5. BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN UPDATE AND AMENDMENTS

MISE À JOUR ET MODIFICATIONS DU PLAN D'AMÉLIORATION COMMUNAUTAIRE POUR LE RÉAMÉNAGEMENT DES FRICHES INDUSTRIELLES

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

That Council approve:

- That in respect of future applications where formal Brownfield preconsultation has taken place on an application on or before
 September 29, 2015 or in stream applications deemed complete on or before October 13, 2015, such be considered on the basis of the Brownfields Policy in place on October 13, 2015; and
- 2. Brownfield Community Improvement Policy as detailed in Document 2.

RECOMMANDATIONS DU COMITÉ, TEL QUE MODIFIÉES

Que le Conseil approuve :

- 1. Que les demandes futures ayant fait l'objet de consultations préalables officielles sur les friches industrielles le 29 septembre 2015 ou avant ou encore les demandes en cours jugées complètes le 13 octobre 2015 ou avant soient étudiées en fonction de la Politique sur les friches industrielles en vigueur le 13 octobre 2015; et
- 2. La politique d'amélioration communautaire pour les friches industrielles, comme l'explique en détail le document 2.

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU
DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE
RAPPORT 8
LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

DOCUMENTATION / DOCUMENTATION

 John L. Moser, Acting Deputy City Manager, report dated
 September 2015 / Directeur municipal adjoint par intérim, rapport daté du 29 septembre 2015 (ACS2015- PAI-PGM-0152)

77

Extract of Draft Minutes, Finance and Economic Development Committee,
 October 2015. / Extrait de l'ébauche du procès-verbal du Comité des finances et du développement économique, le 6 octobre 2015.

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

Report to Rapport au:

78

Finance and Economic Development Committee / Comité des finances et du développement économique

October 6, 2015 / 6 octobre 2015

and Council / et au Conseil
October 14, 2015 / 14 octobre 2015

Submitted on September 29, 2015 Soumis le 29 septembre 2015

Submitted by Soumis par:
John L. Moser,

Acting Deputy City Manager / Directeur municipal adjoint par intérim,
Planning and Infrastructure / Urbanisme et Infrastructure
(613) 580-2424, 28869, John.Moser@ottawa.ca

Report Author / Auteur du rapport:

Richard Buchanan, Program Manager, Development Services, Outer Urban Area/ Gestionnaire de programme (Secteur urbain) Exterieur, Development Review Urban Services Unit / Unité examen des demandes d'aménagement services urbains

(613) 580-2424, 27801, Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca

Ward: CITY WIDE / À L'ÉCHELLE DE LA File Number: ACS2015-PAI-PGM-0152 VILLE

SUBJECT: Brownfield Redevelopment Community Improvement Plan Update and Amendments

OBJET: Mise à jour et modifications du plan d'amélioration communautaire pour le réaménagement des friches industrielles

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

That Finance and Economic Development Committee recommend Council approve:

1. That in stream applications deemed complete or where formal Brownfield pre-consultation has taken place on an application on or before September 29, 2015 be considered on the basis of the Brownfield Policy in place at that time; and

79

2. Brownfield Community Improvement Policy as detailed in Document 2.

RECOMMANDATIONS DU RAPPORT

Que le Comité des finances et du développement économique recommande au Conseil d'approuver :

- Que les applications en service jugées complètes, ou celles pour lesquelles une séance de consultation préalable officielle sur les fiches industrielles a eu lieu au plus tard le 29 septembre 2015, soient examinées au regard de la politique sur les friches industrielles en vigueur à ce moment-là; et
- 2. La politique d'amélioration communautaire pour les friches industrielles, comme l'explique en détail le document 2.

BACKGROUND

The Brownfield Redevelopment Community Improvement Plan (BRCIP) is part of a Brownfield Redevelopment Strategy and Community Improvement Plan used to promote the redevelopment of brownfield lands which represent an environmental, economic and social concern in the community.

The BRCIP was approved by Council in April of 2007 and last updated in May of 2010. The City has received a large number of requests by the industry since the last update. Indeed between 2007 and 2010, four applications were filed and approved whereas between May of 2010 and the present 30 applications have been filed and 21 of the 30 applications approved.

