FROM THE CASINOS, AND, YET, WE'RE BEING NOW ASKED BY THIS MOTION TO TAKE A PORTION OF OUR FUNDING AND PUT INTO IT A PROVINCIAL PROGRAMME. YOU KNOW, IT'S THE SAME AS, YOU KNOW, WHY NOT SUPPORT THE OPERATING BUDGETS OF THE HOSPITALS OR TAKE OVER THE HIGHWAY SYSTEM?WE'D NEVER DO THAT IN A MILLION YEARS BECAUSE IT'S NOT OUR RESPONSIBILITY. YOU KNOW, REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THERE WAS DOWNLOADING OF A HIGHWAY, 174 AND WE'RE STILL SUFFERING THE

GREAT ISSUES WITH THAT PARTICULAR DOWNLOAD. SO I'D URGE YOU NOT TO VOTE FOR THE MOTION THAT BASICALLY IS SENDING IT OFF TO THE NEXT BUDGET. THAT'S THE EASY THING TO DO. PUSH IT OFF AND FEEL GOOD IF THE MOTION PASSES. SO I'D URGE YOU NOT TO SUPPORT THAT. AND SAME WITH COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY AND LEIPER'S MOTION WITH RESPECT TO THE CAPS. I THINK COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON SAID IT RIGHT. YOU KNOW, WE CAN'T MICROMANAGE EVERY SINGLE PROJECT THAT COMES BEFORE US, NUMBER ONE. SECONDLY, AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE DON'T HAVE THAT RESPONSIBILITY. WE CAN BRING FORWARD ALL THE MOTIONS WE WANT AND A NUMBER OF MOTIONS I THINK WERE REALLY INTENDED TO TRY TO KILL THE PROJECT, YOU KNOW, HOURS OF OPERATION AND A.T.M. MACHINES, THIS, THAT, AND THE OTHER THING. IF YOU DON'T WANT A CASINO, DON'T KILL A DEATH OF A THOUSAND. THE EVIDENCE IS THIS IS GOING TO BE IN A SIGNIFICANT JOB CREATOR IN RURAL SOUTH OTTAWA. WE'VE TAKEN, AS A RESULT OF REPRESENTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, CONSIDERATION INTO DEALING WITH TRAFFIC ISSUES THAT ARE GOING TO BE A CHALLENGE, IF THE SITE IS SUCCESSFUL. THIS IS ABOUT THE ZONING TO ALLOW A HOTEL, BY THE WAY, DIDN'T EVEN HEAR THE WORD HOTEL IN THIS WHOLE DEBATE. IT'S GOING TO CREATE 3,000 CONSTRUCTION JOBS AND ALMOST 900 PERMANENT ONES IN RURAL SOUTH OTTAWA, UP FROM THE CURRENT 400 JOBS. AND WE KNOW THE IMPACT THAT THE SLOTS PROGRAMME THAT WAS BROUGHT IN ORIGINALLY, QUITE FRANKLY, TO HELP STABILIZE THE HORSE RACING INDUSTRY. AND WE ALL KNOW THAT WE HAVE A LARGE AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY IN THE CITY OF OTTAWA. 82% OF OUR LANDMASS IS AGRICULTURE, RURAL, AND FOREST. SO I WOULD HOPE THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE GAMBLING ALL AROUND US. WE'VE GOT -- YOU GO TO SENATORS' GAME, THERE'S A 50/50 DRAW. YOU GO TO CATHOLIC CHURCH ON WELLSTON STREET, THERE'S A SIGN UP THERE FOR BINGOS ONCE A WEEK, WE GOT INTERNET GAMBLING. YOU CAN ARGUE WHETHER YOU LIKE GAMBLING OR NOT, BUT THE REALITY IS IS THAT GAMING AND GAMBLING ARE BEFORE US. SO I WOULD URGE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO SUPPORT THE HARDER-MOFFATT MOTION, TO REJECT THE NUSSBAUM-MCKENNEY AND MCKENNEY-LEIPER MOTION AND VOTE FOR THE REPORT AS AMENDED. WE'LL TAKE THESE IN THE ORDER WE RECEIVE THEM. CARRIED. SECOND IS NUSSBAUM-MCKENNEY. YEAS AND NAYS, PLEASE. >> COUNCILLOR HARDER. >> NO. >> COUNCILLOR QAQISH?>> NO. [ CALLING OF RECORDED VOTE

