

Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-law Amendment – 1277 Woodroffe Avenue

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council’s consideration:

Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 0

Number of written submissions received by Planning Committee between June 14 (the date the report was published to the City’s website with the agenda for this meeting) and June 24, 2021 (committee meeting date): 0

Primary concerns, by individual

None provided

Primary reasons for support, by individual

None provided (Peter Hume, the applicant, was present in support and to answer questions if needed)

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision: Debate: The Committee Carried the item on consent (without discussion).

Vote: The Committee Carried the report recommendations as presented

Ottawa City Council

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between June 24 (Planning Committee consideration date) and July 7, 2021 (Council consideration date): 1

Primary concerns, by individual

Margaret Cody

- placement of the sole entrances to the four “secondary dwelling units” on the sides of the narrow driveway between the two long buildings completely fails to separate pedestrian and vehicular traffic, putting the lives of the residents and visitors at grave risk in any number of foreseeable situations; this dangerous design suggests that intensification goals have assumed greater priority than the safety of the city’s citizens; such a cavalier approach to human life flies in the face of sound planning principles
- life as we knew it changed irrevocably during the pandemic; fifteen months of

deleterious impacts on every member of the community - lasting impacts on physical health, mental health, and emotional health; we must reflect on basic human physiological needs (which include air, water and food), and basic human safety needs (which include health); if we build what humans crave, they will stay and if not, they will be gone.

- rezoning the property to demolish the existing detached dwelling (one unit) and replacing it with this design for two long semi-detached dwellings (eight units) is neither gentle nor modest intensification; it is neither compatible nor sensitive to the existing neighbourhood
- recommendation: approve a simple rezoning from R1O to R1-4; demolish the existing detached dwelling and build a single semi-detached dwelling, two affordable, modest units (with backyards); sever the property into two equal parcels for sale; gentle intensification, positive direction and leadership by City Council, let the children laugh and play in their own backyards

Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:

Council considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations without amendment.