At the February 3, 2015, Finance and Economic Development Committee (FEDCO) meeting, directions were given to staff through a motion stating:

"Whereas the Brownfield Grant Program has not been comprehensively reviewed for more than a term of Council; and

Understanding that the law and the Environmental Standards have changed in the interim;

Would staff take as direction to review this program and provide an update to the Committee prior to the 2016 budget process."

Based on the Committee's direction, this report is to review/update the BRCIP, and summarize the uptake in the program in terms of levels of funding being provided to ensure that the program is used to achieve its intent and to identify any budget pressures resulting in the uptake to this program. The large number of applications from the industry since the last 2010 BRCIP update will allow a more representative analysis of the market trends and demands on the program to ensure the main objectives of the programs are being met.

DISCUSSION

The goal of the BRCIP is to overcome the impediments to redevelopment in Ottawa due to site contamination. The incentive programs contained in this program represent a comprehensive framework containing a tool kit of programs that provide grants and tax assistance towards 50 per cent of the costs of rehabilitating development lands. Based on the growth management rationale identified in the City's Official Plan, greater incentive program benefits are generally available for applications on brownfield sites in the Central Area, Mixed Use Centres, along Mainstreets and within 600 metres of existing or planned rapid transit stations. The distinction in levels for funding for each area is identified in Table 1.

Table 1 - Summary of 2010 BRCIP Incentive Programs

Program	Location of Property	
	In Central Area, Mixed Use	Not in Central Area, Mixed
	Centres, along Mainstreets or	Use Centres, along
	within 600 metres of existing/	Mainstreets or within 600
	planned rapid transit stations	metres of existing /planned
		rapid transit stations.
Project	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of	Grant equivalent to 50 per
Feasibility Study	the cost of an eligible project	cent of the cost of an eligible
Grant Program	feasibility study.	project feasibility study.
	Maximum grant of \$5,000.	Maximum grant of \$5,000.
	Maximum one study per	Maximum one study per
	property.	property.
Environmental	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of	Grant equivalent to 50 per
Site Assessment	the cost of an eligible	cent of the cost of an eligible
Grant Program	environmental site assessment,	environmental site
	remedial action plan or risk	assessment, remedial action
	assessment.	plan or risk assessment.
	Maximum grant of \$15,000 per	Maximum grant of \$15,000 per
	study.	study.
	Maximum of two studies per	Maximum of two studies per
	property/project.	property/project.
	Maximum total grant of \$25,000	Maximum total grant of
	per property/project.	\$25,000 per property/project.
Property Tax	Cancellation of the municipal	Cancellation of the municipal
Assistance	property tax increase for up to	property tax increase for up to
Program	three years.	three years.
	Cancellation of the education	Cancellation of the education
	property tax increase for up to	property tax increase for up to
	three years **.	three years.
Rehabilitation	 Grant equivalent to 50 per 	- Grant equivalent to 50
Grant Program	cent of the municipal	per cent of the
	property tax increase for	municipal property tax

	up to 10 years. - Demolition Cost - Rehabilitation Costs - 50 per cent On-site infrastructure costs	increase for up to five years. - Demolition Cost. - Rehabilitation Costs. - 50 per cent On-site infrastructure costs.
Building Permit	Grant equivalent to 30 per cent of	
Fee Grant Program	building permit fee	
Development	Up to 50 per cent reduction of	Up to 25 per cent reduction of
Charge	development charge	development charge.
Reduction		
Program		

The program has been structured to meet the challenges the development industry is faced with through the consideration of financial assistance for six specific items relating directly to the remediation costs of the contamination of the site along with six additional incentive item costs for other site development costs to promote the program. The 12 eligible items are listed as follows:

- Any costs of Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, Remedial Work Plans, and Risk Assessments not covered by Environmental Site Assessment Grant Program;
- 2. Environmental Remediation including the cost of preparing a Record of Site Conditions;
- 3. Placing clean fill and grading;
- 4. Installing environmental and/or engineering controls/works as specified in the Remedial Work Plan and/or Risk Assessment;
- Monitoring, maintaining and operating environmental and engineering controls/works as specified in the Remedial Work Plan and/or Risk Assessment;
- 6. Environmental Insurance Premiums;