]COUNCILLOR MOFFATT?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR EGLI?>> NO. [ SPEAKING FRENCH ]CLOUTIER?>> NO. HUBLEY?>> NO. TIERNEY?>> NO. DAROUZE?>> NO. CHERNUSHENKO?>> NO. MONETTE?>> NO. MCKENNEY?>> YES. >> DEANS?>> YES. EL-CHANTIRY?>> NO. >> TAYLOR?>> NO. >> MITIC AND LEIPER?>> YES. NUSSBAUM?>> YES. CHIARELLI?>> NO. >> MAYOR WATSON?>> NO. FIVE YEAS, 18 NAYS. >> ON THE MCKENNEY-LEIPER MOTION, YEAS AND NAYS. >> COUNCILLOR HARDER?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR QAQISH?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR QADRI?>> NO. >> WILKINSON?>> NO. COUNCILLOR EGLI?>> YES. >> CLOUTIER?>> NO. COUNCILLOR HUBLEY?>> NO. COUNCILLOR TIERNEY?>> NO. COUNCILLOR DAROUZE?>> NO. COUNCILLOR CHERNUSHENKO?>> YES. MONETTE?>> NO. MCKENNEY?>> YES. COUNCILLOR DEANS?>> YES. >> EL-CHANTIRY?>> NO. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT, COUNCILLOR?>> NO. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR TAYLOR?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR MITIC. COUNCILLOR LEIPER?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR CHIARELLI?>> YES. >> MAYOR WATSON?>> NO. EIGHT YEAS, 15 NAYS. >> ON THE REPORT AS AMENDED, YEAS AND NAYS, PLEASE. >> COUNCILLOR HARDER?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR QAQISH?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR QADRI?>> YES. >> FLEURY?>> WEE. >> COUNCILLOR WILKINSON?>> YES. COUNCILLOR MOFFATT?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR EGLI?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR BLAIS?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR CLOUTIER?>> WEE. >> COUNCILLOR HUBLEY?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR TIERNEY?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR DAROUZE?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR CHERNUSHENKO?>> YES. >> MONETTE?>> WEE. >> COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR DEANS?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR EL-CHANTIRY?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR TAYLOR?>> YES. >> COUNCILLOR MITIC. >> COUNCILLOR LEIPER?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM?>> NO. >> COUNCILLOR CHIARELLI?>> YES. >> MARRY WATSON?>> YES. 18 YEAS, FIVE NAYS. >> THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 16, KANATA LIGHT RAIL PLANNING, MOODIE DRIVE TO HAZELDEAN ROAD. [ SPEAKING FRENCH ]I BELIEVE COUNCILLOR CHERNUSHENKO HAS A QUESTION. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SINCE PARTICIPATING IN THE DEBATE AND AT TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE, I'M VOTING IN FAVOUR OF THIS YEA AND THE REPORT. IT CAME TO MY ATTENTION, AND MANY OTHERS THROUGH SOME MEDIA REPORTS AND SOME INDIVIDUAL CONSTITUENTS WRITING, THAT THERE ARE WETLAND ISSUES AFFECTED BY THIS RECOMMENDED ROUTE. THAT DID NOT COME UP AT THE MEETING. IF I HAD BEEN AWARE I WOULD HAVE ASKED QUESTIONS THERE. I WOULD LIKE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING

OF WHAT WETLANDS ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY THIS RECOMMENDED ROUTE AND THE APPROACH THAT'S CURRENTLY BEING CONSIDERED FOR DEALING WITH BOTH ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND ALSO COST AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACT OF BEING IN WETLAND. >>. >> YES, MR. MAYOR, I SAW THOSE REPORTS, AND I CAN TELL COUNCIL THAT THEY'RE NOT ACCURATE. WE'RE NOT PROPOSING TO BUILD THROUGH THE WETLANDS. I'LL ASK HER TO GIVE YOU A QUICK OVERVIEW OF THE SPECIFICS. BUT I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT WE ARE NOT, NOR WOULD WE OBVIOUSLY BUILD THROUGH WETLANDS. CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THE SPECIFICS. >>

MR. MAYOR, THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S THE SEED MILL CREEK WATERSHED IN THE AREA. AND OUR FACILITY IS NOT IN THE FLOODPLAIN AT ALL. WE WOULD NEVER BUILD IN THE FLOODPLAIN. THERE IS A STUDY AROUND THIS AREA -- THE WATERSHED STUDY AND IT WILL COME TO COMMITTEE NEXT YEAR. AND IT IS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE AREA, AS WELL AS OUR LIGHT RAIL CORRIDOR. AND WE DID OUR WORK WITH THE TEAM THAT'S WORKING ON THAT WATERSHED STUDY, AS WELL AS THE NBCA. >> UM,

WHAT, THEN, EXPLAINS I GUESS THE REPORTS OR THE MISUNDERSTANDING, IF THAT'S HOW YOU CHARACTERIZE IT THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION?>> YES, OUR REPORT SPEAKS TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS, AND IN OUR PRESENTATION WE SAID THERE'S VERY MINOR ISSUES AND IF WE -- WE -- THROUGH THE DETAIL DESIGN IF THERE'S ANY IMPLICATION ON THE REMEDIAL AREA, WHICH IS OUTSIDE THE FLOODPLAIN, THAT WOULD BE ADDRESSED THROUGH THE DETAIL DESIGN AND, AGAIN, WE WOULD WORK THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY ON THESE ISSUES.>> OKAY.

