

**Stakeholder Sounding Board Meeting – 26 October 2021, 3 pm via Zoom**

**Residual Waste Management Strategy Feedback**

SSB Feedback	Staff's Response
<p>There is no need for a pilot program. It is too early to do this work.</p>	<p>The RWMS details the framework for a pilot program including the development of conditions for implementing a potential, future, technology pilot program. It is not pre-determining if we would have a pilot nor what technology would be piloted – these decisions and policy recommendations would come from the master planning process which will be informed by upcoming community consultation. The framework would detail the steps to establish a pilot program including environmental approvals required, financial considerations and other considerations the City would need to evaluate ahead of implementing a program. The framework, once developed, would serve as a guide for a future potential program and be directed by the goals and policy directions that will come from the Master Plan. The whole idea of the framework is to remove bias and develop criteria ahead of any program, that will help the City select the best technologies to manage our residual waste. As with the other opportunities in the strategy, the framework will be brought back to committee and Council for discussion.</p>
<p>The report details an evaluation process for the opportunities will be based on four criteria that are different from the triple-bottom line criteria that we have just finished developing an evaluation process with the SWMP. Under the impression we'd hear back on the analysis of the long-list of options from the SWMP. Here it seems like we are hiving off the residuals part and using a different methodology for evaluating.</p>	<p>SWMP will set strategic framework. The work identified in the RWMS is advancing further analysis on residual approaches for the Trail Road Waste Facility. A lot of what is in the SWMP will need additional analysis after approved. Instead of waiting to 2023, let's advance the analysis of these fundamental elements. We don't want to miss this opportunity over the next two years. The opportunities in the report will undergo the triple bottom line criteria and will be presented to the Sounding Board. The criteria in the report speak specifically to</p>

	evaluating the detailed implementation of each of the opportunities.
Thermal technology is being considered	No technology is being considered as part of the RWMS. The Solid Waste Master plan will set the direction and policies for consideration of future use of technologies including thermal treatment of waste.
Diversion – more focus on preventing and diverting waste rather than managing it	Agreed and that is what the solid waste master plan currently detailing as part of the next phase and through the Curbside Diversion Options Project.
Excellent to see that that steps are being taken without waiting for the whole SWMP to be able to action some quick wins now.	Agreed.
Sounding Board would have liked a more advanced heads up on the RWMS report and be provided the opportunity to be briefed	Agreed. Staff have committed to bringing back the strategy components as they are developed to the Sounding Board.
Advancing ways to get organics out of the landfill should be a priority. Why not pilot in organics?	Plans to increase organics diversion from waste are part of the Solid Waste Master plan process including any type of treatment solution for organics.
Ensure that communications plan is in line with SWMP goals and visions, highlight other waste management hierarchy ahead of waste management (4R's).	Agreed. The communications plan will be developed with the SWMP guiding principles, goals and visions as its foundation.
The SSB would like to be involved in next steps.	Agreed. Staff have committed to bringing back the strategy components as they are developed to the Sounding Board.