Total Costs Eligible for Property Tax Assistance (Sum of Costs 1-6 above)

7. The following Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Program Components:

- a) base plan review by a certified LEED consultant;
- b) preparing new working drawings to the LEED standard;
- c) submitting and administering the constructed element testing and certification used to determine the LEED designation.
- 8. Cost of a Project Feasibility Study to a maximum of \$10,000;
- 9. 30 per cent of the estimated Building Permit Fee to be paid;
- 10. Building demolition;
- 11. Building Rehabilitation and Retrofit Works;
- 12. 50 per cent of the upgrading costs for on-site infrastructure including water services, sanitary sewers and stormwater management facilities.

Items 1 to 12 are eligible items for the Rehabilitation Grant Program. Items 1 to 6 are eligible items for the Property Tax Assistance Program, while items 1 to 7 are eligible items under the Development Charge Credit Program under the 2010 BRCIP. Any and all BRCIP Programs can be applied for by the developer, but the totals of all programs cannot exceed the total of items 1 to 12.

Background History of Program

Brownfield Redevelopment Community Improvement Plan 2007

The original report of the Ottawa Brownfield Redevelopment Strategy and the BRCIP and other actions to implement the Strategy was approved by Council on April 27, 2007.

From 2007 to 2010, there were four applications for this program. The level of funding approved was over \$7,800,000 of Rehabilitation Grant and \$2,600,000 of Development Charge Credits. The resulting development is estimated to generate an increase in land assessments of over \$260,000,000 with an increase to annual municipal taxes of over \$1,800,000 and education taxes of over \$660,000.

Grant payments are still being made by the City based on the BRCIP agreement obligations with the developers.

Brownfield Redevelopment Community Improvement Plan 2010

The BRCIP update was approved by Council on May 12, 2010 which made program adjustments to the 2007 BRCIP levels of funding to be made available. The changes included the removal of Off-site Infrastructure Costs items and only 50 per cent of "On-

Site" Infrastructure Costs would be covered under the program as opposed to the previous 100 per cent level of funding.

84

From 2010 to 2014, there were 21 BRCIP agreements entered into by the City with developers for this program. The level of funding approved was over \$9,550,000 of Rehabilitation Grant and over \$14,900,000 of Development Charge Credits. The resulting developments are estimated to provide an increase land assessment of over \$980,000,000 and an increase in annual municipal taxes of over \$10,400,000 and annual education taxes of over \$5,700,000. Of the 21 agreements in place, only six agreements are active at this time and there is a likelihood that some may never proceed.

As previously noted, the program considers the funding for 12 items, of which the first six items relate to the actual remediation of the site while the remaining six are incentive items to the industry to encourage Brownfield redevelopment. Over the past five years, there has been a dramatic increase in incentive eligible items costs being requested by applicants which places City resources directly into the actual development improvement rather than the actual cleanup of the site. In some cases, the total of all eligible item resulting funding levels adds up to more than the remedial clean up costs of the site. The program overall levels of funding requests by the industry since the 2010 BRCIP update is approximately \$32,500,000 and the incentive grants make up \$9,300,000 of the total. As the program becomes more utilized and various types of developments identify the level of remediation of the sites, it becomes more apparent that the incentive eligible items warrants adjustment to reflect the City's intent to concentrate contributes towards the remediation costs verses the incentive costs.

Development Charges Bylaw Update 2014

When Council adopted the new Development Charges By-law in 2014, it decided that any approved exemptions to the by-law would be repaid to the appropriate Development Charges Reserve Fund. This decision has had an impact on the review of the BRCIP as the reduction of development charges by 50 per cent has been a key component of the program. It is recommended that for budgetary purposes, only applications which have requested Development Charge Credits at the time of development (at the time of building permit issuance) on or after June 1, 2014 be considered to be accounted for in the annual budgeting process to deal with the

Development Charge Credits to be returned to the Development Charge Fund.