SO TO BE CLEAR, YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY NOTICE OF CONCERN FROM ANY OF THE CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES, NOR DO YOU BELIEVE THERE'S ANY SIGNIFICANT RISK TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE FROM A MAJOR SAY 100-YEAR FLOOD?>> THAT'S CORRECT. THE REPORT OF THE STUDY, AND WE DEALT WITH THE ISSUE WITH THEM. THERE WERE NO CONCERNS RAISED. >>

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> GREAT. THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR QADRI, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. JUST A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. FIRST OF ALL, IN TERMS OF THE -- YOU PROVIDED A MEMO TO US REGARDING THE RIDERSHIP ON THE LRT. COULD YOU JUST GIVE US A LITTLE BIT OF AN EXPLANATION FROM BEHIND THE SCENES AS TO WHAT THOSE NUMBERS ARE BASED ON. ARE THEY BASED ON CURRENT POPULATION?ARE THEY INCLUDING FUTURE POPULATIONS AS WELL AS THE RIDERSHIP?>> I'LL ASK HER TO GIVE YOU THE SPECIFICS. I'LL ASK HER TO BROADEN, ALSO THE

NUMBERS ON ALL-DAY RIDERSHIP. IN HINDSIGHT, THOSE ARE THE NUMBER WE SHOULD HAVE PROVIDED AND WILL INCLUDE IF YOU LIKE. >> OUR NUMBERS IN THE MEMO WERE BASED ON THE 2031 FORECAST FOR GROWTH IN KANATA AND STITTSVILLE. WE LOOKED AT THE PEAK PERIOD WHICH IS THE IMPORTANT FACTOR IN DETERMINING THE CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS. AND WE WERE ASKED TO LOOK AT THE UPLIFT BECAUSE OF THE LRT PROJECT VERSUS WHAT WE WOULD HAVE NORMALLY HAVE HAD IN THAT IN THE NEAR TERM. THAT'S THE NUMBER YOU RECEIVED WITH THE UPLIFT DURING THE PEAK PERIOD. NOW, WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT THE OVERALL 10,800 FORECASTED RIDERSHIP CROSSING THE GREEN BELT FOR THE PEAK PERIOD. BUT IF WE MULTIPLY THAT BY FOUR TO GET THE ALL-DAY RIDERSHIP, THAT WOULD COME OUT TO ABOUT 43,000. TODAY'S CURRENT RIDERSHIP IS CROSSING THE GREEN BUILT ALL DAY-RIDERSHIP IS -- RIDERSHIP IS 23,000. >> THE NUMBER YOU JUST PRESENTED, THE 23,000, WHAT IS THAT MADE UP OF IN TERMS OF RIDERSHIPS COMING OUT OF WHAT AREAS OF THE CITY IN TERMS OF THE THE WEST END?>> THAT IS TO AND FROM KANATA, CROSSING THE GREEN BELT. SO IN BOTH DIRECTIONS, ALL-DAY TRIPS. >> OKAY. SO ACCORDING TO THE MEMO, THAT RIDERSHIP WILL GO UP BY ROUGHLY ABOUT, WHAT IS IT, 22, 2500 RIDERS, IF WE GO TO LRT OUT IN THE WEST?>> NO. THE 2200 IS JUST THE UPLIFT, THE DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN THE TWO TYPES OF TECHNOLOGIES. WE WERE PLANNING TO BUILD THE BRT IN THE NEAR TERM AND LIGHT RAIL WAS IN THE ULTIMATE PLAN. THE CHANGE IN TECHNOLOGY WOULD PROVIDE 2200 IN THE MORNING PEAK PERIOD. IF WE WERE TO COMPARE THAT TO THE ALL-DAY, AGAIN YOU MULTIPLY THAT BY FOUR TO GET THE ALL-DAY. THAT'S THE INCREMENTAL DIFFERENCE PURELY BASED ON TECHNOLOGY. NOW, BY PROVIDING FAST AND RELIABLE TRANSIT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE FROM 23,000 TODAY TO 43,000 BY 2031 BECAUSE THAT'S OUR MODEL IN THAT TIME FRAME. >> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. >> THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WILKINSON, PLEASE. >> JUST ON THAT, THAT MEANS YOU EXPECT A GOOD ALMOST DOUBLE THE TRANSIT RIDERSHIP WITH LIGHT RAIL FROM WHAT WE HAVE TODAY? IS THAT WHAT I'M HEARING THIS FROM YOU? IT SOUNDED LIKE THAT?>> BY PROVIDING A BETTER, RELIABLE SERVICE, YES, WE'RE FORECASTING THAT IT WOULD BE ALMOST DOUBLE. >> MR. MAYOR, THIS IS SOMETHING I'VE BEEN LEADING ABOUT, I GUESS, FOR QUITE A WHILE SEASON THAT THE ABILITY TO INCREASE RIDERSHIP IN TRANSIT IS THE GREATEST IN THIS AREA, FIRST BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE A GOOD SYSTEM AT ALL RIGHT NOW. WHICH MEANS PEOPLE AREN'T USING IT.

