

Summary of Written and Oral Submissions

Zoning By-law Amendment – 2020 Walkley Road and 2935 Conroy Road

Note: This is a draft Summary of the Written and Oral Submissions received in respect of Zoning By-law Amendment – 2020 Walkley Road and 2935 Conroy Road (ACS2021-PIE-PS-0130) prior to City Council's consideration of the matter on November 24, 2021.

The final Summary will be presented to Council for approval at its meeting of December 8, 2021, in the report titled 'Summary of Oral and Written Public Submissions for Items Subject to the *Planning Act* 'Explanation Requirements' at the City Council Meeting of November 24, 2021'. Please refer to the 'Bulk Consent' section of the Council Agenda of December 8, 2021 to access this item.

In addition to those outlined in the Consultation Details section of the report, the following outlines the written and oral submissions received between the publication of the report and prior to City Council's consideration:

Number of delegations/submissions

Number of delegations at Committee: 4

Number of written submissions received by Planning Committee between October 29 (the date the report was published to the City's website with the agenda for this meeting) and November 5, 2021 (the deadline for written submissions, being 4 pm the business day before the committee meeting date): 33

Summary of written submissions

Written submissions are held on file with the City Clerk and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:

- Martin Eley (South Keys Greenboro Community Association) speaking notes received November 2, opposed
- Michael Cushing email dated November 4, opposed
- Andrew and Suzanne Ng email dated November 4, opposed
- Ann Callaghan email dated November 4, opposed
- Barbara Slinn email dated November 4, opposed
- Seyed Mirdavoudi email dated November 4, opposed
- Sim Simanis email dated November 4, opposed

- S Fong email dated November 4, opposed
- Jacques Schingh email dated November 4, opposed
- Marie-France Castonguay email dated November 4, opposed
- Dan Begin email dated November 4, opposed
- Tariq Bhatti email dated November 4, opposed
- Wil Côté email dated November 4, opposed
- Carolynn Treffers email dated November 4, opposed
- John and Nancy Durkee email dated November 5, opposed
- Carol Lim email dated November 5, opposed
- Wayne Sollows email dated November 5, opposed
- Anne Makhoul and Danny Makhoul email dated November 5, opposed
- Carol Sollows email dated November 5, opposed
- Paola de Rose email dated November 5, opposed
- Mary Elizabeth Jaworski email dated November 5, opposed
- Heather Eaton email dated November 5, opposed
- Janet Bond email dated November 5, opposed
- Kim Horvath email dated November 5, opposed
- Frederick Michel email dated November 5, opposed

Summary of oral submissions

Applicant Delegation: The Applicant provided a slide presentation, a copy of which is on file with the City Clerk. The Applicant provided an overview of the Application and responded to questions from the Committee. They were represented by the following:

- Novatech: Murray Chown, Jeff Kelly, Jennifer Luong
- Manulife: Stephen Nicoletti
- Canderel: Eric Cordon

The Committee heard the following public delegations on the report, and a summary of their respective comments are as follows:

- Martin Eley (South Keys Greenboro Community Association) was concerned about additional traffic and routes used to access the warehouses, that the warehouse use was not consistent with neighbouring uses, such as offices, and about the safety of cyclists and integration of the cycling lane with multi-use pathways.
- Paul R. Norris (Hunt Club Park Community Association) was also concerned about traffic, including between Conroy Road and the site, and its impact on residential areas. Mr. Norris proposed that warehouses should be closer to the 417 freeway.
- Kathy Fisher was concerned about increased traffic accidents, given the extra traffic between Conroy Road and the site. It will impact the experience of neighbouring residents.

Effect of Submissions on Planning Committee Decision: Debate: The Committee spent 1 hour and 23 minutes in consideration of the item.

Vote: The committee considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as presented.

Ottawa City Council

Pursuant to the *Procedure By-law*, members of the public may not make oral submissions to Council.

Number of additional written submissions received by Council between November 5 after 4 pm (deadline for written submissions to Planning Committee) and November 24, 2021 (Council consideration date): 3

Summary of written submissions to Council

Written submissions are held on file with the City Clerk and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request.

- Connie Ford email received November 6, opposed
- John and Kelly Fitzpatrick email received November 7, opposed
- Helen McKay email received November 11, opposed

Effect of Submissions on Council Decision:

Council considered all submissions in making its decision and carried the report recommendations as presented.