85

There was only one approved application under the BRCIP which was approved after June 1, 2014, which received a Development Charge Credit of \$573,384. The remaining amount of Development Charge Credits, under the 2010 BRCIP application agreements in place, after the June 1, 2014 date, to be drawn on by the developer is \$5,715,115. Therefore a total amount of \$6,288,499 requires to be directed to the Development Charge Fund. These remaining applications may come in at any time in the future and consideration of budgeting for these payments, when the developer makes the request for funding, would be put forward to the following budget year for payment to the Development Charge Fund. This remaining Development Charge Credit is subject to the project moving forward by the developer and only actual costs incurred up to an upset limit in the agreement approved by Council.

Development Charge Credits are applied at the time of the building permit issuance and the remaining portion of the BRCIP grant is paid through a Rehabilitation Grant. The pattern in the last 21 applications reflects the majority of the approved grant is paid through the Development Charge Credit and the remaining grant through the rehabilitation grant within one to two years. The rehabilitation grant has a five to 10 year repayment period which has not been required by the majority of applications.

Program Restructuring

Take up by the development industry of the BRCIP has increased significantly over the last three years. At present there are nine deemed completed applications that are being processed and are ready for Committee and Council's consideration. Two applications have been filed by Trinity Developments for 151 Chapel Street and 2012 Ogilvie Road; Regional Group has filed for its development at 175 Main Street Controlex for its proposed development at 405 Terminal Avenue, four applications have been made by Claridge Homes Ltd. for 1040 and 1050 Somerset Street, 70 Gloucester Street and 89 and 91 Nepean Street and 175 Richmond Road and Colonnade Development Inc. has filed for its development on 300 – 320 Moodie Drive. These applications have been reviewed on the basis of the existing incentive programs. In addition, a further major development, Windmill's project at 3 and 4 Booth Street, has formally pre-consulted with the Department and proceeded through the rezoning stage. Discussions on the Brownfield Program took place on the basis of the existing policy.

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

As well, staff would interpret Recommendation 1 to include a development for Mizrahi Developments at 1445 West Wellington Street who presented detailed information on Brownfields at the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing. As such, it is recommended that these applications not be affected by the proposed revisions, but, in fairness, be moved forward on the basis of the existing Programs. This would apply to all applications deemed complete or have undertaken bonafide pre-consultation by September 29, 2015. Also it is recommended that grandparented applications be subject to a sunset provision such that if a Brownfield's Agreement is not entered into within four years of Council's approval of this report, the grandparenting right is lost. As well, as was previously noted, there are number of approved applications that have not moved forward even though Brownsfield's Agreements have been entered into. It is recommended that a sunset clause also be put in place for these applications, to the effect that if they have not proceeded with the development within six years of City Council's approval of this report, or if the existing Agreement provides for an earlier expiration date, the applications become null and void.

86

As noted in Table 1, there are existing BRCIP incentive programs. Of these six, it is recommended that no changes be made to the Project Feasibility Study Grant and Environmental Site Assessment Grant Programs. They have functioned well and relate directly to the brownfield nature of the property. The same is recommended for the Property Tax Assistance and Building Permit Fee Grant Programs.

It is recommended that the Development Charges Reduction Program be cancelled for any new applications received after City Council's approval of this report and replaced with a Development Charges Deferral Program that would allow a 50 per cent deferment of eligible item costs towards development charges (items 1 to 7) through a Deferral Agreement with a preferred annual interest charge of the issued index interest rate. The term of the Agreement would be subject to a maximum of either two years after issuance of a building permit or three years after the approval by Council of the BRCIP application by the developer, whichever one occurs first at which time the payment of the Development Charge deferred amount would be made by the developer.

With the recommendation of a modified Development Charges Program from the BRCIP, the ability of the developer to recover their approved grant will only be through the Rehabilitation Grant repayment through the incremental increase in municipal taxes

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

as a result of the redevelopment over a fixed period of time (between five to 10 years depending on the location being within a Priority area). The removal of the Development Charge credit increases the pressure on the developer to recover these costs as compared to the original program implementation. In order to compensate for this change in program, it is recommended that the repayment percentage of the annual incremental increase in municipal taxes from 50 per cent to 85 per cent to the developer over the approved payment period or until the grant amount has been paid to the developer. This change in the program will remove the immediate budget pressure of the development charge credits being issued and assist the developer in recovering their approved grants in a timelier manner.