THE FEW THAT HAVE TO DO IT BUT ONLY DO IT BECAUSE THEY ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO. THE RESULT OF THAT, OF COURSE, IS TRAFFIC IS INCREASING AND THE TRAFFIC OUT NOW, EVEN IN THE LAST YEAR HAS BECOME ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE. TALKING TO SOME OF THE BUSINESSES, THE TRAFFIC IS ACTUALLY IN THE MORNING RUSH HOUR IN KANATA BACKING UP ON THE QUEENSWAY ON MARSH ROAD BECAUSE THEY CAN'T GET THROUGH TO GET CARLING AVENUE IS SOLID BACK TO MOODIE AND TERRY FOX IS -- I DROVE BY IT THE OTHER DAY AT 9:15 IN THE MORNING WHEN YOU THINK MOST OF THE RUSH HOUR IS OVER, IT WENT BACK THREE KILOMETRES. GETTING THIS OUT HERE IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. I KNOW WE CAN'T BUILD LIGHT RAIL UNTIL YOU GET IT TO MOODIE. WE KNOW THE ROUGH ESTIMATE COST. TO GET TO KANATA AND PROCEED QUICKLY IS GETTING TO BE VERY, VERY ESSENTIAL. I THINK WE'VE RAISED THIS EARLIER ABOUT GETTING A COMMITTEE OF THE WEST END COUNCILLORS AND YOURSELF AND YOU WANTED TO WAIT UNTIL THE EA WAS FINISHED. I'M HOPING THIS IS DONE AND WE CAN START THOSE MEETINGS. THE BYLAW IS BEING REVIEWED NEXT YEAR AND A TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN AFTER THAT, WE KNOW IF THINGS AREN'T IN THOSE DOCUMENTS, THEY DON'T GET DONE. THE LIGHT RAIL PHASE TWO IS STARTING IMMEDIATELY ON THE COMPLETION OF PHASE ONE. I THINK WE HAVE TO LOOK FOR HOW WE CAN FIND AT LEAST OUR PORTION OF THE MONIES AND GO AFTER THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL TO JOIN WITH US SO WE CAN DO THE SAME THING. SO IN 2023 WHEN LIGHT RAIL IS AT MOODIE WE CAN, THE NEXT YEAR, START ON THE NEXT PHASE WHICH -- HOW FAR WE CAN GET IT WITH THE MONEY WE CAN RAISE AT THAT POINT. ONE OF THE ADVANTAGES THROUGH KANATA ITSELF IS IT'S GRADE SEPARATED. PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE IS ALREADY GRADE SEPARATED. THERE AS MANY STRUCTURES THERE. THE RIDERSHIP WOULD HIT THE FIRST PART OF KANATA. THE FIRST PART IS ALMOST WHERE THE THREE DIFFERENT WARDS COME TOGETHER. SO WE CAN HAVE BUSES GOING OUT FROM THAT LOCATION. THAT WOULD SERVE A LARGE PART OF THE POPULATION. [ PLEASE STAND BY ]BYLAW'S ONLY DONE EVERY FIVEYEARS.THAT WOULD BE - - THE NEXT TIME THEY DID IT WOULD BE 2024, A YEAR AFTER MOODY'S.WE NEED TO BE THINKING FURTHER AHEAD THAN THAT.>> Mayor Jim Watson: OK.THANK YOU.COUNCILLOR LIEBER, PLEASE.>> THANK YOU, MAYOR.FAIRLY QUICKLY AS WE PUSH THE TRAIN OUT FURTHER AND FURTHER WEST, I'M ENCOURAGED BY THE RIDERSHIP UPLIFT THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED.IT IS CRITICAL WE GET MORE OF OUR SUBURBAN RIDERS ON MASS TRANSIT.ARE

THERE IMPLICATIONS FOR SYSTEM CAPACITY OVERALL? I KNOW RESIDENTS MAY BE CONCERNED ABOUT TRAINS THAT ARE FULL BY THE TIME THEY GET INSIDE THE GREEN BELT. DO WE HAVE THE CAPACITY TO MANAGE A LOT MORE RIDERS COMING FROM FURTHER AND FURTHER A FIELD? >> MR. MAYOR, THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. WE'RE FINE IN THAT REGARD ON A COUPLE OF FRONTS. THE PLANNING HORIZON IS 30 YEARS FOR THE L.R.T. YOU ALSO BOUGHT TRAINS THAT ARE EXPANDABLE FOR CAPACITY. THEY CAN BE LENGTHENED. YOU'RE ALSO DESIGNING SYSTEMS TO REDUCE HEADWAY. DOWN TO 90-SECOND HEADWAY. ALSO MORE TRAINS TO THE PTI PROGRAM. SO STAFF BOTH MISS CHI IN THE LONG-TERM PLANNING AND THE OPERATIONAL STAFF ALWAYS LOOK TO THE LONG VIEW AND TO ENSURE THAT WE'VE GOT WHATEVER WE BUILD, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE CAPACITY. YOU'RE ALSO BUILDING A LIGHT STORAGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY AT THE TOP OF CORK CENTRE ROAD WHICH IS CRITICAL TO GETTING TRAINS DEPLOYED IN A TIMELY FASHION SO IT'S ALL PART OF THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE THROUGHOUT THE SYSTEM. >> AT WHICH STATIONS DO WE HAVE THE CAPACITY TO START RUNS? WE CAN START A RUN FROM LINCOLN FIELD, START A RUN FROM PASTURE? >> SO TRAINS ARE DEPLOYED FROM BELFAST AND WILL BE DEPLOYED FROM THAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY. BUT THEY SPLIT AT LINCOLN FIELDS WITH STAGE TWO. WE CAN DO OTHER THINGS WITH TURNS ON TRAINS IN TERMS OF PEAK LOADS AND OFF-PEAK LOADS. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE IT. THANKS, CHAIR. >> Mayor Jim Watson: THANKS, COUNCILLOR LEAP RECALL. COUNCILLOR HUBLEY, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK OUR MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT FOR THE KANATA AREA, AS WELL AS YOUR OFFICE, FOR ACTUALLY HELPING US TO GET THE FUNDING NEEDED TO COMPLETE THIS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT SO THAT WE COULD RECEIVE THIS REPORT TODAY. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WE'RE MORE THAN A DECADE AHEAD OF SCHEDULE FOR GETTING THAT WORK STARTED. IT'S THE FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS OF BRINGING L.R.T. TO KANATA AND AN IMPORTANT ONE, BECAUSE NOW WE KNOW THE PRICE. I WANT TO THANK ANGELA TAYLOR AND HER STAFF THAT WERE INVOLVED IN PRODUCING THIS REPORT, AND I WANT TO SAY THAT I'M ALSO VERY PLEASED THAT, UPON A MORE DETAILED LOOK, STAFF HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED THE PRICE TAG FOR THIS PROJECT, AND IT'S NOW SOMETHING THAT'S VERY POSSIBLE THAT WE COULD ACHIEVE THIS MONEY TO COMPLETE THE TRACK ALL THE WAY OUT

THERE. BY DOING SO, WE WILL NOW HAVE THE ABILITY TO ADVANCE THE VISION OF A FULLY CONNECTED CITY FOR L.R.T. LEAVING THE FASTEST GROWING AREA AND THE NUMBER TWO EMPLOYMENT HUB OUT OF THE L.R.T. PLANS FOR ANOTHER DECADE DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME AND MANY RESIDENTS. HOPEFULLY WE CAN NOW LEVERAGE THIS EXCELLENT REPORT INTO AN ASK OF THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL PARTNERS TO GET US TO THE TOP OF THE HILL. I ALSO WANT TO THANK STAFF FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE IMPORTANCE OF NEW AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGY IN THIS REPORT. AND I SHOULD ADD HOME GROWN TECHNOLOGY. I HOPE AS THE NEXT STEP IN THIS PROCESS, WE ALSO EXPLORE WITH POTENTIAL PARTNERS THE USE OF DRIVER-ASSISTED BUSES TO SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE THE SERVICE NOT JUST IN KANATA BUT STITTVILLE, BARRHAVEN AND ELSEWHERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR. >> Mayor Jim Watson: AFTER YOUR COMMENTS, COUNCILLOR, WE HAD A WEATHER UPDATE. HELL HAS FROZEN OVER, SO -- [ Laughter ] THANK THE LIBERAL. I'VE GOT THAT ON THE RECORD. COUNCILLOR HARDER, PLEASE. >> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. CONGRATULATIONS TO ALL MY WEST-END COLLEAGUES. IT'S GREAT NEWS TO HAVE THIS BEFORE US. I THINK IT'S UNEXPECTED THAT WE WOULD HAVE IT THIS SOON, SO I'M VERY GLAD TO SEE THAT, BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO SEE THE TREMENDOUS UPLIFT AND UPTAKE OF TRANSPORTATION IN A DIFFERENT MODE IN OUR CITY VERY, VERY SOON. AND IT'S VERY EXCITING. THAT BEING SAID, WE DO HAVE THE AREAS, BARRHAVEN BEING NUMBER ONE AND KANATA-STITTVILLE AS THE NUMBER TWO OF THE MAJOR GROWTH AREAS. WE HAVE NOT BEEN FOCUSED ON L.R.T. FROM A DEVELOPMENT CHARGE PERSPECTIVE. SO I ECHO COUNCILLOR WILKINSON'S COMMENTS. I THINK WE NEED TO BE NIMBLE ON THAT AND FIND A WAY, BECAUSE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF GROWTH THAT WE HAVE IS CERTAINLY HAPPENING IN THE SOUTH AND THE WEST END. VERY -- IF YOU HAVEN'T BEEN TO BARRHAVEN, AND I KNOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE NOT BEEN. I KNOW COUNCILLOR LEIPER HAS BEEN. WE HAVE THE GREAT GOOD FORTUNE OF HAVING THE ONLY BUS RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR DEDICATED CORRIDOR. WHERE IT FALLS SHORT IS AT WOODROFFE AND HUNT CLUB. I'M PREPARED TO -- PROUD TO BE WORKING WITH YOU, MR. MAYOR, HOW WE TAKE THAT DIFFERENCE FROM HUNT CLUB AND STAGE TWO BASELINE STATION. THAT WILL MAKE A TREMENDOUS DIFFERENCE AND BENEFIT. TODAY I WAS ON WOODROFFE AVENUE AND I'M LOOKING AT THE SIGNS NEWLY PUT UP THAT SAYS "HIGH COLLISION AREA." GUESS WHAT? THAT'S EXACTLY WHERE ALL THOSE 700 BUSES EVERY DAY GET