87

Based on market trends, it is apparent that the incentive programs have become a significant portion of the request for funding and reflects in the funding levels reaching the actual costs of the remediation works of the site. As a result, adjustments are being recommended to the Building Demolition item and Building Rehabilitation and Retrofit Works item costs in the Rehabilitation Grant Program. Based on certain past applications, these costs have been a large portion of the overall request for funding. It is recommended that they be reduced from 100 per cent eligibility to 50 per cent eligibility to reflect the mandate of the BRCIP Program to remediate site contamination.

To ensure the total incentive eligible item levels represent a reasonable portion of the grant being applied for by the industry for the actual remediation of the site, it is recommended that the total of the incentive eligible costs (items 7 -12) not exceed 15 per cent of the total eligible items being applied for.

Finally, it is recommended that a sunset clause be inserted in all future Brownfield Agreements to the effect that the Agreement will become null and void if the development is not issued a building permit within four years of Council's approval of the Brownfield application.

The 2010 BRCIP Municipal Leadership Strategy will remain unchanged in the 2015 BRCIP.

A comparison of the existing 2010 BRCIP Incentive programs and the proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive programs are included in Document 1. For clarity purposes, a summary of the proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive programs are included in Document 2.

RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

RURAL IMPLICATIONS

There have not been any applications to date from the rural area under the terms of the Program eligibility criteria.

CONSULTATION

There was no public consultation in that this is an internal report to Council on the status of the present funding levels and its relevance to the City mandate towards Brownfield Development.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no legal impediments to the adoption of the recommendations in the report.

An analysis was done in the report that was adopted by Council on May 12, 2010 with respect to whether it would be appropriate to distinguish between applicants or land use with respect to the applicability of the program. The advice that was provided was that it would not be appropriate to do so.

Program compliance with existing Legislation and Environmental Standards

The legislative requirements and environmental standards of the day were reviewed to ensure compliance and determine potential changes to the program due to any legislative updates. This included the review of the following legislation and environmental regulations:

- The Municipal Act;
- The Planning Act;
- Provincial Policy Statement;
- Ottawa Official Plan;
- Existing Community Improvement Plans;
- Ontario Regulation 153/04 (revised in June/2011).

There have been no legislative changes since the 2010 BRCIP update that impact the Brownfield Rehabilitation Community Improvement Plan implementation therefore it is within all regulatory requirements and is consistent with other municipality practices.

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no risk management implications associated with this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The applications deemed complete or have undertaken bonafide pre-consultation by September 29, 2015 will continue to include Development Charge Reductions. As approved by Council through the 2014 Development Charges Policy and Framework (ACS2014-CMR-ARA-0004) report, the loss of DC funds collectable under an exemption must be reimbursed. A budgetary provision has been established to address the requirement of the Policy. The non-DC exemption grant components will be funded through incremental taxes resulting from the associated increased assessments.

89

Grants approved under the new Brownfield Community Improvement Policy will be funded through the incremental taxes resulting from the associated increased assessments, with 85% of the incremental tax increase funding the developer grant until the grant has been paid to the developer.

The proposed Development Charge Deferral Program will remove the requirement to fund the loss of DCs. The proposed DC Deferral Program allows for DC deferral subject to an agreement and an annual interest charge.

The BRCIP Municipal Leadership Strategy will continue to be funded from the incremental taxes, with 15% of the incremental tax increase funding the contribution to the Brownfield Municipal Fund, until the competition of the grant.

A total of 100% of the incremental taxes will fund the developer grant and the municipal fund contribution until the completion of the grant.

The expenditure authority for the grant payments and revolving fund contributions will be brought forward through the annual budget process. Actual payments of the rehabilitation grant will be reviewed at the end of each tax year to confirm the actual tax benefit of the development and to calculate the actual payment to the developer.

ACCESSIBILITY IMPACTS

There are no accessibility impacts associated with this report.

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE RAPPORT 8 LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

TERM OF COUNCIL PRIORITIES

This exercise addresses the following Term of Council Priorities (2015-2018):

Economic Prosperity – EP1 which deals with Promoting Ottawa

- EP2 Support growth of local economy

90

Financial Sustainability – Demonstrate sound financial management

- Align strategic priorities to Council's financial targets

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Document 1 Summary of Existing 2010 BRCIP Incentive Programs and the Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

Document 2 Summary of Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

DISPOSITION

Planning and Growth Management Department to make the necessary text modifications to the BRCIP, Brownfield Redevelopment Strategy, all application forms, program guides and to the program description on Ottawa.ca.

Planning and Growth Management Department to advise the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing of Council's decision regarding the reduction of and discontinuation of elements of the existing programs offered under the BRCIP.

Planning and Growth Management Department to continue to implement the Brownfield Redevelopment Strategy and BRCIP.

Document 1 – Summary of Existing 2010 BRCIP Incentive Programs and the Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

The following is the existing 2010 BRCIP breakdown along with the recommended 2015 BRCIP to reflect the review of the 2010 BRCIP program as directed by Finance and Economic Development Committee;

1. Existing 2010 BRCIP and Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

Program Eligible Items	Program Item Features	
BRCIP Year	2010	2015
Project Feasibility Study Grant Program	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible project feasibility study. Maximum grant of \$5,000. Maximum one study per property.	No Change
Environmental Site Assessment Grant Program	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible environmental site assessment, remedial action plan or risk assessment. Maximum grant of \$15,000 per study. Maximum of two studies per property/project. Maximum total grant of \$25,000 per property/project.	No Change

Droporty Toy	Cancellation of the municipal	No Chango
Property Tax	Cancellation of the municipal	No Change
Assistance	property tax increase for up to	
Program **	three years.	
	Cancellation of the education property tax increase for up to three years *.	
Rehabilitation	- Grant equivalent to 50 per	- Grant equivalent to 85 per
Grant Program **	cent of the municipal property	cent of the municipal
	tax increase for up to 10	property tax increase for up
	years	to five years
	- 100 per cent Demolition	- 50 per cent Demolition Cost*
	Cost*	- 50 per cent Rehabilitation
	- 100 per cent Rehabilitation	Costs *
	Costs*	- 50 per cent On-site
		infrastructure costs
	- 50 per cent On-site	
D '11' D '4	infrastructure costs	N. O.
Building Permit	Priority Area -Grant equivalent	No Change
Fee Grant Program	to 30 per cent of building permit	
**	fee *	
	Non Priority Area – Not	
	Available	
	Available	
Development	For Priority Areas, up to 50 per	For Priority Areas, up to 50 per
Charge Reduction	cent reduction of development	cent deferment of eligible item
Program ***	charges payable for eligible	costs and for Non Priority
	items 1 - 7 costs and for Non	Areas, up to 25 per cent
	Priority Areas, up to 25 per cent	deferment of eligible item
	reduction of development	costs; towards development
	Charges for eligible items 1 - 7	charges (items 1 to 7) through
	costs	a Deferral Agreement with a
		preferred annual interest
		•
		charge of the issued index
		interest rate. The term of the

COMITÉ DES FINANCES ET DU
DÉVELOPPEMENT ÉCONOMIQUE
RAPPORT 8
LE 14 OCTOBRE 2015

T
Agreement would be subject to
a maximum of either two years
after issuance of a building
permit or three years after the
approval by the Council of the
BRCIP application by the
developer, whichever one
occurs first, at which time the
payment of the Development
Charge deferred amount would
be made by the developer.