DUMPED RIGHT INTO THE MIDDLE OF THAT HIGH-COLLISION AREA. SO I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO DOING THAT IN ADVANCE OF THE USUAL WAY WE'VE BEEN PROCEEDING TO FIND OUT, LIKE WE DID TODAY, WHAT THE OPPORTUNITIES ARE FOR BARRHAVEN AS WE'RE LOOKING FOR KANATA AND STITTSVILLE TODAY. THANK YOU. >> Mayor Jim Watson: ANYONE ELSE WISH TO SPEAK ON THIS? A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. I THINK COUNCILLOR HARDER AND WILKINSON AND WEST END COUNCILLORS HUBLEY AND QADRI AND EL-CHANTIRY. JUST TO RECAP A COUPLE OF THINGS. YOU KNOW THE ORIGINAL PLAN FOR PHASE TWO SAW US GOING JUST TO PLACE D'ORLANS IN THE EAST, JUST REALLY TO AN EMPTY FIELD IN THE SOUTH END, AND JUST TO BAY SHORE AND ALGONQUIN COLLEGE IN THE WEST END. AND AS A RESULT OF WORK THAT WE'VE COLLECTIVELY DONE OVER THE LAST YEAR OR SO, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO REACH AGREEMENTS WITH THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS FOR 50-50 COST SHARING BETWEEN THOSE TWO LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT FOR THE TRIM EXTENSION, WHICH IS GREAT NEWS FOR CUMBERLAND AND ORLEANS AND THE PEOPLE IN THE EAST END. 50-50 COST ARRANGEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT AGAIN FOR THE AIRPORT SPUR LINE TO ALSO GO TO THE E.Y. CENTRE. AND THEN JUST LAST WEEK A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE PRIVATE SECTOR, THROUGH AN INCREASED DEVELOPMENT CHARGE LEVY AND THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT FOR \$50 MILLION AND \$30 MILLION RESPECTIVELY FOR THE SOUTH END. BUT COUNCILLOR HARDER'S QUITE CORRECT. WE STILL NEED TO FINISH THAT ONE PIECE OF THE PUZZLE, WHICH WE SEE EVERY DAY. I LIVE IN THAT NECK OF THE WOODS, OUT BY WOODROFFE AND YOU SEE THE CHALLENGES THAT THE BUSES HAVE COMING IN, GETTING BACK, GETTING OFF THE TRANSITWAY ONTO WOODROFFE AND THEN TURNING INTO ALGONQUIN COLLEGE. MANY OF US WERE AT -- COUNCILLOR CHIARELLI AND COUNCILLOR HARDER AND I WERE AT THE WONDERFUL NEW ADDITION THAT THEY ARE BUILDING. AND I THINK IT WAS THAT THE PRESIDENT TOLD US THERE ARE 24,000 FULL-TIME AND 30,000-SOME PART-TIME STUDENTS AT ALGONQUIN. AND THANKS TO THE FORESIGHT OF PREVIOUS COUNCILS, INCLUDING MEMBERS WHO WERE HERE FROM A FEW TERMS AGO, AND THEN MAYOR CHIARELLI, THEY BUILT THE TUNNEL UNDER THE ACE BUILDING, THE SKILLED TRADES BUILDING, IN ANTICIPATION OF THE TRAIN COMING THERE AND THEN EVENTUALLY THE TRAIN GOING SOUTH INTO BARRHAVEN AND CONNECTING UP WITH THAT FAST-GROWING PART OF THE CITY. SO WHEN WE ANNOUNCED THE TRIM AND THE AIRPORT LOOKING FOR 50-

50, THERE WERE SOME SKEPTICS WHO SAID YOU'LL NEVER GET IT DONE. YOU'LL HAVE TO PUT SOME CITY MONEY IN. WE WORKED HARD. WE ROLLED UP OUR SLEEVES AND WE ACTUALLY GOT THAT DONE. THEN WE DID THE SAME WITH RIVERSIDE SOUTH, AND OBVIOUSLY WE ARE GOING TO NEED THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S SUPPORT TO GO FARTHER WEST INTO KANATA, WHICH I THINK EVERYONE AROUND THIS TABLE RECOGNIZES WE HAVE TO DO BECAUSE OF THE FAST-GROWING TECH SECTOR THAT IS ON A REAL BOOM RIGHT NOW. I'VE BEEN OUT TO KANATA MANY TIMES IN RUSH HOUR. IT'S A REAL CHALLENGE TO GET INTO THE TECH PARK. SO THAT WILL OBVIOUSLY BE ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I ASK OF THE PROVINCIAL CANDIDATES, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO GET THEM ON RECORD AS SUPPORTING THESE PROJECTS, AND WE'LL DO THE SAME WITH THE FEDERAL, AND WE WILL CONTINUOUSLY WORK TO LOBBY THE OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT TO ENSURE THAT WE HAVE THE KIND OF SUPPORT THAT WE OFTEN SEE IN THE G.T.A., WHERE IT'S 100% PROVINCE OR 50-50 PROVINCE-FED AND NO MONEY FROM THE HOST MUNICIPALITIES. SO THERE ARE LOTS OF OPTIONS. I THINK AS MOST PEOPLE SEE THE TRAIN, AND I SAW IT TODAY AT OTTAWA U., THE MORE EXCITEMENT THERE IS AND THE MORE DEMAND THERE WILL BE AT THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL LEVEL TO COME ON BOARD WITH THEIR CONTINUED SUPPORT. SO THANK YOU TO ALL WHO HAVE PUT FORWARD THIS MOTION. I KNOW COUNCILLOR MCKENNEY, I BELIEVE, HAS A DIRECTION TO STAFF. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THAT NOW. SEEMS TO BE A REASONABLE SUGGESTION. COUNCILLOR, THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> YES, THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. JUST, YOU KNOW, WITH OUR OFFICIAL PLAN, GUIDANCE IS PROVIDED TO FACILITATE OPPORTUNITIES TO INCREASE OUR SUPPLY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND THAT PLAN CALLS FOR DEVELOPING STRATEGIES TO BUILD THAT HOUSING, AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THOSE PLANS INCLUDE THE USE OF MUNICIPAL PROPERTY, DEVELOPMENT OF AIR RIGHTS AT TRANSIT STATIONS. SO GIVEN THE DETAILED RESPONSE TO COUNCILLOR LEIPER'S RECENT ENQUIRY, I'M SUBMITTING THE FOLLOWING DIRECTION TO STAFF IN TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, HOUSING SERVICES, CORPORATE REAL ESTATE AND PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THAT STAFF BE DIRECTED TO INITIATE THE CREATION OF A WORKING GROUP TO EXPLORE AND STEWARD OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPLEMENTING TOOLS AND RESOURCES, TO ENSURE AFFORDABLE AND ATTAINABLE HOUSING IN CLOSE PROXIMITY, 600 METRES, TO CURRENT AND FUTURE L.R.T. AND B.R.T. STATIONS. THIS CAN

INCLUDE EXISTING AND PROPOSED INITIATIVES SUCH AS FUNDING MODELS, POLICY TOOLS AND BOTH CITY AND PRIVATELY LED INITIATIVES. THANK YOU. >>

Mayor Jim Watson: OK. SO THAT'S A DIRECTION

TO STAFF. ACCEPTABLE? AGREED. THANK YOU. ON THE

MOTION? CARRIED. CONGRATULATIONS AND THANK YOU TO OUR STAFF AND B.B. AND JOHN AND ALL THE FOLKS THAT HAVE WORKED ON THIS. THIS HAS BEEN A LONG TIME COMING AND IT WAS I THINK VERY WELL RECEIVED BY THE PUBLIC AT THE VARIOUS OPEN HOUSES AS WELL. DISPOSITION OF ITEMS APPROVED BY COMMITTEE UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITIES. [ Speaking in

French ] RECEIVED. MOTION TO ADOPT REPORTS. [ Speaking in French

] COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DEANS, PLEASE. >>

OTTAWA BOARD OF HEALTH REPORT 14-B, AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT 34. COMMUNITY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT 32. FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT 34. PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT 62-A. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT 32, AND THE REPORT FROM THE CITY CLERK AND SOLICITOR'S OFFICE ENTITLED "SUMMARY OF ORAL AND WRITTEN

PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS FOR ITEMS SUBJECT TO THE PLANNING ACT EXPLANATION REQUIREMENTS AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 25, 2018" BE RECEIVED AND ADOPTED AS AMENDED. >> Mayor Jim Watson:

CARRIED. MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY GIVEN. [ Speaking

in French ] COUNCILLOR MONETTE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TIERNEY. WITH