93

Notes:

- *Total of items 7 12 under the Rehabilitation Grant Program and Building Permit Fee Grant Program may not exceed 15 per cent of the total eligible items under the BRCIP program.
- **Large Phased developments will have their approved grant payment periods of either five or 10 year apply for each phase. Each Phase payment period will commence at the issuance of the first building permit.
- ***Development Charge Credit Program replaced with the Development Charge Deferral Program as outline above.
- ***Priority areas are in the Central Area, Mixed Use Centres, along Mainstreets or within 600 metres of existing/ planned rapid transit stations

Document 2 - Summary of Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

The following is the recommended 2015 BRCIP to reflect the review of the 2010 BRCIP program as directed by Finance and Economic Development Committee;

1 Proposed 2015 BRCIP Incentive Programs

Program	Location of Property	
	In Central Area, Mixed Use Centres, along Mainstreets or within 600 metres of existing/ planned rapid transit stations	Not in Central Area, Mixed Use Centres, along Mainstreets or within 600 metres of existing/planned rapid transit stations.
Project Feasibility Study Grant Program	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible project feasibility study. Maximum grant of \$5,000. Maximum one study per property.	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible project feasibility study. Maximum grant of \$5,000. Maximum one study per property.
Environmental Site Assessment Grant Program	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible environmental site assessment, remedial action plan or risk assessment. Maximum grant of \$15,000 per study. Maximum of two studies per property/project. Maximum total grant of \$25,000 per property/project.	Grant equivalent to 50 per cent of the cost of an eligible environmental site assessment, remedial action plan or risk assessment. Maximum grant of \$15,000 per study. Maximum of two studies per property/project. Maximum total grant of \$25,000 per property/project.

Droporty Toy	Cancellation of the municipal	Cancellation of the municipal
Property Tax	Cancellation of the municipal	Cancellation of the municipal
Assistance	property tax increase for up to	property tax increase for up to
Program **	three years.	three years.
	Cancellation of the education property tax increase for up to three years *.	Cancellation of the education property tax increase for up to three years.
Rehabilitation Grant Program **	- Grant equivalent to 85 per cent of the municipal property	- Grant equivalent to 85 per cent of the municipal
3	tax increase for up to 10 years	property tax increase for up to five years
	- 50 per cent Demolition Cost *	- 50 per cent Demolition Cost
	- 50 per cent Rehabilitation	
	Costs *	- 50 per cent Rehabilitation
		Costs *
	- 50 per cent On-site	
	infrastructure costs	- 50 per cent On-site
		infrastructure costs
Building Permit	Grant equivalent to 30 per cent	Not available
Fee Grant Program	of building permit fee *	Trot available
**		
Development	50 per cent deferment of	25 per cent deferment of
Charge Reduction	eligible item costs towards	eligible item costs towards
Program ***	development charges (items 1	development charges (items 1
	to 7) through a Deferral	to 7) through a Deferral
	Agreement with a preferred	Agreement with a preferred
	annual interest charge of the	annual interest charge of the
	issued index interest rate. The	issued index interest rate. The
	term of the Agreement would	term of the Agreement would
	be subject to a maximum of	be subject to a maximum of
	either two years after issuance	either two years after issuance
	of a building permit or three	of a building permit or three
		<u> </u>

years after the approval by	years after the approval by the
Council of the BRCIP	Council of the BRCIP
application, whichever one	application by the developer,
occurs first, at which time the	whichever one occurs first, at
payment of the Development	which time the payment of the
Charge deferred amount would	Development Charge deferred
be made by the developer.	amount would be made by the
	developer.

Notes:

*Total of incentive items 7–12 under the Rehabilitation Grant Program and Building Permit Fee Grant Program may not exceed 15 per cent of the total eligible items under the BRCIP program.

**Large Phased developments will have their approved grant payment periods of either five or 10 year apply for each phase. Each Phase payment period will commence at the issuance of the first building permit.

- ***Development Charge Credit Program replaced with the Development Charge Deferral Program as outline above.
- 2. A Sunset Clause will be incorporated into all new Brownfield approvals to the effect that the approval will become null and void if the development is not issued a building permit within four years of Council's approval of the Brownfield application.
- 3. A Sunset Clause will be incorporated into all instream Brownfield approvals to the effect that the approval will become null and void if the development is not issued a building permit within four years of Council's approval of the Brownfield application.
- 4. In respect of existing Brownfield approvals, such approvals will be treated as null and void, six years after the approval of this report, if they have not entered into a Brownfield's Agreement or proceeded with the development. If an Agreement has been entered into and provides for an earlier expiration date then that date shall prevail.