RESPECT TO THE CRAFT BEER FESTIVAL. SEEMS LIKE GROUNDHOG DAY

ON THIS MOTION. IT KEEPS COMING BACK. >> MR. MAYOR, IT SEEMS

LIKE IT. CARRIED. THANK YOU. >> Mayor Jim Watson: CARRIED, OK. THANK

YOU. THAT WAS -- I THINK NEXT TIME -- IT WAS NOT THE

COUNCILLOR'S FAULT. THERE WAS -- WE NEEDED TO ADD SOMETHING ELSE TECHNICALLY, SO APPRECIATE THE COUNCILLOR'S GOOD PATIENCE WITH US

ON THAT. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR MONETTE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR

TIERNEY WITH RESPECT TO CARDINAL CREEK COMMUNITY OF ORLEANS

KNOWN AS PARK 18-A. COUNCILLOR? DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING ON

IT? >> NO. THANK YOU FOR THE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION AND YOURSELF

FOR YOUR INVOLVEMENT, AS WELL AS THE ORLEANS COUNCILLORS

FOR MAKING IT HAPPEN. >> Mayor Jim Watson: I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S WRONG

WITH SUCH AN INNOVATIVE NAME LIKE PARK 1-A. IT SEEMS SO WARM. MOTIONS

REQUIRING PROCEDURE. [ Speaking in French ] WE'VE RECEIVED NONE. NOTICE

OF MOTION FOR CONSIDERATION OF SUBSEQUENT MEETING. [ Speaking in

French ]COUNCILLOR McKENNEY, PLEASE,SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LEIPER,WITH RESPECT TO -->> THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR.THIS IS JUST A NOTICE OFMOTION THAT COUNCIL APPROVEROAD CLOSURE FOR CAMBRIDGESTREET NORTH FROM SOMERSETSTREET TO THE DEAD END FROM 3p.m. ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 13thTO 7 p.m. ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE13th SUPPORT THE APARTMENT 613EVENT PROVIDING REQUIREMENTS,CONDITIONS AND APPROVALS OFSPECIAL EVENTS ADVISORY TEAM.>> Mayor Jim Watson: OK.THAT'S A NOTICE OF MOTION.WE'LL DEAL WITH THAT AT THENEXT MEETING.NEXT IS A NOTICE OF MOTIONFROM COUNCILLOR DEANS.I BELIEVE.SECONDED BY COUNCILLORWILKINSON.>> THANKS, MR. MAYOR.I WON'T READ IT ALL, BUT BE ITRESOLVED OTTAWA CITY COUNCILDECLARE JUNE 2018 TO BESENIORS MONTH IN THE CITY OFOTTAWA.>> Mayor Jim Watson: SO WE'LLDEAL WITH THAT AT THE NEXTMEETING.ARE THERE ANY OTHER NOTICES OFMOTION FOR CONSIDERATION OFSUBSEQUENT MEETING?[ Chuckles ]MOTION TO INTRODUCE BYLAWS.[ Speaking in French ]COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM, PLEASE.>> THAT THE BYLAWS, WITH THEEXCEPTION OF THE BYLAW LISTEDAS (bb) UNDER MOTION TOINTRODUCE BYLAWS THREEREADINGS BE READ AND PASSED.>> Mayor Jim Watson: CARRIED.CONFIRMATION BYLAW.[ Speaking in French ]COUNCILLOR DEANS.COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM?>> THAT THE FOLLOWING BYLAW BEREAD AND PASSED TO CONFIRM THEPROCEEDINGS AT THE COUNCILMEETING OF 9th MAY, 2018.>> Mayor Jim Watson: CARRIED.ENQUIRIES.WE HAVE ONE WRITTEN ENQUIRYFROM COUNCILLOR BROCKINGTON,PLEASE.>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.JUST LOOKING FOR SOME DETAILEDINFORMATION ABOUT SOME OF THEARTERIALS IN MY WARD.RIVER WARD SOUTH ARTERIALWARDS ARE NOT ONLY USED BYRIVER WARD RESIDENTS BUTAPPROXIMATELY 200,000RESIDENTS WHICH LIVE NORTHSHUTTLE TONS OF VEHICLES ANDCYCLISTS EVERY DAY ARE IN POORCONDITION AND NOT SUBJECT TOREPAVING THIS YEAR.ONE OF THE TIME LINES BETWEENAIRPORT PARKWAY AND THE RIVER,RIVERSIDE BETWEEN HUNT CLUBAND HERON, MERIVALE AND PRINCEOF WALES BETWEEN FISHER ANDMEADOWLANDS.PART TWO, WHAT IS THE 2018BUDGET FOR POT HOLE REPAIR ANDHOW MUCH HAS BEEN SPENT TODATE?WHAT IS THE 2018 BUDGET FORROAD RESURFACING, REPAVING?SO I'M LOOKING FOR SOMEINFORMATION IN THAT WARD.>> Mayor Jim Watson: THANKYOU.COUNCILLOR NUSSBAUM SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DEANS.>>

THAT THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 9, 2018 BE  
ADJOURNED.>> Mayor Jim Watson: CARRIED.MERCI.MEETING ADJOURNED.